



MONTHLY STAFF REPORT January 2011

INTRODUCTION:

The monthly report is a monthly update of the activities of the City of Riverside Community Police Review Commission and gives the staff a chance to pass on information that they feel is important or noteworthy. The information provided in the twelve monthly reports forms the basis for the annual report.

CITY WELCOMES NEW CPRC MANAGER:

On January 3rd, Mr. Frank Hauptmann began work as the new CPRC Manager. Mr. Hauptmann is a seasoned professional with 35 years of policing exposure and expertise. In the course of his career, Mr. Hauptmann has been employed by the Glendale and Garden Grove Police Departments. Most recently, he was the Chief of Police for the former Maywood / Cudahy Police Department where he became a “change agent” in reforming that Department. His relevant areas of expertise include evaluating accountability processes, managing and directing staff, community policing strategies, budgeting, customer service, criminal investigation, internal investigations, developing policy and procedure, and terrorism threat assessments.

OUTREACH:

Staff and / or various Commission members attended the following meetings or community events:

Meeting / Event	Activity
The Group	Attended (1 Commissioner)

Local organizations and groups have taken advantage of the opportunity to have CPRC commissioners come to their meetings and discuss the Commission and its work. If you would like to have a commissioner speak at one of your meetings, please call the office at (951) 826-5509.

TRAINING:

Commission members received / attended the following for training purposes:

Item / Event	Relating to
Force Science News (FSN) www.forcescience.org	FSN Transmissions 167 – 169

WORKLOAD - January 2011:

Cases Received	Lodged	Filed through CPRC	Filed through RPD
	2	0	2

A complaint is considered **lodged** when a citizen makes a complaint to the CPRC; it is **filed** when the completed complaint form is submitted.

Case Dispositions	Cases Reviewed	Administratively Closed
	5	1

Administrative Closure occurs when a case is closed for reasons other than being reviewed.

Allegations	U/F	FA	D/H	CC	PS	Disc	IP	CUBO	UD	INF/TV
	0	0	2	0	0	5	4	0	0	0

U/F = Use of Force; FA = False Arrest; D/H = Discrimination / Harassment; CC = Criminal Conduct; PS = Poor Service; Disc = Discourtesy; IP = Improper Procedure; CUBO = Conduct Unbecoming an Officer; UD = Undetermined; INF/TV = Infractions, Traffic or Municipal Code Violations

Findings	Unfounded	Exonerated	Not Sustained	Sustained	No Finding	Inquiry	Withdrawn
	5	1	1	4	0	0	0

Unfounded - The alleged act did not occur. **Exonerated** - The alleged act occurred but was justified, legal and proper. **Not Sustained** - The investigation produced insufficient information to prove or disprove the allegation. **Sustained** - The Department member committed all or part of the alleged acts of misconduct or poor service. **No Finding** - No finding made as there is no policy regarding the specific allegation. **Inquiry** - a member of the public is requesting clarification of a policy or procedure. **Withdrawn** complaint occurs when a member of the public requests to withdraw their complaint.

Misconduct Noted

During investigations of alleged misconduct, all aspects of an officer’s actions are inspected. When a policy violation is discovered beyond that alleged by the complainant, it is classified as “Misconduct Noted” and, by definition, is a “Sustained” finding. Of the cases reviewed this month, no allegations of “Misconduct Noted” were discovered.

Cases on Hold

There are currently no cases on hold in our office. Held cases have either been returned to RPD for further investigation or are being held pending further investigation by the CPRC investigator.

Case Processing Analysis for Cases Reviewed in January 2011

This chart reflects the average number of days the Police Department and CPRC took to process Category 1 and Category 2 cases reviewed in January. These figures do not include cases that the Commission held for further investigation.

	Category 1	Category 2
RPD investigation and administrative processing	87	285
CPRC processing and review	34	44

Category 1 complaints are the most serious allegations such as criminal conduct and use of excessive force.
Category 2 complaints are the less serious allegations such as discourtesy and improper procedure.

From the date received from IA to synopsis completion, an average of **16** days was needed to prepare incoming complaint investigations for review. This figure reflects all cases reviewed by the Commission this month.

Policy Recommendations

There were no policy recommendations made by the Commission in January 2011.