



MONTHLY STAFF REPORT
June 2010

INTRODUCTION:

The monthly staff report provides updates of the activities of the City of Riverside Community Police Review Commission and gives the staff a chance to pass on information that they feel is important or noteworthy. The information provided in the twelve monthly reports also forms the basis for the annual report.

OUTREACH:

Staff and / or CPRC Members attended the following meetings or community events.

Meeting / Event	Activity
Riverside Neighborhood Conference	Attended
La Sierra Neighborhood Watch	Attended; One-on-One discussions
The Group	Attended (2 meetings)
Chief Diaz' Welcome Reception	Attended
Summer-To-Do Festival	Staffed CPRC booth
Chief Diaz' Swearing-In Ceremony	Attended

A number of local organizations and groups have taken advantage of the opportunity to have Commission Members come to their meetings to discuss the Commission and its work. Groups interested in scheduling a brief presentation regarding CPRC are encouraged to call the office at (951) 826-5509.

TRAINING:

Commission members received / attended the following for training purposes:

Item / Event	Relating to
Presentation by Lt. Gary Leach	Training given to RPD officers on <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Domestic Violence; • RPD Policy Section 7.5, Arrest & Detention of Undocumented Persons.
Ken Rotker, Commissioner Member	Ride-Along

WORKLOAD - June 2010:

Cases Received	Lodged	Filed through CPRC	Filed through RPD
	0	1	6

A complaint is considered **lodged** when a citizen makes a complaint to the CPRC; it is **filed** when the completed complaint form is submitted.

Case Dispositions	Cases Reviewed	Administratively Closed*
	1	0

*Administrative Closure occurs when a case is closed for reasons other than being reviewed or being classified as an Inquiry.

Allegations	EF	D/H	FA	CC	Disc	IP	PS	CUBO	UD	INF/TV
	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EF = Excessive Force; D/H = Discrimination/Harassment; FA = False Arrest; CC = Criminal Conduct; Disc = Discourtesy; IP = Improper Procedure; PS = Poor Service; CUBO = Conduct Unbecoming an Officer; UD = Undetermined; INF/TV = Infractions, Traffic or Municipal Code Violations

Findings	Unfounded	Exonerated	Not Sustained	Sustained	No Finding	Inquiry	Withdrawn
	1	0	0	0	0	0	0

Unfounded - The alleged act did not occur. **Exonerated** - The alleged act occurred but was justified, legal and proper. **Not Sustained** - The investigation produced insufficient information to prove or disprove the allegation. **Sustained** - The Department member committed all or part of the alleged acts of misconduct or poor service. **No Finding** - No finding made as there is no policy regarding the specific allegation.

Inquiry - a member of the public is merely requesting clarification of a policy or procedure.

Withdrawn - occurs when a member of the public requests to withdraw their complaint.

Misconduct Noted

During investigations of alleged misconduct, all aspects of an officer's actions are inspected. When a policy violation is discovered beyond that alleged by the complainant, it is classified as "Misconduct Noted" and, by definition, is a "Sustained" finding. Of the cases reviewed this month, no allegations of "Misconduct Noted" were discovered.

Cases on Hold

There are currently no cases on hold in our office. These cases have either been returned to RPD for further investigation or are being held pending further investigation by the CPRC investigator.

Case Processing Analysis for Cases Reviewed in June 2010

This chart reflects the average number of days the Police Department and CPRC took to process Category 1 and Category 2 cases reviewed in June. These figures do not include cases that were held for further investigation.

	Category 1	Category 2
RPD investigation and administrative processing	94	0
CPRC processing and review	61	0

Category 1 complaints are the most serious allegations such as criminal conduct and use of excessive force.

Category 2 complaints are the less serious allegations such as discourtesy and improper procedure.

From the date received from IA to synopsis completion, an average of 14 days was needed to prepare incoming complaint investigations for review. This figure reflects all cases reviewed by the Commission this month.

Policy Recommendations

The Commission submitted a recommendation that RPD modify Policy 2.23, Rules of Conduct, Subsection P, to include intentional omissions as possible conduct violations. The Commission believes that intentional report omissions may form the basis for conduct rule violations in the same manner as intentional report inclusions.