

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT Planning Division

Draft Negative Declaration

AGENDA ITEM NO.:

WARD: 5

1. **Case Number:** P13-0324, P13-0325, P13-0326 and P13-0327

2. **Project Title:** La Sierra Metrolink Transit Orientated Development

3. **Hearing Date:** February 6, 2014

4. Lead Agency: City of Riverside

Community Development Department

Planning Division

3900 Main Street, 3rd Floor Riverside, CA 92522

5. **Contact Person:** Kyle Smith, Associate Planner

Phone Number: (951) 826-5220

6. **Project Location:** Situated on portions of two vacant pads totaling approximately 3.7 acres on the

northwesterly side of Indiana Avenue, between Grande Vista Parkway and

Vallejo Street, surrounded by the existing La Sierra Metrolink parking lot.

7. Project Applicant/Project Sponsor's Name and Address:

Property Owner Engineer

Riverside County Applicant Andrew Walcker

Transportation Commission Steve Berzansky IW Consulting Engineers, Inc.

(RCTC) Steven Walker Communities 3544 University Ave. 4080 Lemon Street. Third Floor 7111 Indiana Ave.. Suite 300 Riverside, CA 92501

4080 Lemon Street, Third Floor 7111 Indiana Ave., Suite 300 Riverside, CA 92501 Riverside, CA 92504

8. **General Plan Designation:** MU-U – Mixed Use Urban

9. Existing Zoning: R-1-7000-SP - Single Family Residential and Specific Plan (Riverwalk Vista) Overlay

Proposed Zoning: MU-U-SP – Mixed Use Urban and Specific Plan (Riverwalk Vista) Overlay

10. Description of Project:

The project involves the establishment of a 187 unit phased multiple family residential development located adjacent to the La Sierra Metrolink Parking Lot. The project site consists of portions of two existing vacant pads totaling approximately 3.7 acres located on the northwesterly side of Indiana Avenue, between Grande Vista Parkway and Vallejo Street, surrounded by the existing La Sierra Metrolink parking lot. The proposed Transit orientated development (TOD) will provide housing in close proximity to the La Sierra Metrolink station, as well as several Riverside Transit Agency (RTA) bus route stops.

The proposal involves an amendment to the underlying Riverwalk Vista Specific Plan to allow for a transit-orientated residential development in the area now developed with the La Sierra Metrolink Station, known as "Planning Area 1". The Specific Plan, last modified in 2011, called for commercial land uses in this area. The proposed amendment would permit a mix of uses including commercial and residential, without precluding the transit uses. Additionally, the applicant proposes an Amendment to the Title 19 of the Riverside Municipal Code (Zoning) to change the underlying zoning designation of the approximately 3.7 acre project site from the underlying R-1-7000-SP – Single Family Residential and Specific Plan (Riverwalk Vista) Overlay Zones to the MU-U-SP – Mixed Use Urban and Specific Plan (Riverwalk Vista) Overlay Zones. Pursuant to Section 19.120.010 of the Zoning Code, which establishes the intents and purposes of the City's mixed use zones, a stand-alone residential project may be permitted in the MU-U – Mixed Use Urban Zone without commercial elements if it is developed as a Transit orientated development (TOD), such as the proposed project. The MU-U Zone will not preclude future development or the expansion of the transit facilities in Planning Area 1. The project proposed 187 units over approximately 3.7 acres, which yields a density of 50 du/ac. While the proposed MU-U Zone allows a maximum density of 40 du/ac, projects located within one-half mile of a transit station are allowed with a density of up to 60 du/ac.

The proposed project would be accessible via the existing main driveway accessing the Metrolink Parking lot. Phase one of the development would be constructed on the entire existing vacant approximately 2.25 acre pad on the northerly side of the driveway, while phase two would be constructed on approximately 1.45 acres (approximately two thirds) of the existing vacant pad to the south of the driveway. Secondary access is proposed to be taken from each pad via the existing northerly driveway accessing the Metrolink parking lot, and via a shared driveway with the proposed vehicle fuel station. Each pad will contain internal vehicular circulation, detached carport and a single residential building arranged around a central courtyard where the outdoor recreation area, pool, fitness center and leasing office are proposed. The residential buildings will include tuck under garages and three upper floors of residential units. Additionally, the southerly pad (phase two) is proposed to include three "carriage house" units, which are detached from the main building and contain two units each with tuck-under garages.

The proposed architectural style can best be described as "Urban Californian", which takes elements of early California Architecture with modern forms consistent with more urban themes. The applicant proposes a mixture of flat and pitched roofs, metal detailing, stone veneer, and a blending of vibrant color selections. The buildings are proposed to be accented with arches, metal railings and canopies.

The project meets all applicable standards with five exceptions. The applicant is proposing the following variances:

- o To allow a landscape setback to be less than 15 feet along portions of the Indiana Avenue frontage, where 15 feet in the minimum allowed;
- o To allow electronic and hybrid vehicle only parking spaces;
- o To permit a residential project with 297 parking spaces where 316 are required;
- o To permit freestanding carport structures which contain more than six parking spaces;
- o To permit detached carports with one open parking staff between structures where two are required;

Findings to support three variances can be found in the Staff Report.

As a matter of information, the applicant intends to work with the Riverside County Transportation Commission (RCTC), the current property owner, to deed the project sites into separate parcels. Pursuant to the California Subdivision Map Act, this subdivision is not required to go through the City's process as RCTC is a separate public agency. However, the proposed parcels meet all standards for parcels in the proposed MU-U Zone.

11. Surrounding land uses and setting: Briefly describe the project's surroundings:

	Existing Land Use	General Plan Designation	Zoning Designation
Project Site	Portions of two vacant pads, bisected by Metrolink parking lot driveway	MU-U – Mixed Use Urban	R-1-7000-SP - Single Family Residential and Specific Plan (Riverwalk Vista) Overlay Zones
Northwest	La Sierra Metrolink Station parking	MU-U – Mixed Use Urban	R-1-7000-SP - Single Family Residential and Specific Plan (Riverwalk Vista) Overlay Zones
Northeast (across Indiana Ave.)	Single Family Residential (Riverwalk Vista development)	MDR – Medium Density Residential	R-1-7000-SP - Single Family Residential and Specific Plan (Riverwalk Vista) Overlay Zones
Southeast (across Indiana Ave.)	Single Family Residential (Riverwalk Vista development)	MDR – Medium Density Residential	R-1-7000-SP - Single Family Residential and Specific Plan (Riverwalk Vista) Overlay Zones
Southwest	Vacant	MU-U – Mixed Use Urban	R-1-7000-SP - Single Family Residential and Specific Plan (Riverwalk Vista) Overlay Zones

12. Other public agencies whose approval is required (e.g., permits, financial approval, or participation agreement.):

None

13. Other Environmental Reviews Incorporated by Reference in this Review:

- a. General Plan 2025
- b. GP 2025 FPEIR
- c. Noise Impact Analysis prepared by LSA, dated September 2013
- d. Water Quality Management Plan prepared by IW Consulting Engineers, Inc., dated December 2, 2013
- e. Air Quality Analysis prepared by LSA, dated September 2013
- f. Burrowing Owl Survey prepared by LSA, dated June 12, 2103
- g. Traffic Impact Analysis prepared by LSA, dated August 16, 2103

14. Acronyms

AICUZ -	Air Inc	tallation	Compatible	Lise Zone	Study
AICUL -	A11 1118	stanianon	Compandic	OSC ZUIIC	Study

AQMP - Air Quality Management Plan AUSD - Alvord Unified School District

CEQA - California Environmental Quality Act

CMP - Congestion Management Plan
EIR - Environmental Impact Report
EMWD - Eastern Municipal Water District
EOP - Emergency Operations Plan

FEMA - Federal Emergency Management Agency

FPEIR - GP 2025 Final Programmatic Environmental Impact Report

GIS - Geographic Information System

GhG - Green House Gas GP 2025 - General Plan 2025 IS - Initial Study

LHMP - Local Hazard Mitigation Plan

MARB/MIP - March Air Reserve Base/March Inland Port

MJPA-JLUS - March Joint Powers Authority - Joint Land Use Study

MSHCP - Multiple-Species Habitat Conservation Plan MVUSD - Moreno Valley Unified School District NCCP - Natural Communities Conservation Plan

OEM - Office of Emergency Services

OPR - Office of Planning & Research, State
PEIR - Program Environmental Impact Report

PW - Public Works, Riverside

RCALUC - Riverside County Airport Land Use Commission
RCALUCP - Riverside County Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan

RCP - Regional Comprehensive Plan

RCTC - Riverside County Transportation Commission

RMC - Riverside Municipal Code RPD - Riverside Police Department RPU - Riverside Public Utilities

RTIP - Regional Transportation Improvement Plan

RTP - Regional Transportation Plan RUSD - Riverside Unified School District

SCAG - Southern California Association of Governments SCAQMD - South Coast Air Quality Management District

SCH - State Clearinghouse

SKR-HCP - Stephens' Kangaroo Rat - Habitat Conservation Plan

SWPPP - Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan

USGS - United States Geologic Survey
WMWD - Western Municipal Water District
WQMP - Water Quality Management Plan

ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED:

	below would be potentially affected nt Impact" as indicated by the checklish		ast one			
Aesthetics	Agriculture & Forest Resources	Air Quality				
Biological Resources	Cultural Resources	Geology/Soils				
Greenhouse Gas Emissions	Hazards & Hazardous Materials	Hydrology/Water Quality				
Land Use/Planning	Mineral Resources	Noise				
Population/Housing	Public Service	Recreation				
Transportation/Traffic	Utilities/Service Systems	Mandatory Findings of Significance				
DETERMINATION: (To be completed)	eted by the Lead Agency)					
On the basis of this initial evaluation recommended that:	on which reflects the independent jud	dgment of the City of Riversid	e, it is			
The City of Riverside finds that the propand a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will	osed project COULD NOT have a signifi be prepared.	cant effect on the environment,				
there will not be a significant effect in the	the proposed project could have a signifing case because revisions in the project he nEGATIVE DECLARATION will be properties.	ave been made by or agreed to				
The City of Riverside finds that the property ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT	posed project MAY have a significant eff Γ is required.	ect on the environment, and an				
The City of Riverside finds that the proposed project MAY have a "potentially significant impact" or "potentially significant unless mitigated" impact on the environment, but at least one effect 1) has been adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and 2) has been addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis as described on attached sheets. An ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required, but it must analyze only the effects that remain to be addressed.						
The City of Riverside finds that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been analyzed adequately in an earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION pursuant to applicable standards, and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION, including revisions or mitigation measures that are imposed upon the proposed project, nothing further is required.						
Signature		Date				
Printed Name & Title		For <u>City of Riverside</u>				



COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT Planning Division

City of Arts & Innovation

Environmental Initial Study

EVALUATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS:

- A brief explanation is required for all answers except "No Impact" answers that are adequately supported by the information sources a lead agency cites in the parentheses following each question. A "No Impact" answer is adequately supported if the referenced information sources show that the impact simply does not apply to projects like the one involved (e.g., the project falls outside a fault rupture zone). A "No Impact" answer should be explained where it is based on project-specific factors as well as general standards (e.g., the project will not expose sensitive receptors to pollutants, based on a project-specific screening analysis).
- 2) All answers must take account of the whole action involved, including off-site as well as on-site, cumulative as well as project-level, indirect as well as direct, and construction as well as operational impacts.
- Once the lead agency has determined that a particular physical impact may occur, then the checklist answers must indicate whether the impact is potentially significant, less than significant with mitigation, or less than significant. "Potentially Significant Impact" is appropriate if there is substantial evidence that an effect may be significant. If there are one or more "Potentially Significant Impact" entries when the determination is made, an EIR is required.
- 4) "Negative Declaration: Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated" applies where the incorporation of mitigation measures has reduced an effect from "Potentially Significant Impact" to a "Less Than Significant Impact." The lead agency must describe the mitigation measures, and briefly explain how they reduce the effect to a less than significant level (mitigation measures from "Earlier Analyses," as described in (5) below, may be cross-referenced).
- 5) Earlier analyses may be used where, pursuant to the tiering, program EIR, or other CEQA process, an effect has been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or negative declaration. Section 15063(c)(3)(D). In this case, a brief discussion should identify the following:
 - a. Earlier Analysis Used. Identify and state where they are available for review.
 - b. **Impacts Adequately Addressed.** Identify which effects from the above checklist were with in the scope of and adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and state whether such effects were addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis.
 - c. **Mitigation Measures.** For effects that are "Less than Significant with Mitigation Measures Incorporated," describe the mitigation measure which were incorporated or refined from the earlier document and the extent to which they address site-specific conditions for the project.
- 6) Lead agencies are encouraged to incorporate into the checklist references to information sources for potential impacts (e.g., general plans, zoning ordinances). Reference to a previously prepared or outside document should, where appropriate, include a reference to the page or pages where the statement is substantiated.

- 7) Supporting Information Sources: A source list should be attached, and other sources used or individuals contacted should be cited in the discussion.
- 8) The explanation of each issue should identify:
 - a. the significance criteria or threshold, if any, used to evaluate each question; and
 - b. the mitigation measure identified, if any, to reduce the impact to less than significance.

The proposal involves an amendment to the underlying Riverwalk Vista Specific Plan to allow for a transit-orientated residential development in the area now developed with the La Sierra Metrolink Station, known as "Planning Area 1". The Specific Plan, last modified in 2011, called for commercial land uses in this area. The proposed amendment would permit a mix of uses including commercial and residential, without precluding the transit uses. Additionally, the applicant proposes an Amendment to the Title 19 of the Riverside Municipal Code (Zoning) to change the underlying zoning designation of the approximately 3.7 acre project site from the underlying R-1-7000-SP – Single Family Residential and Specific Plan (Riverwalk Vista) Overlay Zones to the MU-U-SP – Mixed Use Urban and Specific Plan (Riverwalk Vista) Overlay Zones

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
1. AESTHETICS. Would the project:		Î		
a. Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?				
1a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure CCM-4 – Figure 5.1-1 – Scenic and Special Boulevards and Parkwa Table 5.1-B – Scenic Parkways) There are no defined scenic vista potentially be impacted as a response.	ys, Table 5.1-	A – Scenic ar	ıd Special Bo	ulevards, and
northwesterly side of Indiana Avenue, between Grande Vista Parky Sierra Metrolink parking lot. The proposed 187 unit phased multiple with applicable development standards of the proposed MU-U Zone based on the findings contained in the case record. The aesthetic vie or conditioned to be consistent, with the <i>Citywide Design Guideline</i> on a scenic vista and impacts are less than significant.	way and Valle family reside . Where varia w of the propo	ejo Street, surn ential developmentes are proposed built envi	rounded by the nent is general osed, they can ronment will b	e existing La lly consistent be supported be consistent,
b. Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?				
5.1-B – Scenic Parkways, the City's Urban Forest Tree Pol. The General Plan 2025 designates several roadways as Scenic Bouler and enhance the visual character of Riverside. While the propose Avenue frontage, it will be in close proximity to La Sierra Boulevard/Parkway within the Circulation and Community Mobility Master Plan of Roadways. The Project plans have been designed Citywide Design and Sign Guidelines, and are consistent and comparea. The aesthetic view of the proposed built environment will be Citywide Design Guidelines, therefore the project will not have an adsignificant.	vards and Parl d project will Avenue, why Element of to comply witible with the e consistent,	I not be const ich is design the General P with the design existing deve or conditioned	ructed along nated as a S lan 2025, Fig n policies cor lopment of the late to be consisted.	the La Sierra Scenic/Special ure CCM-4 – tained in the e surrounding tent, with the
c. Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings?				
1c. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025, General Plan 20 Guidelines, and Riverwalk Vista Specific Plan) The proposed Project consists of a Specific Plan Amendment and Re proposed project. Further, the Project consists of Design Review of p consistent with the Citywide Design and Sign Guidelines. While the I neighborhood and will be situated near a special/scenic bouleva surrounding area. Therefore, it will not degrade the existing visual character or quality of the Planning Area we have the constant of the Planning Area we have the planning Are	zoning to appl lot plans and l Project is locat rd, it has be naracter of the	ly the proper la building elevanted both adjace en designed to	and use designations to ensure ent to an existing be compating the compating the compact directly	nations for the the project is ng residential ible with the
d. Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?				
1d. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025, General Plan 2025, Area, Title 19 – Article VIII – Chapter 19.556 – Lighting, Caspecific Plan) The proposed 187 unit phased multiple family residential developing associated with residential development. This lighting we area and would not be considered significant. Additionally, the site impact is less than significant.	Citywide Designment will in buld be simila	n and Sign G nvolve the inter to that which	uidelines, Riv roduction of h exists in the	new lighting surrounding

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
2. AGRICULTURE AND FOREST RESOURCES:				
In determining whether impacts to agricultural resources are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural Land Evaluation and Site Assessment Model (1997) prepared by the California Dept. of Conservation as an optional model to use in assessing impacts on agriculture and farmland. In determining whether impacts to forest resources, including timberland, are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to information complied by the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection regarding the state's inventory of forest land, including the Forest and Range Assessment Project and the Forest Legacy Assessment project; and the forest carbon measurement methodology provided in the Forest Protocols adopted by the California Air Resources Board. Would the project:				
a. Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use?				
2a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 – Figure OS-2 – A Appendix I – Designated Farmland Table)) The proposed project consists of urban development. A review of Plan 2025 reveals that the project site is partially within an area However, the project site is not designated as Prime Farmland, Un Therefore, impacts related to Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, and the state of the	Figure OS-2 that is designated that is designated the control of t	 Agricultural nated as Farm or Farmland 	Suitability of land of Local of Statewide	the General Importance. Importance.
b. Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract?				
2b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 – Figure OS-3 - W Figure 5.2-4 – Proposed Zones Permitting Agricultural Use A review of Figure 5.2-2 – Williamson Act Preserves of the Gener- located within an area that is affected by a Williamson Act Preserve project will have no impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively.	es, and Title 1 al Plan 2025 l	9) FPEIR reveals	that the proje	ect site is not
c. Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined in Public Resources Code section 12220(g)) timberland (as defined in Public Resources Code section 4526), or timberland zoned Timberland Production (as defined by Government Code section 51104(g))?				
2c. Response: (Source: GIS Map – Forest Data) The City of Riverside has no forest land that can support 10-perce. Therefore, no impacts will occur from this project directly, indirectly.			es it have any	timberland.

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	
d. Result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land		Incorporated		\square	
to non-forest use? 2d. Response: (Source: GIS Map – Forest Data) The City of Riverside has no forest land that can support 10-perce therefore no impacts will occur from this project directly, indirectly			bes it have any		
e. Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?					
2e. Response: (Source: General Plan – Figure OS-2 – Agricultural Suitability, Figure OS-3 – Williamson Act Preserves, General Plan 2025 FPEIR – Appendix I – Designated Farmland Table, Title 19 – Article V – Chapter 19.100 – Residential Zones – RC Zone and RA-5 Zone and GIS Map – Forest Data) The proposed project consists of urban development. A review of Figure OS-2 – Agricultural Suitability of the General Plan 2025 reveals that the project site is partially within an area that is designated as Farmland of Local Importance. However, the project does not directly result in the conversion of farmland to a non-agricultural use, indirect impacts could occur as a result of increasing densities as well as the construction of roads and infrastructure in proximity to operating agricultural areas. For instance, development adjacent to agricultural areas could generate dust that could affect agricultural productivity. In the long term, potential effects associated with increased to adjacent agricultural lands could include vandalism to farm equipment or fencing, and theft of crops. Soil compaction from trespassers can also damage crop potential and spread disease. Additionally, where residential areas are in proximity to agricultural areas, nuisance complaints against the farming activities may also discourage farming. These effects can result in indirect economic impacts to farming operations. Because the project is consistent with General Plan 2025 Policies related to agricultural preservation including those enforcing Proposition R and Measure C, the project does not involve increased access to the agricultural land including roads and infrastructure, does not involve expansion of a residential neighborhood adjacent to or into the agricultural area and due to the limited scope and intensity of the project, the project would allow for continued use of the existing farmland in the City in a manner that will ensure the viability and sustainability of the existing agricultural/crop production and therefore a less than					
3. AIR QUALITY.					
Where available, the significance criteria established by the applicable air quality management or air pollution control district may be relied upon to make the following determinations. Would the project:					
a. Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?					
3a. Response: (Source: South Coast Air Quality Managen (AQMP))	ment District	rs 2007 Air (Quality Mana	gement Plan	
Projects that are consistent with the projections of employment California Association of Governments (SCAG) are considered conforecast numbers were used by SCAG's modeling section to forecast such as the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), the SCAQMD's A (TRIP), and the Regional Housing Plan. This project is consistent forecasts identified by the Southern California Association of Gove Plan 2025 "Typical Growth Scenario." Since the project is consistent the AQMP. The project will have a less than significant i implementation of an air quality plan. b. Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation?	sistent with the st travel demandary. QMP, Region at with the programments (SC and with the General Region and the	te AQMP growned and air quant and arransportate of ections of end AG) that are coneral Plan 202	with projections ality for plann tion Improvem mployment an consistent with 5, it is also co	s, since these ing activities ent Program d population the General nsistent with	

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With Mitigation	Impact	Impuet
		Incorporated		

³b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Table 5.3-B SCAQMD CEQA Regional Significance Thresholds, South Coast Air Quality Management District's 2007 AQMP, URBEMIS 2007 Model or CalEEMod, EMFAC 2007 Model and Air Quality Analysis prepared by LSA, dated September 2013)

Per General Plan 2025 FPEIR MM Air 1 and 7, a SCAQMD CalEEMod computer model analyzed both short-term construction related and long-term operational impacts. The results of the CalEEMod model determined that the proposed project would result in the following emission levels:

CalEEMod MODEL RESULTS SHORT-TERM IMPACTS						
A otivity.		Daily Emissions (lbs/day)				
Activity	ROG	NO _X	CO	SO ₂	PM-10	PM-2.5
SCAQMD Daily Thresholds Construction	75	100	550	150	150	55
Daily Project - Emissions Construction	32.3406	57.6827	44.3509	0.0640	10.3838	6.8121
Exceeds Y/N Threshold?	N	N	N	N	N	N

CalEEMod MODEL RESULTS LONG-TERM IMPACTS						
		Daily Emissions (lbs/day)				
Activity	ROG	NO _X	СО	SO ₂	PM-10	PM-2.5
SCAQMD Daily Thresholds Operation	55	55	550	150	150	55
Daily Project - Emissions Operational	23.4911	17.0863	83.4300	0.1378	9.5210	2.8989
Exceeds Y/N Threshold?	N	N	N	N	N	N

The above tables compare the project emissions (short-term and long-term) to the SCAQMD daily thresholds and shows that established thresholds will not be exceeded. To ensure short term emissions are further reduced the General Plan 2025 Program required mitigation measures that have been applied to this project, MM AIR 1-2. Therefore, because the project will not violate any ambient air quality standard, contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation, and will be subject to further mitigation the impacts directly, indirectly and cumulatively will be **less than significant impacts with mitigation** to ambient air quality and to contributing to an existing air quality violation.

MM Air 1: To reduce diesel emissions associated with construction, construction contractors shall provide temporary electricity to eliminate the need for diesel powered generators, or provide evidence that electrical hook ups at construction sites are not cost effective or feasible.

MM Air 2: To reduce construction related particulate matter air quality impacts of projects the following measures shall be required:

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact			
INFORMATION SOURCES).	- Input	Mitigation Incorporated	puec				
 the generation of dust shall be controlled as required by the AQMD; grading activities shall cease during period of high winds (greater than 25mph); trucks hauling soil, dirt or other emissive materials shall have their loads covered with a tarp or other protective cover as determined by the City Engineer; and the contractor shall prepare and maintain a traffic control plan, prepared, stamped and signed by either a licensed Traffic Engineer or a Civil Engineer. The preparation of the plan shall be in accordance with Chapter 5 of the latest edition of the Caltrans Traffic Manual and the State Standard Specifications. The plan shall be submitted for approval, by the engineer, at the preconstruction meeting. Work shall not commence without an approval traffic control plan. 							
c. Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?							
3c. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Table 5.3-B SCAQMD CEQA Regional Significance Thresholds, South Coast Air Quality Management District's 2007 Air Quality Management Plan, URBEMIS 2007 Model or CalEEMod 2007 Model, EMFAC 2007 Model and Air Quality Management Plan, URBEMIS 2007 Model or CalEEMod 2007 Model, EMFAC 2007 Model and Air Quality Analysis prepared by LSA, dated September 2013) Per the GP 2025 FPEIR, AQMP thresholds indicate future construction activities under the General Plan are projected to result in significant levels of NOx and ROG, both ozone precursors, PM-10, PM-2.5 and CO. Although long-term emissions are expected to decrease by 2025, all criteria pollutants remain above the SCAQMD thresholds. The portion of the Basin within which the City is located is designated as a non-attainment area for ozone, PM-10 and PM-2.5 under State standards, and as a non-attainment area for ozone, carbon monoxide, PM-10, and PM-2.5 under Federal standards. Because the proposed project is consistent with the General Plan 2025, cumulative impacts related to criteria pollutants as a result of the project were previously evaluated as part of the cumulative analysis of build out anticipated under the General Plan 2025 Program. As a result, the proposed project does not result in any new significant impacts that were not previously evaluated and for which a statement of overriding considerations was adopted as part of the General Plan 2025							
d. Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?							
3d. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Tall Thresholds, South Coast Air Quality Management District 2007 or CalEEMod, EMFAC 2007 Model and Air Quality Short-term impacts associated with construction from General Plan emissions from grading, earthmoving, and construction activities. It requires individual development to employ construction approaches FPEIR MM AIR 1- MM AIR 5, e.g., watering for dust controconformance with the General Plan 2025 FPEIR MM AIR 1 and MI term construction and long-term operational related impacts of the protection of the protecti	ct's 2007 Air Analysis preparation Medical Mitigation Medical	Quality Man ared by LSA, a all build out we assures of the epollutant emipment, limiting IEEMod computermined that the tional impacts.	agement Plandated September vill result in General Plandissions (General gruck idlinguter model and the proposed propose	n, URBEMIS per 2013) increased air 2025 FPEIR ral Plan 2025 ig times). In alyzed short- project would be project will			
of people?							

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially	Less Than	Less Than	No
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Significant Impact	Significant With	Significant Impact	Impact
		Mitigation Incorporated		
3e. Response: (Source: Air Quality Analysis prepared by LSA)	dated Septen	nber 2013)		
While exact quantification of objectionable odors cannot be determined	_		ature of what	is considered
"objectionable," the nature of the proposed project, associated infr	astructure and	l related off-si	te improveme	nts present a
potential for the generation of objectionable odors associated with c				
use is not typically associated with the generation of objectionable				
with the expected build out of the project site will generate airbo				
coating applications, and on- and off-site improvement installation daylight hours, be short-term in duration, and would be isolated				
Therefore, they would not expose a substantial number of people to				
the project will not cause objectionable odors affecting a substantial				
directly, indirectly and cumulatively will occur.	1	1	8	•
4. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES.				
Would the project:				
a. Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through			\boxtimes	
habitat modifications, on any species identified as a				Ш
candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or				
regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California				
Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife				
Service?		D(977	D) G D	101
4a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 - Figure OS-6 - St				
Habitat Conservation Plans (HCP), Figure OS-7 – MSHO Areas, General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.4-2 – MSHCP				
Subunit Areas, Figure 5.4-6 – MSHCP Narrow Endemic				
Criteria Area Species Survey Area, Figure 5.4-8 - I				
Assessment prepared by LSA, dated June 12, 2103)				
A habitat assessment prepared by a qualified biologist was prepared				
determined that the project is in compliance with the MSHCP, and so or special status species or suitable habitat for such species occurs or				
are required. Therefore, the project has a less than significant				
resources.	impuet aneet	ij, maneenj		very to these
b. Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or				
other sensitive natural community identified in local or				
regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California				
Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife				
Service?		D(G**	D) G D	101
4b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 – Figure OS-6 – St Habitat Conservation Plans (HCP), Figure OS-7 – MSH0				
Areas, General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.4-2 – MSHCP				
Subunit Areas, Figure 5.4-6 – MSHCP Narrow Endemic				
Criteria Area Species Survey Area, Figure 5.4-8 – MSHC				
- Protection of Species Associated with Riparian/Riverin	e Areas and	Vernal Pools	, and Habita	t Assessment
prepared by LSA, dated June 12, 2103)				
As required under the MSHCP, a habitat assessment prepared by a				
habitat assessment finds the proposed project complies with Section and protection of riparian/riverine areas and vernal pools within the				
6.1.2 and other applicable requirements, impacts to any riparian hal				
local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the Califor				
Wildlife Services are found to have a less than significant impact d				
c. Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected		·	\boxtimes	
wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act				
(including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal,				

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	
etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?		1			
4c. Response: (Source: City of Riverside GIS/CADME USGS by LSA, dated June 12, 2103)	S Quad Map	Layer, and Ho	abitat Assessm	ent prepared	
The project site is located within an urban built-up area, contains existing development, and has a long history of severe disturbance such that the project would not have a substantial adverse effect, on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption or other means. Therefore, a less than significant impact will occur directly, indirectly and cumulatively to federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means.					
d. Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?					
4d. Response: (Source: MSHCP, General Plan 2025 – Figu Assessment prepared by LSA, dated June 12, 2103)	re OS-7 – M	SHCP Cores	and Linkage	and Habitat	
The project site is not located within any MSHCP Criteria Cel significantly degraded and does not facilitate the movement of any The project site is not used as a migratory wildlife corridor, nor does project will result in no impact directly, indirectly and cumulativel fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or mig wildlife nursery sites.	native reside it qualify for y to the move	ent or migrato use as a native ment of any n	ry fish or wild wildlife nurs ative resident	dlife species. ery site. The or migratory	
e. Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?					
4e. Response: (Source: MSHCP, Title 16 Section 16.72.040 – Establishing the Western Riverside County MSHCP Mitigation Fee, Title 16 Section 16.40.040 – Establishing a Threatened and Endangered Species Fees, City of Riverside Urban Forest Tree Policy Manual, and Habitat Assessment prepared by LSA, dated June 12, 2103) Implementation of the proposed Project is subject to all applicable Federal, State, and local policies and regulations related to the protection of biological resources and tree preservation. In addition, the project is required to comply with Riverside Municipal Code Section 16.72.040 establishing the MSHCP mitigation fee and Section 16.40.040 establishing the Threatened and Endangered Species Fees. Any project within the City of Riverside's boundaries that proposes planting a street tree within a City right-of-way must follow the Urban Forest Tree Policy Manual. The Manual documents guidelines for the planting, pruning, preservation, and removal of all trees in City rights-of-way. The specifications in the Manual are based on national standards for tree care established by the International Society of Arboriculture, the National Arborists Association, and the American National Standards Institute. Any future project will be in compliance with the Tree Policy Manual when planting a tree within a City right-of-way, and therefore, impacts will be less than significant.					
f. Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?					
4f. Response: (Source: MSHCP, General Plan 2025 – Figure OS-6 – Stephen's Kangaroo Rat (SKR) Core Reserve and Other Habitat Conservation Plans (HCP), Stephens' Kangaroo Rat Habitat Conservation Plan, Lake Mathews Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan and Natural Community Conservation Plan, and El Sobrante Landfill Habitat Conservation Plan)					
The project site is located within an urbanized area and will not i Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or cumulatively. Therefore, the project will have no impact on the Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional.	State habitat provisions of	conservation praction and adopted I	olan directly, i Habitat Conse	ndirectly and	

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING		Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact		
IN	F	ORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With Mitigation	Impact	Impact	
				Incorporated			
5.		ULTURAL RESOURCES. buld the project:					
	a.	Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in § 15064.5 of the CEQA Guidelines?					
	5a.	Response: (Source: GP 2025 FPEIR Table 5.5-A Histor and Appendix D, Title 20 of the Riverside Municipal Code)		and Neighbo	rhood Conse	rvation Areas	
		roject will be located on a site where no historic resource ines. Therefore, no impacts directly, indirectly and cumulative				f the CEQA	
	b.	Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archeological resource pursuant to § 15064.5 of the CEQA Guidelines?					
	5b.	Response: (Source: GP 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.5-1 - Arc. Cultural Resources Sensitivity, Appendix D – Cultural Resources			Figure 5.5-2	- Prehistoric	
		roject will be located on a site where no archeological resou ines. Therefore, no impacts directly, indirectly and cumulativ	rces exist as	defined in Sec		of the CEQA	
	c.	Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?					
	5c.	Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Policy HP-1.3)		•			
		oject will be located on a site where no paleontological resounes. Therefore, no impacts directly, indirectly and cumulative					
	d.	Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?					
	5d.	Response: (Source: GP 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.5-1 - Arc. Cultural Resources Sensitivity)	haeological S	ensitivity and	Figure 5.5-2	- Prehistoric	
		Project will be located on a site where no human remains ines. Therefore, no impacts directly, indirectly and cumulative				f the CEQA	
6.		EOLOGY AND SOILS.					
		ould the project:					
	a.	Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving:					
		i. Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42.					
		6i. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-1	– Regional F	ault Zones &	General Plan	2025 FPEIR	
Sei	smi	Appendix E – Geotechnical Report) c activity is to be expected in Southern California. In the Cit	ty of Riversid	e there are no	Alanist-Priol	o zones The	
		site does not contain any known fault lines and the potential					
	with the California Building Code regulations will ensure that no impacts related to strong seismic ground will occur						
un	ccii	y, indirectly and cumulatively. ii. Strong seismic ground shaking?					
		6ii. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Appen	dix E – Geote	zchnical Revol	<u>'''</u> '''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''		
Th	e Sa	an Jacinto Fault Zone located in the northeastern portion of		-		ocated in the	
sou	southern portion of the City's Sphere of Influence, have the potential to cause moderate to large earthquakes that would						

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact				
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With Mitigation	Impact	Impact				
		Incorporated						
cause intense ground shaking. Because the proposed project complies with California Building Code regulations, impacts associated with strong seismic ground shaking will have no impact directly, indirectly and cumulatively.								
iii. Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?								
6iii. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-1 Zones, General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure PS-3 – Soils Geotechnical Report)								
	The project site is located in an area with the potential for moderate liquefaction. Compliance with the California Building Code regulations will ensure that impacts related to seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction, are reduced to less than significant impact levels directly indirectly and cumulatively							
iv. Landslides?				\square				
6iv. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figur - Geotechnical Report, Title 18 - Subdivision Code, To Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan SWPPP) The project site and its surroundings have generally flat topography Figure 5.6-1 of the General Plan 2025 Program Final PEIR. The directly, indirectly and cumulatively.	Title 17 – Gra and are not l	ding Code, and located in an a	rea prone to la	andslides per				
b. Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?			\boxtimes					
6b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure 5. Soils, Table 5.6-B - Soil Types, Title 18 - Subdivision Coacre: SWPPP) Erosion and loss of topsoil could occur as a result of the project. State	ode, Title 17 -	- Grading Cod	de, and for pi	rojects over 1				
implementation of a Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SW construction activities. The project must also comply with the Nation regulations. In addition, with the erosion control standards for whice Grading Code (Title 17) also requires the implementation of measure State and Federal requirements as well as with Titles 18 and 17 will than significant impact directly, indirectly and cumulatively. c. Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that	onal Pollutant h all develops es designed to l ensure that s	Discharge Eliment activity room minimize soil	mination Systenust comply ('I erosion. Com	em (NPDES) Title 18), the apliance with				
would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?			<u>~</u> 3					
6c. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-1 – Regional Fault Zones, Figure PS-2 – Liquefaction Zones, General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure PS-3 – Soils with High Shrink-Swell Potential, Figure 5.6-1 - Areas Underlain by Steep Slope, Figure 5.6-4 – Soils, Table 5.6-B – Soil Types, and Appendix E – Geotechnical Report) The general topography of the subject site is flat. Compliance with the City's existing codes and the policies contained in the General Plan 2025 help to ensure that impacts related to geologic conditions are reduced to less than significant impacts level directly, indirectly and cumulatively.								
d. Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial risks to life or property?								
6d. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.6-4 – Soils, Figure 5.6-4 – Soils, Table 5.6-B – Soil Types, Figure 5.6-5 – Soils with High Shrink-Swell Potential, Appendix E – Geotechnical Report, and California Building Code as adopted by the City of Riverside and set out in Title 16 of the Riverside Municipal Code) Expansive soil is defined under California Building Code. The soil types of the subject site are Arlington, Ramona, Delhi 7 Greenfield (See Figure 5.64 – Soils of the General Plan 2025 Program Final PEIR.) Compliance with the applicable provisions of the City's Subdivision Code- Title 18 and the California Building Code with regard to soil hazards related to the expansive soils will be reduced to a less than significant impact level for this project directly, indirectly and cumulatively.								
e. Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of sentic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems								

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact		
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With Mitigation Incorporated	Impact	Impact		
where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water?						
6e. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.6 The proposed project will be served by sewer infrastructure. Therefore						
7. GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS.						
Would the project:						
a. Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?						
7a. Response: (Source: GHG Analysis prepared by LSA dated September 2013) Projects that are consistent with the projections of employment and population forecasts identified by the SCAG are considered consistent with the AQMP growth projections, since these forecast numbers were used by SCAG's modeling section to forecast travel demand and air quality for planning activities such as the RTP, the SCAQMD's AQMP, RTIP, and the Regional Housing Plan. This project is consistent with the projections of employment and population forecasts identified by the SCAG that are consistent with the General Plan 2025 "Typical Growth Scenario." However, due to the size and scope of the proposed project, a Climate Change Analysis was commissioned by the applicant to determine if the project related impacts (both construction and operational) would produce GhG emissions that would have a significant direct, indirect or cumulative impact on the environment. Thus, a less than significant impact is expected directly,						
indirectly and cumulatively.						
b. Conflict with any applicable plan, policy or regulation of an agency adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases?						
7b. Response: (Source: GHG Analysis prepared by LSA dated The SCAQMD supports State, Federal and international policies Global Warming Policy and rules and has established an interim Question A, above, the project would comply with the City's Gen designed to reduce GhG emissions. In addition, the project wo regulations during construction of the operational phase and will emission to 1990 levels by the year 2020 as stated in AB 32 and a levels by 2050 as stated in Executive Order S-3-05. Based upon the the discussion above, the project will not conflict with any applicable the emissions of GhG and thus a less than significant impact we regard.	to reduce leve Greenhouse eral Plan poli uld comply v not interfere n 80 percent prepared Clin le plan, policy	els of ozone of Gas (GhG) the cies and State with all SCAC with the State reduction in Conate Change A y or regulation	nreshold. As Building Coo QMD applicated a goals of resided emissions analysis for this related to the	indicated in de provisions ole rules and ducing GhG s below 1990 s project and reduction in		
O HAZADDO O HAZADDOHO MATEDIALO						
8. HAZARDS & HAZARDOUS MATERIALS. Would the project:						
a. Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?						
8a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Public Safety Ele Code, Title 49 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Califo 2002 and Riverside Operational Area – Multi-Jurisdictional The proposed project does not involve the transport, use, or disposa family residential development. As such, the project will have no in hazardous material either directly, indirectly and cumulatively. b. Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment	rnia Building I LHMP, 200 l of any hazar	Code, Rivers 4 Part 1, OEM dous material	ide Fire Depa I's Strategic I because the u	urtment EOP, Plan) se is a multi-		
through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?						

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact		
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With Mitigation	Impact	Impact		
		Incorporated				
8b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Public Safety Element, GP 2025 FPEIR Tables 5.7 A – D, California Health and Safety Code, Title 49 of the Code of Federal Regulations, California Building Code, City of Riverside's EOP, 2002 and Riverside Operational Area – Multi-Jurisdictional LHMP, 2004 Part 1, OEM's Strategic Plan)						
The proposed project does not involve the use of any hazardous						
directly, indirectly or cumulatively for creating a significant hazar				h reasonably		
c. Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-						
quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?						
8c. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Public Safety and Education Elements, GP 2025 FPEIR Table 5.7-D - CalARP RMP Facilities in the Project Area, Figure 5.13-2 – RUSD Boundaries, Table 5.13-D RUSD Schools, Figure 5.13-3 AUSD Boundaries, Table 5.13-E AUSD Schools, Figure 5.13-4 – Other School District Boundaries, California Health and Safety Code, Title 49 of the Code of Federal Regulations, California Building Code) The proposed project does not involve any emission or handling of any hazardous materials, substances or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing school because the proposed use is a multi-family residential project and because the use is located approximately 0.4 miles from the nearest existing school (Arizona Middle Scottl & Orrenmaa Elementary School). Therefore, the project will have no impact regarding emitting hazardous emissions or handling hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school directly, indirectly or						
d. Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?						
8d. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-5 – He CERCLIS Facility Information, Figure 5.7-B – Regulat EnviroStor Database Listed Sites) A review of hazardous materials site lists compiled pursuant to Go	ed Facilities	in TRI Inform	nation and 5.	7-C – DTSC		
site is not included on any such lists. Therefore, the project would he public or environment directly, indirectly or cumulatively.	ave no impac	t to creating a	ny significant	hazard to the		
e. For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?						
8e. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-6 – Airport Safety Zones and Influence Areas, RCALUCP and March Air Reserve Base/March Inland Port Comprehensive Land Use Plan (1999), Air Installation Compatible Use Zone Study for March Air Reserve Base (August 2005)						
The project site is not located within any airport land use plan area or compatibility zone. Therefore, the project will have no impact resulting in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area directly, indirectly or cumulatively.						
f. For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?						
8f. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-6 - Air	port Safety Zo	nes and Influ	ence Areas, R	CALUCP)		
Because the proposed project is not located within proximity of a	private airstrip	, and does not	propose a pri	vate airstrip,		
the project will not expose people residing or working in the City t would have no impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively.	o excessive no	ise levels rela	ted to a private	e airstrip and		
g. Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation						

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact		
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With Mitigation	Impact	2p.uet		
		Incorporated				
plan?		1 16	1 GL ADI			
8g. Response: (Source: GP 2025 FPEIR Chapter 7.5.7 – Haza EOP, 2002 and Riverside Operational Area – Multi-Jurisd Plan)						
The project will be served by existing, fully improved streets, as we						
to meet the Public Works and Fire Departments' specifications. A closing will be necessary. Any street closing will be of short durati						
response or evacuation plan. Therefore, the project will have a cumulatively to an emergency response or evacuation plan.						
h. Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where				\boxtimes		
wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands?						
8h. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-7 – Fir	e Hazard Ared	as, GIS Map I	aver VHFSZ	2010, City of		
Riverside's EOP, 2002 <u>http://intranet/Portal/uploads/R</u> Area – Multi-Jurisdictional LHMP, 2004 Part 1/Part 2 and	iv City EOI	P complete.p	•			
The proposed project is located in an urbanized area where no wild		_	is no located v	vithin a Very		
High Fire Severity Zone (VHFSZ) or adjacent to wildland areas or a		refore no impa	ct regarding v	vildland fires		
either directly, indirectly or cumulatively from this project will occu	r.					
A HWDDOLOGWAND WATER OHALITY	1					
9. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY. Would the project:						
a. Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?						
9a. Response: (Source: GP 2025 FPEIR Table 5.8-A - I				Vater Quality		
Management Plan prepared by IW Consulting Engineers, The project site is currently developed with close to 100 percent o				of landscaped		
areas. Upon construction of the buildings and parking lot for the	s project, the	permeable ar	ea of the pro	ject site will		
increase slightly with additional landscaped area. A preliminary W						
Works Department for this project. Furthermore, under the NPDE required to institute new water quality BMPs, as no new runoff						
currently and will continue to be conveyed by local drainage facility	_					
facilities, and then ultimately to the receiving waters. To address 1		contaminants	, the project i	s required to		
comply with applicable Federal, State, and local water quality regula	ations					
During the construction phase, a final approved WQMP will be r	equired for th	e project, as v	vell as covera	ge under the		
State's General Permit for Construction Activities, administered by						
measures will be required to be implemented to effectively contributions in the contribution of the contri						
related pollutants during construction. Given compliance with a surface water quality and the fact that the project will not result i						
project as designed is anticipated to result in a less than signific						
water quality standards or waste discharge.						
b. Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there						
would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of						
the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate						
of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for						
which permits have been granted)?						
9b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Table PF-1 - K Table PF-2 - RPU Projected Water Demand, Table I	•					

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact		
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Ппрасс	Mitigation Incorporated	impact			
Domestic Water Supply (AC-FT/YR), RPU Map of Water WMWD Urban Water Management Plan)	Supply Basins	s, RPU Urban	Water Mana	gement Plan,		
The proposed project is located within the Arlington Water Supply Basin. The project is required to connect to the City's sewer system and comply with all NPDES and WQMP requirements that will ensure the proposed project will not substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level. Therefore, there will be no impact to groundwater supplies and recharge either directly, indirectly or cumulatively.						
c. Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site?						
9c. Response: (Source: Preliminary grading plan, and Project Prevention Plan, and Water Quality Management Plan)	t Specific – Hy	ydrology Stud	y, Stormwater	Pollution		
The project is subject to NPDES requirements; areas of one acre or more of disturbance are subject to preparing and implementing a Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) for the prevention of runoff during construction. Erosion, siltation and other possible pollutants associated with long-term implementation of projects are addressed as part of the Water Quality Management Plan (WQMP) and grading permit process. Therefore, the project will have a less than significant impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively to existing drainage patterns.						
d. Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off-site?						
9d. Response: (Source: Preliminary grading plan, and Proje Prevention Plan, and Water Quality Management Plan)	ect Specific –	Hydrology St	udy, Stormwa	ter Pollution		
The project will not directly or indirectly result in any activity or part through grading, ground disturbance, structures or additional pavin site, alter the course of stream or river, or increase the rate or amount of site as a result of the project will occur and there will be no substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a mann	g) that would ount of surface mily residentia impact direct	alter the exist runoff in a nal developmentally, indirectly	ing drainage panner that won the transfer of t	pattern of the ould result in o flooding on y that would		
e. Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?						
9e. Response: (Source: Preliminary Grading Plan, and Proj	ect Specific –	Hydrology St	tudy, Stormwa	ter Pollution		
Prevention Plan, and Water Quality Management Plan) Within the scope of the project is the installation of storm water drainage system, specifically as described within the project description portion of this project. As the storm water drainage system will be installed concurrently with the construction of this project, the storm water drainage system will be adequately sized to accommodate the drainage created by this project. The project is expected to generate the following pollutants: sediment/turbidity, nutrients, trash and debris, oxygen demanding substances, bacteria and viruses, oil & grease, and pesticides. These expected pollutants will be treated through the incorporation of the site design, source control and treatment control measures specified in the project specific WQMP. Therefore, as the expected pollutants will be mitigated through the project site design, source control, and treatment controls already integrated into the project design, the project will not create or contribute runoff water exceeding capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff and there will be a less than significant impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively.						
 f. Otherwise substantially degrade water quality? 9f. Response: (Source: Project Specific – Stormwater Polluti 	on Prevention	l L n Plan, and V	Vater Quality	Management		
Plan)		,	~ ,	0		

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact		
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With	Impact	Impact		
,		Mitigation Incorporated				
The project is over one are in size and is required to have coverage under the State's General Permit for Construction Activities (SWPPP). As stated in the Permit, during and after construction, best management practices (BMPs) will be implemented to reduce/eliminate adverse water quality impacts resulting from development. Furthermore, the City has ensured that the development does not cause adverse water quality impacts, pursuant to its Municipal Separate Storm System (MS4) permit through the project's WQMP.						
The proposed development will increase the amount of impervious surface area in the City. This impervious area includes paved parking areas, sidewalks, roadways, and building rooftops; all sources of runoff that may carry pollutants and therefore has the potential to degrade water quality. This development has been required to prepare preliminary BMP's that have been reviewed and approved by Public Works. Final BMP's will be required prior to grading permit issuance. The purpose of this requirement is to insure treatment BMP's are installed/constructed as part of the project so that the pollutants generated by the project will be treated in perpetuity. Therefore, impacts related to degrading water quality are less than significant directly, indirectly and cumulatively.						
g. Place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?						
9g. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-4 – Flood Hazard Areas, and FEMA Flood Hazard Maps) A review of National Flood Insurance Rate Map (Map Number 06065C0715G, Zone X, Effective Date August 28, 2008) and Figure 5.8-2 – Flood Hazard Areas of the General Plan Program FPEIR, shows that the project is not located within or near a 100-year flood hazard area. There will be no impact caused by this project directly, indirectly or cumulatively as it will not place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area.						
h. Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows?						
9h. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-4 – Flood Hazard Areas, and FEMA Flood Hazard Maps) The project site is not located within or near a 100-year flood hazard area as depicted on General Plan 2025 Program FPEIR Figure 5.8-2 – Flood Hazard Areas and the National Flood Insurance Rate Map (Map Number 06065C0715G, Zone X, Effective Date August 28, 2008). Therefore, the project will not place a structure within a 100-year flood hazard area that would impede or redirect flood flows and no impact will occur directly, indirectly or cumulatively.						
 i. Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam? 						
9i. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-4 - Floo	od Hazard Ar	eas, and FEM	A Flood Haza	ırd Maps)		
The project site is not located within or near a flood hazard area as depicted on General Plan 2025 Program FPEIR Figure 5.8-2 – Flood Hazard Areas and the National Flood Insurance Rate Map (Map Number 06065C0715G, Zone X, Effective Date August 28, 2008) or subject to dam inundation as depicted on General Plan 2025 Program FPEIR Figure 5.8-2 – Flood Hazard Areas. Therefore, the project will not place a structure within a flood hazard or dam inundation area that would expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam and therefore no impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively will occur.						
j. Inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?						
9j. Response: (Source: GP 2025 FPEIR Chapter 7.5.8 – Hydrology and Water Quality) Tsunamis are large waves that occur in coastal areas; therefore, since the City is not located in a coastal area, no impacts due to tsunamis will occur directly, indirectly or cumulatively. Additionally, the proposed project site and its surroundings have generally flat topography and is within an urbanized area not within proximity to Lake Mathews, Lake Evans, the Santa Ana River, Lake Hills, Norco Hills, Box Springs Mountain Area or any of the 9 arroyos which transverse the City and its sphere of influence. Therefore, no impact potential for seich or mudflow exists either directly, indirectly or cumulatively.						

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact		
10. LAND USE AND PLANNING:		•				
Would the project:						
a. Physically divide an established community?			\boxtimes			
10a.Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Land Use and Urb Riverside GIS/CADME map layers) The proposed project has been designed to be consistent with the fit providing adequate access, circulation and connectivity consistent wrequirements of the Zoning and Subdivision Codes. Therefore, the significant.	into the patter	n of developm al Plan 2025,	ent of the surr	ounding area		
b. Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or			\square			
regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?						
10b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025, General Plan 2025 Figure LU-10 – Land Use Policy Map, Table LU-5 – Zoning/General Plan Consistency Matrix, Figure LU-7 – Redevelopment Areas, Riverwalk Vista Specific Plan, Title 19 – Zoning Code, Title 18 – Subdivision Code, Title 7 – Noise Code, Title 17 – Grading Code, Title 20 – Cultural Resources Code, Title 16 – Buildings and Construction and Citywide Design and Sign Guidelines) The proposal involves an amendment to the underlying Riverwalk Vista Specific Plan to allow for a transit-orientated residential development in the area now developed with the La Sierra Metrolink Station, known as "Planning Area 1". The Specific Plan, last modified in 2011, called for commercial land uses in this area. The proposed amendment would permit a mix of uses including commercial and residential, without precluding the transit uses. Additionally, the applicant proposes an Amendment to the Title 19 of the Riverside Municipal Code (Zoning) to change the underlying zoning designation of the approximately 3.7 acre project site from the underlying R-1-7000-SP – Single Family Residential and Specific Plan (Riverwalk Vista) Overlay Zones to the MU-U-SP – Mixed Use Urban and Specific Plan (Riverwalk Vista) Overlay Zones. The proposed Project will be compatible in architectural style and design with the surrounding development. The Project site is not located within other plan areas and it is not a Project of Statewide, Regional or Area-wide Significance. The proposed 187 unit phased multiple family residential development is generally consistent with applicable development standards of the proposed MU-U Zone. Where variances are proposed, they can be supported based on the findings contained in the case record. The aesthetic view of the proposed built environment will be consistent, or conditioned to be consistent, with the Citywide Design Guidelines. Based on the above-referenced information, the proposed project would not result in significant adverse environmental impacts. Thus, less						
c. Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan? 10c. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025, General Plan 2025 – Figure LU-10 – Land Use Policy Map, Table LU-5 – Zoning/General Plan Consistency Matrix, Figure LU-7 – Redevelopment Areas, enter appropriate Specific Plan if one, Title 19 – Zoning Code, Title 18 – Subdivision Code, Title 7 – Noise Code, Title 17 – Grading Code, Title 20 – Cultural Resources Code, Title 16 – Buildings and Construction and Citywide Design and Sign						
Guidelines) The project site is located within an urbanized area and will not impact an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or State habitat conservation plan directly, indirectly and cumulatively. Therefore, the project will have no impact on the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or State habitat conservation plan.						
11. MINERAL RESOURCES.						
Would the project:						
a. Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?						
11a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure - OS-1 - M						
The formational material that underlies the project site is the rock products formation. This formation does not contain						

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact	
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With Mitigation Incorporated	Impact	•	
recoverable mineral resources or economic value. The loss of known mineral resources valuable locally or region would not occur because of the project and no further analysis is required. Therefore, the project will have no impact mineral resources directly, indirectly or cumulatively.					
b. Result in the loss of availability of a locally-important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan?					
11b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure – OS-1 – Mineral Resources) The GP 2025 FPEIR determined that there are no specific areas with the City of Sphere Area which have locally-important mineral resource recovery sites and that the implementation of the General Plan 2025 would not significantly preclude the ability to extract state-designated resources. The proposed project is consistent with the General Plan 2025. Therefore, there is no impact.					
12. NOISE. Would the project result in:					
a. Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?					

12a. Response: (Source: General Plan Figure N-1 – 2003 Roadway Noise, Figure N-2 – 2003 Freeway Noise, Figure N-3 – 2003 Railway Noise, Figure N-5 – 2025 Roadway Noise, Figure N-6 – 2025 Freeway Noise, Figure N-7 – 2025 Railroad Noise, Figure N-8 – Riverside and Flabob Airport Noise Contours, Figure N-9 – March ARB Noise Contours, Figure N-10 – Noise/Land Use Noise Compatibility Criteria, FPEIR Table 5.11-1 – Existing and Future Noise Contour Comparison, Table 5.11-E – Interior and Exterior Noise Standards, Appendix G – Noise Existing Conditions Report, Title 7 – Noise Code, and Project Specific Noise Impact Analysis prepared by LSA, dated September 2013)

An acoustical analysis was prepared for the project by LSA, dated September 2013. The acoustical analysis concludes that the project has the potential to expose persons to or generate of noise levels in excess of standards established in the General Plan 2025 and/or Noise Code (Title 7). However, compliance with the following mitigation measures will ensure the noise levels can be reduced to meet all applicable noise standards. Therefore, the impacts are considered **less than significant with mitigation** on the exposure of persons to or the generation of noise levels in excess of established City standards either directly, indirectly or cumulatively.

MM Noise 1: Construction Impacts: The following measures would reduce short-term construction related-noise impacts resulting from the proposed project:

- 1. Construction activities are restricted within the City of Riverside to the hours of 7:00 am to 7:00 pm Monday through Friday, 8:00 am to 5:00 pm on Saturdays, and are prohibited on Sundays and federal holidays.
- During all project site excavation and grading on site, the project contractors shall equip all construction equipment, fixed or mobile, with properly operating and maintained mufflers consistent with the manufacture's standards.
- 3. The project contractor shall place all stationary construction equipment so that emitted noise is directed away from sensitive receptors nearest the project site.
- 4. The construction contractor shall locate equipment staging in areas that will create the greatest distance between construction-related noise sources and noise-sensitive receptors nearest the project site during all project construction

MM Noise 2: Traffic/Train Noise Impacts: The following mitigation measures are required:

- Air conditioning, a form of mechanical ventilation, would be required for all dwelling units on the south side of the buildings along Indiana Avenue to ensure that windows can remain closed for prolonged periods of time to maintain the interior noise standard.
- 2. Any outdoor activity use areas, such as patios or balconies, on the south side of the apartment buildings facing Indiana Avenue would need to be protected by a noise barrier with a minimum height of 6 feet. No mitigation

	JES (AND SUPPORTING ORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
			Incorporated		
	measures are required for outdoor active use areas locate	ed on the nort	th side of the	apartment bu	ildings along
	Indiana Avenue.				
3.	Building façade upgrades, such as windows with sound trans	smission class	(STC) 30 or h	nigher would b	e required for
	dwelling units on the south side of the building along Indiana Avenue.				
4.	Air conditioning, a form of mechanical ventilation, would b	e required for	all dwelling u	nits on the no	rth side of the
	buildings facing State Route 90 (SR-91) and the Burling	gton Northern	Santa Fe (B)	NSF) tracks to	o ensure that

- windows can remain closed for prolonged periods of time to maintain the interior noise standard.

 5. Any outdoor activity use areas, such as patios or balconies, on the north side of the apartment buildings facing SR-91 and the BNSF tracks that are not protected by garage structures would need to be protected by a noise barrier with a minimum height of 6 feet. No mitigation measures are required for outdoor active use areas located on the south side of the apartment buildings along Indiana Avenue.
- 6. Building façade upgrades, such as windows with sound transmission class (STC) 30 or higher would be required for dwelling units on the north side of the buildings that are not protected by the proposed on-site structures from SR-91 and the BNSF tracks.

T	ersons to or generation of excessive ation or groundborne noise levels?	\boxtimes	

12b. Response: (Source: General Plan Figure N-1 – 2003 Roadway Noise, Figure N-2 – 2003 Freeway Noise, Figure N-3 – 2003 Railway Noise, Figure N-5 – 2025 Roadway Noise, Figure N-6 – 2025 Freeway Noise, Figure N-7 – 2025 Railroad Noise, Figure N-8 – Riverside and Flabob Airport Noise Contours, Figure N-9 – March ARB Noise Contours, FPEIR Table 5.11-G – Vibration Source Levels For Construction Equipment, Appendix G – Noise Existing Conditions Report and Project Specific Noise Impact Analysis prepared by LSA, dated September 2013)

Construction related activities although short term, are the most common source of groundborne noise and vibration that could affect occupants of neighboring uses. While intermittent, train vibration is also a significant source of groundborne noise and vibration. Since this project is located next to railroad tracks and will involve short term construction activities an acoustical analysis was prepared by LSA, dated September 2013. The acoustical analysis has assessed the potential for noise and ground-borne vibration impacts related to noise land use compatibility, construction-related noise per GP 2025 FPEIR, Table 5.11-G, Vibration Source Levels for Construction Equipment, on-site stationary noise sources, and vehicular-related noise. The acoustical analysis found the project to be in compliance with the City's standards pertaining to groundborne vibration, however compliance with the following mitigation measures will ensure that short term and groundborne noise levels can be reduced to meet all applicable standards. Therefore, the impacts are considered **less than significant with mitigation** on the exposure of persons to or the generation of noise levels in excess of established City standards either directly, indirectly or cumulatively.

MM Noise 1: Construction Impacts: The following measures would reduce short-term construction related-noise impacts resulting from the proposed project:

- 1. Construction activities are restricted within the City of Riverside to the hours of 7:00 am to 7:00 pm Monday through Friday, 8:00 am to 5:00 pm on Saturdays, and are prohibited on Sundays and federal holidays.
- 2. During all project site excavation and grading on site, the project contractors shall equip all construction equipment, fixed or mobile, with properly operating and maintained mufflers consistent with the manufacture's standards.
- 3. The project contractor shall place all stationary construction equipment so that emitted noise is directed away from sensitive receptors nearest the project site.
- 4. The construction contractor shall locate equipment staging in areas that will create the greatest distance between construction-related noise sources and noise-sensitive receptors nearest the project site during all project construction

MM Noise 2: Traffic/Train Noise Impacts: The following mitigation measures are required:

1. Air conditioning, a form of mechanical ventilation, would be required for all dwelling units on the south side of the

1221	JES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially	Less Than	Less Than	No	
	`	Significant	Significant With	Significant	Impact	
INF	ORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	Mitigation	Impact		
			Incorporated			
	buildings along Indiana Avenue to ensure that windows maintain the interior noise standard.	can remain o	closed for pro	longed period	ls of time to	
2.	Any outdoor activity use areas, such as patios or balconie	s, on the sout	th side of the	apartment bui	ldings facing	
	Indiana Avenue would need to be protected by a noise ba			_		
	measures are required for outdoor active use areas located on the north side of the apartment buildings along Indiana Avenue.					
2		emission aloss	(STC) 20 or h	ighar would b	a required for	
3.	Building façade upgrades, such as windows with sound trans dwelling units on the south side of the building along Indian		(S1C) 30 of fi	igner would b	e required for	
4.	Air conditioning, a form of mechanical ventilation, would b		all dwalling u	nits on the no	th side of the	
٦.	buildings facing State Route 90 (SR-91) and the Burling	-	_			
	windows can remain closed for prolonged periods of time to				o chisare that	
5.	Any outdoor activity use areas, such as patios or balconies,				os facino SR-	
J.	91 and the BNSF tracks that are not protected by garage st		_			
	with a minimum height of 6 feet. No mitigation measures a		-			
	south side of the apartment buildings along Indiana Avenue.	=		, c ase areas r		
6.	Building façade upgrades, such as windows with sound trans		(STC) 30 or h	igher would b	e required for	
	dwelling units on the north side of the buildings that are no			-	-	
	91 and the BNSF tracks.		1 1 1			
c.	A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in			\boxtimes		
	the project vicinity above levels existing without the					
	project?					
12c	Response: (Source: General Plan Figure N-1 – 2003 I					
	Figure N-3 – 2003 Railway Noise, Figure N-5 – 2025 Road N-7 – 2025 Railroad Noise, Figure N-8 – Riverside and A					
	ARB Noise Contours, Figure N-10 – Noise/Land Use A					
	Existing and Future Noise Contour Comparison, Table					
	Appendix G - Noise Existing Conditions Report, Ti		se Code, an	d Project Sp	ecific Noise	
	Study/Acoustical Analysis prepared by LSA, dated Septemb				_	
	ermine whether the proposed project would result in a permar				•	
	ed by LSA, dated September 2013. Because the proposed p by more than +5 dB (perceptible increase), impacts related to					
	an significant directly, indirectly and cumulatively.	s a permanent	increase in ai	noient noise i	evels will be	
	A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient		\square			
	noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing			Ш		
	without the project?					
12d	Response: (Source: FPEIR Table 5.11-J – Construction I Conditions Report and LSA, dated September 2013)	Equipment No	oise Levels, A _l	ppendix G – N	oise Existing	
An acoustical analysis was prepared for the project by LSA, dated September 2013. The acoustical analysis concludes that						
the pro	eject has the potential to expose persons to or generate of	noise levels in	n excess of st	andards estab	lished in the	
	ll Plan 2025 and/or Noise Code (Title 7). However, compliar					
	approary or periodic noise levels (construction) can be reduced a graph of the state of the stat					
	impacts are considered less than significant with mitigation on the exposure of persons to or the generation of noise levels in excess of established City standards either directly, indirectly or cumulatively.					

MM Noise 1: Construction Impacts: The following measures would reduce short-term construction related-noise impacts resulting from the proposed project:

- 1. Construction activities are restricted within the City of Riverside to the hours of 7:00 am to 7:00 pm Monday through Friday, 8:00 am to 5:00 pm on Saturdays, and are prohibited on Sundays and federal holidays.
- 2. During all project site excavation and grading on site, the project contractors shall equip all construction

ISSU	ES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact			
INFO	DRMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With	Impact	Impact			
11 11 (Minimition Sources.	•	Mitigation	-				
			Incorporated					
	equipment, fixed or mobile, with properly operating and maintained mufflers consistent with the manufacture's standards.							
3.	The project contractor shall place all stationary construction	equipment so	that emitted	noise is direct	ed away from			
	sensitive receptors nearest the project site.							
4.	The construction contractor shall locate equipment staging in areas that will create the greatest distance between construction-related noise sources and noise-sensitive receptors nearest the project site during all project construction							
e.	For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?							
	Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure N-8 – Rive – March ARB Noise Contour, Figure N-10 – Noise/Land Air Reserve Base/March inland Port Comprehensive Land Zone Study for March Air Reserve Base (August 2005)))	Use Noise Co	mpatibility C	riteria, RCAL	UCP, March			
	posed project is not located within an airport land use plan							
-	and as such will have no impact on people residing or work, indirectly or cumulatively.	cing in the pro	ject area to ex	xcessive noise	levels either			
f.	For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?							
Per the residing General will not	Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-6 – Al March Air Reserve Base/March Inland Port Compreh Compatible Use Zone Study for March Air Reserve Base (AGP 2025 Program FPEIR, there are no private airstrips very in the City to excessive noise levels. Because the proposed Plan 2025, is not located within proximity of a private airstrips of expose people residing or working in the City to excessive nact directly, indirectly or cumulatively.	tensive Land (august 2005)) within the City I project consi rip, and does	Use Plan (sy that would sts of development propose a	1999)and Air expose people ment anticipat private airstrij	working or the under the p, the project			
	PULATION AND HOUSING. uld the project:							
a.	Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?							
13a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Table LU-3 – Land Use Designations, FPEIR Table 5.12-A – SCAG Population and Households Forecast, Table 5.12-B – General Plan Population and Employment Projections–2025, Table 5.12-C – 2025 General Plan and SCAG Comparisons, Table 5.12-D - General Plan Housing Projections 2025, Capital Improvement Program and SCAG's RCP and RTP)								
project and the	han Significant Impact. The project involves the construction growth, and may involve additional infrastructure that cois proposed to be consistent with the MU-U land use designated additional infrastructure is consistent with the General Planed that Citywide, future development anticipated under the	ould indirectly ation establish an 2025 Progr	induce populared under the Cam. The General	ation growth. I General Plan 2 eral Plan 2025	However, the 025 Program Final PEIR			

significant population growth impacts. Because the proposed project is consistent with the General Plan 2025 Typical growth scenario and population growth impacts were previously evaluated in the GP 2025 FPEIR the project does not

vill be remove, indirectly or truction of repvill be remove	r cumulatively	sing elsewhere	osed project.
vill be remove, indirectly or truction of repvill be remove	red or affected r cumulatively	d by the proportion	osed project.
vill be remove, indirectly or truction of repvill be remove	red or affected r cumulatively	d by the proportion	osed project.
vill be remove, indirectly or truction of repvill be remove	red or affected r cumulatively	d by the proportion	osed project.
truction of repvill be remov	placement hou	sing elsewhere	
vill be remov	ed or affected	d by the prop	
		\boxtimes	
es and service tation of Gen es, there will	es are provide eral Plan 2025 be less than s	ed by Station 3 5 policies, com	12 located at appliance with
ties and servi ation of Gene ces, there will	ices are provideral Plan 2025 be less than	rs) led by West N policies, com	pliance with
ent Generations es) es and service lan 2025 policifiset the impaces either direct	es are provided cies, complian ct of new deve ectly, indirectly	and AUSD I	chool District ng codes and e will be less rely.
	s and service ation of General Service ation of General Service and service an	s and services are provide ation of General Plan 2025, there will be less than styly or cumulatively. Schborhood Policing Center ies and services are provided in of General Plan 2025, there will be less than rectly or cumulatively. Saries, Table 5.13-D – RUS and Services are provided an 2025 policies, compliant feet the impact of new development of the impact of new development of the impact of new development in the impact	s and services are provided by Station ation of General Plan 2025 policies, comes, there will be less than significant imply or cumulatively. Subborhood Policing Centers) ies and services are provided by West Nation of General Plan 2025 policies, comes, there will be less than significant imprectly or cumulatively. Substitution of General Plan 2025 policies, comes, there will be less than significant imprectly or cumulatively. Substitution of General Plan 2025 policies, comes, there will be less than significant imprectly or cumulatively. Substitution of General Plan 2025 policies, comes, there will be less than significant imprectly or cumulatively. Substitution of General Plan 2025 policies, comes, there will be less than significant imprectly or cumulatively.

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact			
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With Mitigation	Impact	Impact			
		Incorporated					
The project consists of 187 residential units. Adequate park facilities and services are provided in the La Sierra South Neighborhood to serve this project. In addition with implementation of General Plan 2025 policies, compliance with existing codes and standards, and through Park, Recreation and Community Services practices, there will be less than significant impacts on the demand for additional park facilities or services either directly, indirectly or cumulatively.							
e. Other public facilities?							
14e. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure LU-8 - C Facilities, Figure 5.13-6 - Community Centers, Table 5.3 Riverside Public Library Service Standards)	B-F – Riversia	de Communit	y Centers, Ta	ble 5.13-H -			
The project consists of 187 residential units. Adequate public faci centers, are provided in the La Sierra South Neighborhood to ser General Plan 2025 policies, compliance with existing codes an Community Services and Library practices, there will be no impa services either directly, indirectly or cumulatively.	ve this project d standards,	ct. In additionand through	n, with imple Park and Re	mentation of creation and			
15 DECDEATION							
15. RECREATION.a. Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood				\square			
a. Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?							
Table 5.14-A – Park and Recreation Facility Types, and T in the Riverside Renaissance Initiative, Table 5.14-D – Municipal Code Chapter 16.60 - Local Park Development II The General Plan 2025 analyzed the MU-U General Plan Land Us adopted General Plan 2025 and will pay applicable Park Development Recreation and Community Services Department therefore this promulatively.	Inventory of Fees, Bicycle is efor this propopment Impac	Existing Com Master Plan Money Derty. The property Fees to the	munity Center May 2007) oject is consist City of Rive	tent with the erside Parks,			
b. Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?							
15b. Response: The project will not include new public recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities; therefore, there will be no impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively.							
16. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC. Would the project result in:							
a. Conflict with an applicable plan, ordinance or policy establishing measures of effectiveness for the performance or policy establishing measures of effectiveness for the performance of the circulation system, taking into account all modes of transportation including mass transit and non-motorized travel and relevant components of the circulation system, including but not limited to intersections, streets, highways and freeways, pedestrian and bicycle paths, and mass transit?							
16a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure CCM-4 Volume to Capacity (V/C) Ratio and Level of Service Future Trip Generation Estimates, Table 5.15-H - Exist of Service, Table 5.15-I - Conceptual General Plan Inter - Current Status of Roadways Projected to Operate at L	(LOS) (Typic ting and Typi rsection Impro	cal 2025), Tai cal Density So ovement Reco	ble 5.15-D – cenario Inters mmendations,	Existing and ection Levels Table 5.15-J			

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact			
Proposed General Plan, Appendix H – Circulation Element Traffic Study and Traffic Study Appendix, SCAG's RTP, and Traffic Impact Analysis prepared by LSA, dated August 16, 2103) Roadway capacity is adequate to accommodate the projected traffic volumes of the proposed project. As determined by the City Traffic Engineer and a Traffic Impact Analysis prepared for the proposed project the proposed project will operate better than the required LOS D. Therefore, the increase in traffic in relation to the existing traffic load and capacity of the street system is less than significant directly, indirectly or cumulatively.							
b. Conflict with an applicable congestion management program, including but not limited to level of service standards and travel demand measures, or other standards established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways?							
16b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure CCM-4 Volume to Capacity (V/C) Ratio and Level of Service Future Trip Generation Estimates, Table 5.15-H - Exis of Service, Table 5.15-I - Conceptual General Plan Inter - Current Status of Roadways Projected to Operate at L Proposed General Plan, Appendix H - Circulation I SCAG's RTP, and Traffic Impact Analysis prepared by I Roadway capacity is adequate to accommodate the projected traffic City Traffic Engineer and a Traffic Impact Analysis prepared for better than the required LOS D. In addition, the project is consisten Program (CMP) and its Transportation Demand Management/Air relation to the existing traffic load and capacity of the street sy	(LOS) (Typic ting and Typic rection Impro OS E or F in Element Traff LSA, dated Au volumes of the the proposed part with the Rive Quality comp	cal 2025), Tai cal Density So ovement Recor 2025, Table 5 fic Study and gust 16, 2103) e proposed pro project the pro- project the pro- proside County' onents. There	the 5.15-D – cenario Inters mmendations, 5.15K – Free Traffic Stud oject. As deter oposed project s Congestion efore, increase	Existing and ection Levels Table 5.15-J way Analysis ly Appendix, mined by the will operate Management in traffic in			
c. Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks?							
16c. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-6 – A March Air Reserve Base/March Inland Port Compreh Compatible Use Zone Study for March Air Reserve Base (A	nensive Land August 2005)	Use Plan (.	1999)and Air	Installation			
The project will not change air traffic patterns, increase air traffic le not located within an airport influence area. As such, this project will air traffic patterns.							
d. Substantially increase hazards due to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?							
16d. Response: (Source: Project Site Plans, Lane Striping an by LSA, dated August 16, 2103)	d Signing Pla	ns and Traffic	: Impact Anal	ysis prepared			
The proposed project is compatible with adjacent existing uses. A incompatible use or additional or any hazards to the surrounding at have a less than significant impact on increasing hazards through cumulatively.	rea or general	public. As co	onditioned, the	project will			
e. Result in inadequate emergency access?			\boxtimes				
16e. Response: (Source: California Department of Transport Fire Code and Traffic Impact Analysis prepared by LSA, d The project has the potential during construction to obstruct lanes of However, the project has been conditioned to require at least one la will eliminate any impact to emergency access.	ated August 1 f travel that m	6, 2103) ay have an im	pact on emerg	gency access.			
f. Conflict with adopted policies, plans or programs regarding public transit, bicycle, or pedestrian facilities, or otherwise decrease the performance or safety of such facilities)?							

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact			
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With	Impact	Impact			
		Mitigation Incorporated					
16f. Response: (Source: FPEIR, General Plan 2025 Land Use and Urban Design, Circulation and Community Mobility and Education Elements, Bicycle Master Plan, School Safety Program – Walk Safe! – Drive Safe!)							
The project, as designed, does not create conflicts with adopted policies, plans or programs supporting alternative transportation (e.g. bus turnouts, bicycle racks). As such, the project will have no impact directly, indirectly or							
cumulatively on adopted policies, plans, or programs supporting alte	mauve transp	ortation.					
45 MAN MAN AND CANCERS CERTIFICATION		1					
17. UTILITIES AND SYSTEM SERVICES. Would the project:							
a. Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?							
17a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PF-2 –							
Service Areas, Table 5.16-K - Estimated Future Wastewate Area, Table 5.16-L - Estimated Future Wastewater Genera							
5.8-1 – Watersheds, Wastewater Integrated Master Plan an			serveu by www.	IWD, Figure			
All new development is required to comply with all provisions of the	v	,	City's Munic	ipal Separate			
Sewer Permit (MS4), as enforced by the Regional Water Quality							
project would not exceed applicable wastewater treatment requiren							
sewer system or stormwater system within the City. Because the regulations related to wastewater treatment the project will have a le			ed to adhere	to the above			
b. Require or result in the construction of new water or				\square			
wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing							
facilities, the construction of which could cause significant							
environmental effects?							
17b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Table PF-1 – RP							
Table PF-2 – RPU Projected Water Demand, Table P Domestic Water Supply (AC-FT/YR), RPU, FPEIR Table							
RPU Including Water Reliability for 2025, Table 5.16-I - 0							
J - General Plan Projected Water Demand for WMWI			•				
Estimated Future Wastewater Generation for the City							
Estimated Future Wastewater Generation for the Planni Facilities and Figure 5.16-6 – Sewer Infrastructure and Wo							
The project will not result in the construction of new or expanded		-					
consistent with the Typical Growth Scenario of the General Plan 2							
determined to be adequate (see Tables 5.16-E, 5.16-F, 5.16-G, 5.16							
Final PEIR). Therefore, the project will have no impact resulting in			iter or wastew	ater treatment			
facilities or the expansion of existing facilities directly, indirectly or							
c. Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the							
construction of which could cause significant environmental							
effects?							
17c. Response: (Source: FPEIR Figure 5.16-2 - Drainage Fac	*						
The increase in impervious surface area resulting from construction							
will generate increased storm water flows with potential to impact defacilities. However, the Subdivision Code (Title 18, Section 18.48)							
new construction. Fees are transferred into a drainage facilities fund							
and Water Conservation District. This Section also complies with the	ne California (Government C	ode (section 6	6483), which			
provides for the payment of fees for construction of drainage facilities	es. Fees are re	quired to be pa	aid as part of the	ne conditions			
of approval/waiver for filing of a final map or parcel map.							
General Plan 2025 Policies PF 4.1 and PF 4.3 require the City to co	ontinue to rout	tinely monitor	its storm drain	n system and			
to fund and improve those systems as identified in the City's Capita		•		•			

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact			
		Incorporated					
will ensure that the City is adequately served by drainage systems. The General Plan 2025 also includes policies and programs that will minimize the environmental effects of the development of such facilities. Therefore, the project will have less than significant on existing storm water drainage facilities that would not require the expansion of existing facilities directly, indirectly or cumulatively.							
d. Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?							
17d. Response: (Source: FPEIR Figure 5.16-3 – Water Service Areas, Figure 5.16-4 – Water Facilities, Table 5.16-E – RPU Projected Domestic Water Supply (AC-FT/YR, Table 5.16-F – Projected Water Demand, Table 5.16-G – General Plan Projected Water Demand for RPU including Water Reliability for 2025, Table 5.16-H – Current and Projected Domestic Water Supply (acre-ft/year) WMWD Table 5.16-I Current and Projected Water Use WMWD, Table 5.16-J – General Plan Projected Water Demand for WMWD Including Water Reliability 2025, RPU Master Plan, EMWD Master Plan, WMWD Master Plan, and Highgrove Water District Master Plan) The project will not exceed expected water supplies. The project is consistent with the General Plan 2025 Typical Growth Scenario where future water supplies were determined to be adequate (see Tables t.16-E, 5.16-F, 5.16-G, 5.16-H, 5.16-I and 5.16-J of the General Plan 2025 Final PEIR). Therefore, the project will have no impact resulting in the insufficient							
water supplies either directly, indirectly or cumulatively.							
e. Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?							
17e. Response: (Source: FPEIR Figure 5.16-5 - Sewer Servic 5.16-K - Estimated Future Wastewater Generation for the Estimated Future Wastewater Generation for the Planning Master Plan and Certified EIR)	City of Rivers	ide's Sewer S	ervice Årea, T	able 5.16-L -			
The project will not exceed wastewater treatment requirements of (I consistent with the General Plan 2025 Typical Growth Scenario wh adequate (see Table 5.16-K of the General Plan 2025 Final PEIR). I anticipates and provides for this type of project. Therefore, no in cumulatively will occur.	ere future was Further, the cu	stewater general strent Wastewa	ation was dete ater Treatment	rmined to be Master Plan			
f. Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?				\boxtimes			
17f. Response: (Source: FPEIR Table 5.16-A – Existing Land)	fills and Table	2 5.16-M – Est	timated Futur	e Solid Waste			
Generation from the Planning Area) The project is consistent with the General Plan 2025 Typical Buil determined to be adequate (see Tables 5.16-A and 5.16-M of the Gelandfill capacity will occur directly, indirectly or cumulatively.							
g. Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste?							
17g. Response: (Source: California Integrated Waste Manager The California Integrated Waste Management Act under the Public I least 50% of all solid waste generated by January 1, 2000. The Cabove State requirements. In addition, the California Green Building hazardous construction and demolition debris for all projects and 1 non-residential projects beginning January 1, 2011. The proposed requirements as well as the California Green Building Code and as 8 regulations related to solid waste. Therefore, no impacts related to cumulatively.	Resource Code City is current g Code require 00% of excav I project must uch would no	e requires that tly achieving a es all developm rated soil and t comply with t conflict with	local jurisdict a 60% diversi nents to divert land clearing the City's w any Federal, S	ions divert at on rate, well 50% of non- debris for all aste disposal state, or local			

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	
18. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE.					
a. Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or an endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?					
18a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 - Figure OS-6 - Stephen's Kangaroo Rat (SKR) Core Reserve and Other Habitat Conservation Plans (HCP), Figure OS-7 - MSHCP Cores and Linkages, Figure OS-8 - MSHCP Cell Areas, General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.4-2 - MSHCP Area Plans, Figure 5.4-4 - MSHCP Criteria Cells and Subunit Areas, Figure 5.4-6 - MSHCP Narrow Endemic Plant Species Survey Area, Figure 5.4-7 - MSHCP Criteria Area Species Survey Area, Figure 5.4-8 - MSHCP Burrowing Owl Survey Area, MSHCP Section 6.1.2 - Protection of Species Associated with Riparian/Riverine Areas and Vernal Pools, and Burrowing Owl Survey prepared by LSA, dated June 12, 2103, FPEIR Table 5.5-A Historical Districts and Neighborhood Conservation Areas, Figure 5.5-1 - Archaeological Sensitivity, Figure 5.5-2 - Prehistoric Cultural Resources Sensitivity, Appendix D, Title 20 of the Riverside Municipal Code) Potential impacts related to habitat of fish or wildlife species were discussed in the Biological Resources Section of this Initial Study, and were all found to be less than significant. Additionally, potential impacts to cultural, archaeological and paleontological resources related to major periods of California and the City of Riverside's history or prehistory were					
b. Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects)? 18b. Response: (Source: FPEIR Section 6 – Long-Term Effects)				al Plan 2025	
Program) Because the project is consistent with the General Plan 2025, no cumulative impacts of the proposed project beyond those previous significant.	new cumulati	ve impacts are	e anticipated a	and therefore	
c. Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?					
18c. Response: (Source: FPEIR Section 5 – Environmental Imelifects on human beings were evaluated as part of the aesthetics, air and housing, hazards and hazardous materials, and traffic sections of for each of the above sections. Based on the analysis and conclusubstantial adverse effects, directly or indirectly to human beings human beings that result from the proposed project are less than sign	r quality, hydr f this initial st usions in this . Therefore,	cology & wate cudy and found initial study,	r quality, nois I to be less tha the project w	e, population an significant ill not cause	

Note: Authority cited: Sections 21083 and 21087, Public Resources Code. Reference: Sections 21080(c), 21080.1, 21080.3, 21082.1, 21083, 21083.3, 21093, 21094, 21151, Public Resources Code; Sundstrom v. County of Mendocino, 202 Cal.App.3d 296 (1988); Leonoff v. Monterey Board of Supervisors, 222 Cal.App.3d 1337 (1990).

Staff Recommended Mitigation Measures

Impact Category	Mitigation Measures	Implementation Timing	Responsible Monitoring Party ¹	Monitoring/Reporting Method
Air Quality	 MM Air 1: To reduce diesel emissions associated with construction, construction contractors shall provide temporary electricity to the site to eliminate the need for diesel-powered electric generators, or provide evidence that electrical hook ups at construction sites are not cost effective or feasible. MM Air 2: To reduce construction related particulate matter air quality impacts of projects the following measures shall be required: the generation of dust shall be controlled as required by the AQMD; grading activities shall cease during periods of high winds (greater than 25 mph); trucks hauling soil, dirt or other emissive materials shall have their loads covered with a tarp or other protective cover as determined by the City Engineer; and the contractor shall prepare and maintain a traffic control plan, prepared, stamped and signed by either a licensed Traffic Engineer or a Civil Engineer. The preparation of the plan shall be in accordance with Chapter 5 of the latest edition of the Caltrans Traffic Manual and the State Standard Specifications. The plan shall be submitted for approval, by the engineer, at the preconstruction meeting. Work shall not commence without an approved traffic control plan. 	Prior to issuance of grading and/or building permits. Prior to issuance of individual grading and/or building permit. The plan for traffic control shall be submitted with the grading and/or building plans.	Building & Safety Division Public Works Department Public Works Department	Proof of power source to be provided from electric service provider. Construction Inspection.

⁻

¹ All agencies are City of Riverside Departments/Divisions unless otherwise noted.

Impact Category	Mitigation Measures	Implementation Timing	Responsible Monitoring Party ¹	Monitoring/Reporting Method
Noise	 MM Noise 1: Construction Impacts: The following measures would reduce short-term construction related-noise impacts resulting from the proposed project: Construction activities are restricted within the City of Riverside to the hours of 7:00 am to 7:00 pm Monday through Friday, 8:00 am to 5:00 pm on Saturdays, and are prohibited on Sundays and federal holidays. During all project site excavation and grading on site, the project contractors shall equip all construction equipment, fixed or mobile, with properly operating and maintained mufflers consistent with the manufacture's standards. The project contractor shall place all stationary construction equipment so that emitted noise is directed away from sensitive receptors nearest the project site. The construction contractor shall locate equipment staging in areas that will create the greatest distance between construction-related noise sources and noise-sensitive receptors nearest the project site during all project construction. 	During construction activities	Building & Safety Division Planning Division Code Enforcement Division Public Works Department	Construction Inspection.
	 MM Noise 2: Traffic/Train Noise Impacts: The following mitigation measures are required: Air conditioning, a form of mechanical ventilation, would be required for all dwelling units on the south side of the buildings along Indiana Avenue to ensure that windows can remain closed for prolonged periods of time to maintain the interior noise standard. Any outdoor activity use areas, such as patios or balconies, on the south side of the apartment buildings facing Indiana Avenue would need to be protected by a noise barrier with a minimum height of 6 feet. No mitigation measures are required for outdoor active use areas located on the north side of the apartment buildings along Indiana Avenue. Building façade upgrades, such as windows with sound transmission class (STC) 30 or higher would be required for dwelling units on the south side of the building along Indiana Avenue. Air conditioning, a form of mechanical ventilation, would be required 	Prior to issuance of individual grading and/or building permit.	Building & Safety Division Public Works Department	Construction Inspection.

Environmental Initial Study 29 Case Number

Impact Category	Mitigation Measures	Implementation Timing	Responsible Monitoring Party ¹	Monitoring/Reporting Method
	for all dwelling units on the north side of the buildings facing State Route 90 (SR-91) and the Burlington Northern Santa Fe (BNSF) tracks to ensure that windows can remain closed for prolonged periods of time to maintain the interior noise standard. 5. Any outdoor activity use areas, such as patios or balconies, on the north side of the apartment buildings facing SR-91 and the BNSF tracks that are not protected by garage structures would need to be protected by a noise barrier with a minimum height of 6 feet. No mitigation measures are required for outdoor active use areas located on the south side of the apartment buildings along Indiana Avenue. 6. Building façade upgrades, such as windows with sound transmission class (STC) 30 or higher would be required for dwelling units on the north side of the buildings that are not protected by the proposed on-			
	site structures from SR-91 and the BNSF tracks.			

Environmental Initial Study 30 Case Number