

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

Planning Division

City of Arts & Innovation

DRAFT Mitigated Negative Declaration

WARD: 3

1. Case Number: P12-0393 (Parcel Map), P12-0394 (Variance) and P14-0640 (Certificate of

Appropriateness)

2. **Project Title:** Tentative Parcel Map 36458

3. **Hearing Date:** August 20, 2014

4. **Lead Agency:** City of Riverside

Community Development Department

Planning Division

3900 Main Street, 3rd Floor

Riverside, CA 92522

5. **Contact Person:** Brian Norton, Associate Planner

Phone Number: (951) 826-2308, bnorton@riversideca.gov

6. **Project Location:** 6240 and 6260 Hawarden Drive, situated on the easterly side of Hawarden Drive,

mid-block between Horace Street and Rolling Ridge Road.

7. Project Applicant/Project Sponsor's Name and Address:

Owner/Applicant

John Pitchford and Emily Lawson

6260 Hawarden Drive Riverside, CA 92506

Engineer

Adkan Engineers Bryan Ingersoll 6879 Airport Drive Riverside, CA 92507

8. **General Plan Designation:** HR - Hillside Residential

9. **Zoning:** RC – Residential Conservation

10. Description of Project:

The applicant is proposing to subdivide an approximately 14.63 acre, two-parcel site, currently developed with two single family residences, a barn, a reservoir, irrigation features, a freestanding garage, an asphalt driveway and avocado groves into three lots ranging in size from 1.02 to 11.61 acres. To implement the project as proposed, the applicant is requesting two variances: the first to allow Parcel 2 to be a corridor access lot; and a second variance to allow Parcel 3 to be less than 2.0 acres in size. As proposed, Parcel 1

would include the existing historically eligible Walton/Merriman residence and associated barn structure; Parcel 2 would include the reservoir and irrigation features associated with the Walton/Merriman residence, an existing avocado orchard and proposed vehicular driveway; and Parcel 3 would include an existing single family residence. The current proposal indicates the existing single family residences would remain; the freestanding garage structure, southerly of the reservoir, would be removed; and the barn, reservoir and irrigation trough would be removed or significantly altered. A new driveway would be added to provide access to the existing single family residence on Parcel 3. No development, beyond the previously mentioned driveways and proposed demolition or significant alteration of structures and features associated with the historically eligible Walton/Merriman residence, is proposed at this time. However, the new Parcel 2 could be developed with a single family residence at some future time.

11. Surrounding land uses and setting: Briefly describe the project's surroundings:

	Existing Land Use	General Plan Designation	Zoning Designation
Project Site	Single Family Residence and vacant land	HR – Hillside Residential	RC – Residential Conservation
North	Single Family Residential	MDR – Medium Density Residential	RC – Residential Conservation
East	Vacant	LDR – Low Density Residential	RC – Residential Conservation
South	Single Family Residential	MDR – Medium Density Residential	RC – Residential Conservation
West	Single Family Residential	MDR – Medium Density Residential	RC – Residential Conservation

12. Other public agencies whose approval is required (e.g., permits, financial approval, or participation agreement.):

None

13. Other Environmental Reviews Incorporated by Reference in this Review:

- a. General Plan 2025
- b. GP 2025 FPEIR
- c. Habitat Assessment prepared by VHBC, Incorporated, dated August 2012
- d. Phase I Cultural Resources Study prepared by McKenna et al., dated April 08, 2013

14. Acronyms

AICUZ -	Air l	Installation	Compatible	Use Zone Study
---------	-------	--------------	------------	----------------

AQMP - Air Quality Management Plan AUSD - Alvord Unified School District

CEQA - California Environmental Quality Act

CMP - Congestion Management Plan
EIR - Environmental Impact Report
EMWD - Eastern Municipal Water District
EOP - Emergency Operations Plan

FEMA - Federal Emergency Management Agency

FPEIR - GP 2025 Final Programmatic Environmental Impact Report

GIS - Geographic Information System

GhG - Green House Gas GP 2025 - General Plan 2025 IS - Initial Study

LHMP - Local Hazard Mitigation Plan

MARB/MIP - March Air Reserve Base/March Inland Port

MJPA-JLUS - March Joint Powers Authority - Joint Land Use Study

MSHCP - Multiple-Species Habitat Conservation Plan MVUSD - Moreno Valley Unified School District NCCP - Natural Communities Conservation Plan

OEM - Office of Emergency Services

OPR - Office of Planning & Research, State
PEIR - Program Environmental Impact Report

PW - Public Works, Riverside

RCALUC - Riverside County Airport Land Use Commission
RCALUCP - Riverside County Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan

RCP - Regional Comprehensive Plan

RCTC - Riverside County Transportation Commission

RMC - Riverside Municipal Code RPD - Riverside Police Department RPU - Riverside Public Utilities

RTIP - Regional Transportation Improvement Plan

RTP - Regional Transportation Plan
RUSD - Riverside Unified School District

SCAG - Southern California Association of Governments SCAOMD - South Coast Air Quality Management District

SCH - State Clearinghouse

SKR-HCP - Stephens' Kangaroo Rat - Habitat Conservation Plan

SWPPP - Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan

USGS - United States Geologic Survey
WMWD - Western Municipal Water District
WQMP - Water Quality Management Plan

ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED:

	The environmental factors checked below would be potentially affected by this project, involving at least one impact that is a "Potentially Significant Impact" as indicated by the checklist on the following pages.						
☐ Agriculture & Forest Resources	☐☐Air Quality						
urces							
☐ ☐ Hazards & Hazardous Materials	☐ Hydrology/Water Quality						
☐ ☐ Mineral Resources	□□Noise						
☐ Public Service	Recreation						
☐ Utilities/Service Systems	☐☐Mandatory Findings of Significance						
eted by the Lead Agency)							
on which reflects the independent jud	Igment of the City of Riverside	e, it is					
osed project COULD NOT have a signification be prepared.	cant effect on the environment,						
nis case because revisions in the project h	ave been made by or agreed to	\boxtimes					
posed project MAY have a significant effect is required.	ect on the environment, and an						
The City of Riverside finds that the proposed project MAY have a "potentially significant impact" or "potentially significant unless mitigated" impact on the environment, but at least one effect 1) has been adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and 2) has been addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis as described on attached sheets. An ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required, but it must analyze only the effects that remain to be addressed.							
The City of Riverside finds that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been analyzed adequately in an earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION pursuant to applicable standards, and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION, including revisions or mitigation measures that are imposed upon the proposed project, nothing further is required.							
	Date						
	For <u>City of Riverside</u>						
	□ Hazards & Hazardous Materials □ Mineral Resources □ □ Utilities/Service Systems eted by the Lead Agency) on which reflects the independent judy osed project COULD NOT have a significate prepared. the proposed project could have a significate scase because revisions in the project had been project MAY have a significant effect is required. osed project MAY have a "potentially signe environment, but at least one effect 1) have been added on attached sheets. An ENVIRONMI sects that remain to be addressed. the proposed project could have a significant effect the proposed project the proposed pr	Cultural Resources					



COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

Planning Division

City of Arts & Innovation

Environmental Initial Study

EVALUATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS:

- A brief explanation is required for all answers except "No Impact" answers that are adequately supported by the information sources a lead agency cites in the parentheses following each question. A "No Impact" answer is adequately supported if the referenced information sources show that the impact simply does not apply to projects like the one involved (e.g., the project falls outside a fault rupture zone). A "No Impact" answer should be explained where it is based on project-specific factors as well as general standards (e.g., the project will not expose sensitive receptors to pollutants, based on a project-specific screening analysis).
- 2) All answers must take account of the whole action involved, including off-site as well as on-site, cumulative as well as project-level, indirect as well as direct, and construction as well as operational impacts.
- Once the lead agency has determined that a particular physical impact may occur, then the checklist answers must indicate whether the impact is potentially significant, less than significant with mitigation, or less than significant. "Potentially Significant Impact" is appropriate if there is substantial evidence that an effect may be significant. If there are one or more "Potentially Significant Impact" entries when the determination is made, an EIR is required.
- "Negative Declaration: Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated" applies where the incorporation of mitigation measures has reduced an effect from "Potentially Significant Impact" to a "Less Than Significant Impact." The lead agency must describe the mitigation measures, and briefly explain how they reduce the effect to a less than significant level (mitigation measures from "Earlier Analyses," as described in (5) below, may be cross-referenced).
- 5) Earlier analyses may be used where, pursuant to the tiering, program EIR, or other CEQA process, an effect has been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or negative declaration. Section 15063(c)(3)(D). In this case, a brief discussion should identify the following:
 - a. Earlier Analysis Used. Identify and state where they are available for review.
 - b. **Impacts Adequately Addressed.** Identify which effects from the above checklist were with in the scope of and adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and state whether such effects were addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis.
 - c. **Mitigation Measures.** For effects that are "Less than Significant with Mitigation Measures Incorporated," describe the mitigation measure which were incorporated or refined from the earlier document and the extent to which they address site-specific conditions for the project.
- 6) Lead agencies are encouraged to incorporate into the checklist references to information sources for potential impacts (e.g., general plans, zoning ordinances). Reference to a previously prepared or outside document should, where appropriate, include a reference to the page or pages where the statement is substantiated.

- 7) Supporting Information Sources: A source list should be attached, and other sources used or individuals contacted should be cited in the discussion.
- 8) The explanation of each issue should identify:
 - a. the significance criteria or threshold, if any, used to evaluate each question; and
 - b. the mitigation measure identified, if any, to reduce the impact to less than significance.

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
1. AESTHETICS. Would the project:				
a. Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?			\boxtimes	
1a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure CCM-4 – Figure 5.1-1 – Scenic and Special Boulevards and Parkwa Table 5.1-B – Scenic Parkways) The project site is located within the Hawarden Drive Special Design	ys, Table 5.1	-A – Scenic ar	ıd Special Bo	ulevards, and
will not involve any construction. However, since the property is lefuture development of single family homes would require an addition Irrigation) to be compliant with the development standards, grad policies and objectives and any related environmental standards. For development to go through the proper entitlement processes to ensure surrounding area. Therefore, the proposed project will have less than	cated in the F nal entitlemen ing standards further, a cond re that future in significant i	RC – Residenti tt process (Des , Hawarden E lition of appro- development v	al Conservation Review La Drive Special wall will requivill be comparenic vista.	on Zone, any andscape and Design Area re any future
b. Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?				
Figure 5.1-1 – Scenic and Special Boulevards, Parkways, 5.1-B – Scenic Parkways, the City's Urban Forest Tree Policy - Article V – Chapter 19.100 – Residential Zones - RC 2. The project site is located adjacent to the Hawarden Drive Special both single family residences located at 6240 and 6260 Hawarden D beyond Parcel 1 (6240 Hawarden Drive) once the Map has recorded Drive the applicant has proposed an asphalt vehicular driveway with the adopted policies in the Riverside General Plan 2025 for the development is proposed with this proposal. Therefore, the project indirectly and cumulatively to scenic resources.	Design Area. rive. The proped. In order to the access from Hawarden	While a single cosal includes to access the ren Hawarden D. Drive Specia	driveway cur the closure of sidence at 626 rive. The prop l Design Are	rently serves the driveway 60 Hawarden bosal follows a. No other
c. Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings?				
1c. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025, General Plan 20 Guidelines) The project area has an existing average natural slope of 25% per Design Area. It is currently developed with two residences and relative or the immediate vicinity. As discussed above in 1b, the proprise. This proposed driveway has been designed in compliance Design Area and in a way that minimizes tree removal, therefore Hawarden Drive. The project also proposes to increase the lot size prior to Proposition R and Measure C. The lot size is proposed to thereby bringing it closer to conformance with the current zoning rechanges to the visual character of the area. For these reasons, the pro-	ercent and is a ted features, n roject propose with the ado , it will not n e of proposed to be increased equirements.	adjacent to the cone of which es a new driver potential policies negatively importated and parcel 3, while drom 0.64 a This increase	e Hawarden I are visible fro eway accessin for the Hawa act the visual ch was develon cres to 1.02 a in lot size wil	Drive Special m Hawarden ng Hawarden rden Special character of oped in 1975 cres in area, I result in no
on the visual character and quality of the property and the surrounding d. Create a new source of substantial light or glare which	ng area.			
would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?				
1d. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025, General Plan 202 Area, Title 19 – Article VIII – Chapter 19.556 – Lighting, Council The site is not within the Mount Palomar Lighting Area and no ned directly, indirectly or cumulatively will occur as a result of this proviews.	Citywide Designment is the control of the control o	gn and Sign G proposed und	<i>uidelines)</i> er this project	. No impact

	SUES (AND SUPPORTING FORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
2.	AGRICULTURE AND FOREST RESOURCES:				
	In determining whether impacts to agricultural resources are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural Land Evaluation and Site Assessment Model (1997) prepared by the California Dept. of Conservation as an optional model to use in assessing impacts on agriculture and farmland. In determining whether impacts to forest resources, including timberland, are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to information complied by the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection regarding the state's inventory of forest land, including the Forest and Range Assessment Project and the Forest Legacy Assessment project; and the forest carbon measurement methodology provided in the Forest Protocols adopted by the California Air Resources Board. Would the project: a. Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use?				
The 202: Farr	Ca. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 – Figure OS-2 – A Appendix I – Designated Farmland Table) Project is located within an urbanized area. A review of Figure 5 reveals that the project site is not designated as, and is not adjainland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Important mland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Response to the Californ	re OS-2 – Ag cent to or in p ce, as shown	ricultural Suita proximity to ar on the maps	ability of the ny land classif prepared pur	General Plan ied as, Prime rsuant to the
	c. Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract?				
A reloca	P. C. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 – Figure OS-3 - We Figure 5.2-4 – Proposed Zones Permitting Agricultural Use Eview of Figure 5.2-2 – Williamson Act Preserves of the Generated within an area that is affected by a Williamson Act Preservect site is not zoned for agricultural use and is not next to land the no impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively.	es, and Title 1 al Plan 2025 I e or under a V	9) FPEIR reveals Williamson Ac	that the project Contract. M	ect site is not Moreover, the
	c. Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined in Public Resources Code section 12220(g)) timberland (as defined in Public Resources Code section 4526), or timberland zoned Timberland Production (as defined by Government Code section 51104(g))?				
The	Cc. Response: (Source: GIS Map – Forest Data) City of Riverside has no forest land that can support 10-percerefore, no impacts will occur from this project directly, indirectly			es it have any	timberland.

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
d. Result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?				\boxtimes
2d. Response: (Source: GIS Map – Forest Data) The City of Riverside has no forest land that can support 10-perce therefore no impacts will occur from this project directly, indirectly			es it have any	timberland,
e. Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?				
2e. Response: (Source: General Plan – Figure OS-2 – Agricu Preserves, Title 19 – Article V – Chapter 19.100 – Resident Forest Data)				
The project site is located in an area that is deemed 'other land' ur General Plan 2025. While a portion of the property contains avocade or removal of those orchards or operations, as no development is pronthe westerly portion of the property. No orchards are proposed to the City of Riverside has no forest land that can support 10-perce impacts will occur from this project directly, indirectly or cumulative or to the loss of forest land.	o orchards, the oposed, with be removed on t native tree	e project will in the exception or altered under cover. There	not result in the of the resident of this proposations, less than	e conversion tial driveway l. In addition n significant
3. AIR QUALITY.				
Where available, the significance criteria established by the applicable air quality management or air pollution control district may be relied upon to make the following determinations. Would the project:				
a. Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?				
3a. Response: (Source: South Coast Air Quality Manager (AQMP)) Projects that are consistent with the projections of employment California Association of Governments (SCAG) are considered constored to such as the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), the SCAQMD's A (TRIP), and the Regional Housing Plan. This project is consistent forecasts identified by the Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) are consistent forecasts identified by the Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) are consistent forecasts identified by the Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) are consistent forecast numbers were used by SCAG's modeling section to forecast such as the Regional Housing Plan. This project is consistent forecasts identified by the Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) are considered c	and populationsistent with the st travel dema QMP, Region t with the programments (SCA t with the General contents).	on forecasts in a AQMP grownd and air qual all Transportate of pections of endage that are concerned Plan 202	dentified by to with projections ality for plann ion Improvem ion Improvem inployment an onsistent with 5, it is also co	the Southern s, since these ing activities nent Program d population the General ensistent with
to an existing or projected air quality violation?				

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES): Potentially Significant Impact Potentially Significant Significant With Mitigation Incorporated No Impact Impact Impact Inspect Inspect Inspect Impact Inspect Insp

3b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Table 5.3-B SCAQMD CEQA Regional Significance Thresholds, South Coast Air Quality Management District's 2007 AQMP, CalEEMod 20013.2.2)

Per General Plan 2025 FPEIR MM Air 1 and 7, a CalEEMod computer model analyzed both short-term construction related and long-term operational impacts. The results of the CalEEMod model determined that the proposed project would result in the following emission levels:

CalEEMod MODEL RESULTS SHORT-TERM IMPACTS						
A otivity.	Daily Emissions (lbs/day)					
Activity	ROG	NO _X	со	SO_2	PM-10	PM-2.5
SCAQMD Daily Thresholds Construction	75	100	550	150	150	55
Daily Project - Emissions Construction	2.01	1.95	8.52	14.02	1.98	1.05
Exceeds Y/N Threshold?	N	N	N	N	N	N

CalEEMod MODEL RESULTS LONG-TERM IMPACTS						
A -4::4	Daily Emissions (lbs/day)					
Activity ROG NO _X CO					PM-10	PM-2.5
SCAQMD Daily Thresholds Operation	55	55	550	150	150	55
Daily Project - Emissions Operational	1.02	0.98	0.45	4.25	0.56	0.09
Exceeds Y/N Threshold?	N	N	N	N	N	N

The above tables compare the project emissions (short-term and long-term) to the SCAQMD daily thresholds and shows that established thresholds will not be exceeded. Therefore, because the project will not violate any ambient air quality standard, contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation, and will be subject to further mitigation the impacts directly, indirectly and cumulatively will be **less than significant impacts with mitigation** to ambient air quality and to contributing to an existing air quality violation.

Air 1: To reduce construction related particulate matter air quality impacts of projects the following measures shall be required:

- 1. The generation of dust shall be controlled as required by the AQMD;
- 2. Grading activities shall cease during period of high winds (greater than 25mph);
- 3. Trucks hauling soil, dirt or other emissive materials shall have their loads covered with a tarp or other protective cover as determined by the City Engineer.

c. Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any	'			
--	---	--	--	--

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
criteria pollutant for which the project region is non- attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?		meorporateu		
3c. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Tall Thresholds, South Coast Air Quality Management District 20013.2.2)				
Because the proposed project is consistent with the General Plan 202 result of the project were previously evaluated as part of the cumula Plan 2025 Program. As a result, the proposed project does not previously evaluated and for which a statement of overriding considered project. Therefore, cumulative air quality emissions impacts are less	tive analysis or result in any lerations was	of build out and new signification new signification new signification new signification new signification new section new sec	ticipated under ant impacts th	r the General hat were not
d. Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?				
3d. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Tab Thresholds, South Coast Air Quality Management District 20013.2.2)				
Short-term impacts associated with construction from General Pla emissions from grading, earthmoving, and construction activities. I requires individual development to employ construction approaches FPEIR MM AIR 1- MM AIR 5, e.g., watering for dust contro conformance with the General Plan 2025 FPEIR MM AIR 1 and MI term construction and long-term operational related impacts of the protection and second second second protection and long term construction and long terms of the protection of the	Mitigation Methat minimized, tuning equivalent AIR 7 a Caproject and detag-term operate	easures of the pollutant eminorment, limiting IEEMod computermined that total impacts.	General Plan issions (Gener ng truck idlin outer model an the proposed p Therefore, the	2025 FPEIR ral Plan 2025 ag times). In alyzed short- project would e project will
e. Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?				
3e. Response: The project would not expose a substantial number of people to object generated by the proposed use. Therefore, no impact to creating cumulatively.				
4. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES.				
Would the project:				
a. Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?				
4a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 – Figure OS-6 – St Habitat Conservation Plans (HCP), Figure OS-7 – MSHO Areas, General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.4-2 – MSHCP Subunit Areas, Figure 5.4-6 – MSHCP Narrow Endemic Criteria Area Species Survey Area, Figure 5.4-8 – MSHCP	CP Cores and Area Plans, F Plant Specie	l Linkages, Fi Figure 5.4-4 - es Survey Arec	igure OS-8 – MSHCP Crite a, Figure 5.4	MSHCP Cell eria Cells and -7 – MSHCP
and Rare Plant Survey Conducted by Victor Horchar of VI A habitat assessment prepared by a qualified biologist was prepared	HBC, incorport for the project	rated 08-10-20 et. The finding	012) gs of the habita	at assessment
determined that the project is in compliance with the MSHCP, and	shows that, no	candidate, sei	nsitive, specie	s of concern,

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
are required. Therefore, the project has a less than significant resources.	impact direct		and cumulativ	vely to these
b. Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?				
4b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 – Figure OS-6 – St. Habitat Conservation Plans (HCP), Figure OS-7 – MSHC Areas, General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.4-2 – MSHCP Subunit Areas, Figure 5.4-6 – MSHCP Narrow Endemic Criteria Area Species Survey Area, Figure 5.4-8 – MSHCP - Protection of Species Associated with Riparian/Riverine Rare Plant Survey Conducted by Victor Horchar of VHBCP As required under the MSHCP, a habitat assessment prepared by a habitat assessment finds the proposed project complies with Section and protection of riparian/riverine areas and vernal pools within the 6.1.2 and other applicable requirements, impacts to any riparian hallocal or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the Califor Wildlife Services are found to have a less than significant impact of	CP Cores and Area Plans, F Plant Specie P Burrowing Areas and Vo incorporated qualified bio 6.1.2 of the N e plan area. The bitat or other seria Department	Linkages, Figure 5.4-4 - es Survey Are Owl Survey A ernal Pools, H. 108-10-2012) logist was pre MSHCP, which cough complisensitive naturent of Fish an	igure OS-8 – MSHCP Crite a, Figure 5.4 Area, MSHCP Burrowing Ow pared for the h outlines the ance with MS ral community d Game or U	MSHCP Cells and 1-7 – MSHCP Section 6.1.2 of Survey and project. The requirements HCP Section identified in
c. Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?				
4c. Response: (Source: City of Riverside GIS/CADME USGS The project site is located within an urban built-up area, contains edisturbance such that the project would not have a substantial adver Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, m filling, hydrological interruption or other means. Therefore, a less and cumulatively to federally protected wetlands as defined by Selimited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal,	existing developed existing existi	opment, and hederally protection, coastal, et ant impact with Clean Wa	eted wetlands a tc.) through dir all occur direct ter Act (include	as defined by rect removal, tly, indirectly ding, but not
d. Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?				
 4d. Response: (Source: MSHCP, General Plan 2025 – Figure Survey and Rare Plant Survey Conducted by Victor Horcha. The project site is not located within any MSHCP Criteria Cells, disturbance such that there is little chance that the project would migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident native wildlife nursery sites. Therefore, a less than significant in related to the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife proposed project. e. Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance? 4e. Response: (Source: MSHCP, Title 16 Section 16.72.040) 	ar of VHBC, i Cores, or Lin interfere with it or migratory mpact directly wildlife speci nursery sites - Establishing	nkages. The s the movement wildlife corri n, indirectly ar es or with esta will occur w	ite has a historit of any native idors, or impend cumulative ablished native with implementation. Riverside Con	ory of severe re resident or de the use of ly will occur e resident or tation of the
proposed project. e. Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?	Establishing	the Western	Riverside Con	unty

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially	Less Than	Less Than	No			
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Significant Impact	Significant With Mitigation	Significant Impact	Impact			
		Incorporated					
Implementation of the proposed Project is subject to all applicable Federal, State, and local policies and regulations related to the protection of biological resources and tree preservation. In addition, the project is required to comply with Riverside Municipal Code Section 16.72.040 establishing the MSHCP mitigation fee and Section 16.40.040 establishing the Threatened and Endangered Species Fees.							
Any project within the City of Riverside's boundaries that proposes follow the Urban Forest Tree Policy Manual. The Manual docume and removal of all trees in City rights-of-way. The specifications care established by the International Society of Arboriculture, th National Standards Institute. Any future project will be in complia within a City right-of-way, and therefore, impacts will be less than s	ents guidelines in the Manual e National A nce with the	s for the plant are based on rborists Associ	ing, pruning, national stand ciation, and th	preservation, lards for tree ne American			
f. Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?							
4f. Response: (Source: MSHCP, General Plan 2025 – Figure and Other Habitat Conservation Plans (HCP), Stephen Mathews Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan a Sobrante Landfill Habitat Conservation Plan, Burrowing Victor Horchar of VHBC, incorporated 08-10-2012) The proposed project is consistent with the guidelines of MSHCP, Urban/Wildlife Interface and related policies in the General Plan 2 consistent with the SKR HCP and with General Plan Policy OS-	s' Kangaroo nd Natural (g Owl Survey including Se 025, including	Rat Habitat Community C and Rare Po- ction 6.1.4, G g Policy LU-7	Conservation I conservation I lant Survey C uidelines Pert .4. As well, 1	Plan, Lake Plan, and El Conducted by aining to the the project is			
indirectly and cumulatively to the provisions of an adopted Habitat Plan, or other approved local, regional, or State habitat conservation	Conservation						
5. CULTURAL RESOURCES. Would the project:							
a. Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in § 15064.5 of the CEQA Guidelines?							
5a. Response: (Source: GP 2025 FPEIR Table 5.5-A Histor and Appendix D, Title 20 of the Riverside Municipal Contentative Parcel Map No. 36458, The Pitchford-Lawson California" by McKenna et al. 2013)	de and "Phas	e I Cultural	Resources In	vestigation of			
A Cultural Resources Assessment was prepared in September 2012 report evaluated the significance of various buildings and features of pre-historic and historic resources exist on the site. The pre-historic not be affected by the proposed project. Hawarden Drive was identifit 1902-1910 Walton/Merriman Residence located at 6240 Hawarden	n the project resource is a si led as a histori	site. The evaluingle bedrock ic resource dat	nation determi milling station ing back to 18	ned that both site that will 399. The circa			

The significance of the Walton/Merriman Residence site which comprises all of the larger existing parcel, is associated with A.W. Boggs, a local architect and contractor who built the home for owner Christopher J. Walton, and the development of residential properties on this section of Hawarden Drive during the first few years of the 1900s. An added texture to this significance is the notion that Walton (who owned groves in other locations prior to his purchase of this property and who would later be elected to the boards of directors for the Victoria Avenue Citrus Fruit Association and the Prenda Pumping Company) aspired to own a grove home in a prestigious area overlooking Arlington Heights that was already home to his prominent colleagues. As the McKenna report quotes from Joan Hall's *Cottages, Colonials and Community Places of*

reservoir and irrigation features (1904) are eligible for designation as a City Structure of Merit. Other associated improvements including the pool, a later era addition and the avocado grove, appear on the site after 1958. The existing

home and garage at 6260 Hawarden, built in 1975, are not historically significant.

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
		Incorporated		

Riverside (2003), Walton built his "modest two-story house...between the grand homes of John Mylne and William Irving, executives of the Riverside Trust Company" who were also represented on the same boards of directors as Walton. Walton sold his property to the real estate firm of Tetley and Merriman in 1907, and it was divided between the two men. Tetley retained the portion of the property with the citrus groves and Merriman the portion with the home, related features, and dry land without groves. Merriman then built a significant addition to the home in 1910, essentially making it the resource it is today. Merriman and Tetley were also prominent, well-respected individuals in Riverside whose properties reflected their position and wealth in Riverside. Walton had moved away from Riverside by 1911 according to a newspaper article that referred to him as a former resident (Riverside Daily Press, July 22, 1911) and Merriman died in 1918. Widow Julia Merriman continued to own and reside in the home until 1929, when it was sold to the Bonnett family. Descendants of the Bonnetts continue to own the property today. As noted above, the primary significance of the Walton/Merriman Residence property is associated with its early period of development. Thus, there is a relatively short period of significance for the property as identified by McKenna, from 1902 to 1910.

Also as noted above, there are related features with the Walton/Merriman Residence consisting of the barn/garage, reservoir and irrigation features. However, the offsite citrus groves to the south were at one time associated with (and arguably were the main reason for the existence of) these related features. Yet the groves were separated off from these features when Tetley and Merriman divided the property circa 1907. Given the entire Walton property was no longer intact after the sale of the grove, the home's construction and major addition from 1902-1910 are the primary source of the property's significance under the Structure of Merit criteria.

The current proposed removal or significant alteration of the barn/garage, reservoir and irrigation trough (and potentially additional buried irrigation features), as well as the removal of a significant area of land on which the home and these features are located, are potentially significant impacts. These changes have the potential to affect the integrity of the Walton/Merriman Residence's setting and to eliminate some of the associated features that were part of the original Walton property. The proposed parcel map creates an additional parcel, taking the property from its existing two parcels to three. The size of the parcel on which the historic Walton/Merriman Residence is located will be reduced in size. The proposed property line separating Parcel 1 from Parcel 2 will result in some of the related features being on a separate parcel from the main house, which could be sold to a third party in the future. Moreover, the owners have indicated that the barn/garage is in such poor condition that they request approval to demolish the structure in the near future. The reservoir in its current condition and situation and the irrigation trough also pose concerns that the owners wish to address through some type of modification which may include removal. In addition, the possible future construction of a driveway(s) for one or more of the parcels would need to be sensitive to the character of historic Hawarden Drive. The prehistoric milling station feature is located in an area that appears not to be impacted by the proposed subdivision. It is unlikely to be affected any time in the future due to its more remote and protected location. However, its presence could herald additional unknown archaeological features that may be affected by future development of Parcel 2.

The Cultural Resources Report discusses these potential impacts and makes recommendations for mitigation measures aside from any the City may add. The following mitigation measures are intended to ensure that: the significance of the Walton/Merriman complex is documented and treated appropriately; associated features of the resource are acknowledged and documented prior to any demolition or significant alteration; future driveway design(s) on Hawarden Drive are compatible with the road as a historic resource; and prehistoric resource(s) are protected and/or treated appropriately. With implementation of the following mitigation measures, potential adverse effects on cultural resources will be reduced to a less than significant with mitigation level.

- CR-1. Prior to approval and recordation of the final parcel map, the following specific conditions shall be completed:
 - a. A note shall be added to the parcel map stating "Parcels 1 and 2 herein have been determined to contain cultural resources that were previously part of a single property. Future development on the parcels is subject to Title 20 of the Riverside Municipal Code as follows: Parcel 1 relative to the eligible Structure of Merit Walton/Merriman Residence property; Parcel 2 for design of a new driveway relative to the Hawarden Drive historic resource; and Parcels 1 and 2 relative to potential pre-historic archaeological resources."

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
		Incorporated		

- b. A note shall be added to the parcel map stating "For any future development of Parcels 1 and 2, if buried archaeological resources are uncovered during construction, all work must be halted in the vicinity of the discovery until a registered professional archaeologist can visit the site of discovery and assess the significance and origin of the archaeological resource. If the resource is determined to be of Native American origin, the Tribe shall be consulted. If the archaeological resource is determined to be a potentially significant cultural resource, the City, in consultation with the project archaeologist and the Tribe, shall determine the course of action which may include data recovery, retention in situ, or other appropriate treatment and mitigation depending on the resources discovered. Procedures shall follow all applicable federal, state and local laws and regulations."
- c. The Cultural Resources study DPR forms shall be corrected by an individual meeting the Secretary of the Interior's Professional Qualifications standards per City comments 3.a-3.d provided in a memorandum dated July 22, 2013, and shall be submitted for review and approval to the City Historic Preservation Officer or Qualified Designee.
- CR-2. Prior to submittal of a demolition permit or request for substantial alteration to the City of Riverside for any of the Walton/Merriman Residence's related features (barn/garage on Parcel 1; reservoir and/or irrigation trough on Parcel 2), the applicant shall complete HABS-like documentation of the Walton/Merriman Residence property and the related features on Parcels 1 and 2 to include, at a minimum, photography and limited measured drawings as follows:
 - a. Digital black and white photography of all elevations, character-defining features and context views. Features to be photographed include the exterior of the Walton/Merriman Residence, barn/garage, reservoir and irrigation trough.
 - b. Photographs will be copied onto an archival quality CD and printed on archival quality paper. Each black and white photograph shall be in an archival quality clear sleeve, labeled, and inserted into a binder enclosed in an archival document box. Labels shall identify the feature/item in the photograph, the direction/interior room where the photo was taken, and the date of the photo.
 - c. A photo point location map plan of the property, indicating the view directions of all exterior photographs, shall also be prepared and included in the binder. A current aerial photograph or a property survey could be used as the base map and shall include at minimum street label(s), built and feature footprint outlines and labels, a scale bar and a north arrow in addition to the photo locations.
 - d. Measured drawings for the reservoir and irrigation trough shall be submitted on the archival quality CD and printed on archival quality paper. Drawings shall include dimensioned plan views of both features, and a profile section of the irrigation trough. Drawing size shall be minimum 11" X 17". A black and white print shall be included in the archival document box, and one additional mylar or similar original shall be provided.
 - e. One copy of the final approved DPR forms shall be included in the archival document box.
 - f. The owner shall submit two complete sets in two archival document boxes, plus one archival quality CD and one original measured drawings to the City for archiving by the Community Development Department with the Library and/or the Riverside Metropolitan Museum.
- CR-3. Prior to approval of a demolition or significant alteration permit by the City of Riverside for any of the site's related features (barn/garage on Parcel 1; reservoir and/or irrigation trough on Parcel 2), the HABS-like documentation required in

ISSU:	ES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact
INFO	ORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With Mitigation Incorporated	Impact	<u> </u>
Qualified request to for a new CR-4.	on Measure CR-2 above shall be completed, submitted and d Designee. The owner shall also submit acceptable evider to indicate whether the windows and exterior siding material was garage and/or offered to an appropriate salvage organization. In compliance with legal requirements regarding discloss	nce with the dals, etc., from the on or company. The transfer of the transfer	the City Histo lemolition or s he barn/garage	significant alte e will be salva sale, the sell	eration permit aged and used ler or his/her
and subje	tative shall disclose that the Walton/Merriman Residence F ect to applicable requirements of, Title 20, "Cultural Reson plicable City codes.				
	The following mitigation measure will reduce any project- taining Native American human remains that may be inadve				
	 a. If buried archaeological resources are uncovered during the discovery until a registered professional archaeological resource. origin, the Tribe shall be consulted. If the archaeologic cultural resource, the City, in consultation with the procurse of action which may include data recovery, mitigation depending on the resources discovered. b. In the event of an accidental discovery of any human resteps and procedures specified in Health and Safety Public Resources Code 5097.98 must be implemented. (PRC) Section 5097.98, the Riverside County Coroner potentially human remains. The Coroner will then determains are subject to his or her authority. If the Coroneshe shall contact the Native American Heritage Commi with PRC Section 5097.98. The NAHC will then design the human remains within 48 hours of notification. The property owner or the person responsible for the exappropriate dignity, the human remains and associated the NAHC is unable to identify a MLD, or the MLD for her authorized representative rejects the recommens subdivision (k) of PRC Section 5097.94 fails to provide or his or her authorized representative shall re-inter American burials with appropriate dignity on the predisturbance. 	emains in a local code 7050.5, Specifically, in the recognizes assion (NAHC) gnate a Most Line MLD then lacavation work grave goods we alls to make a station of the le measures act the human results and recognizes as the human results and the measures act the human results and resources as the measures act the human results are recognized to make a station of the le measures act the human results are resources.	sit the site of e is determined to le is determined to logist and the situ, or other ation other that State CEQA in accordance with the remains to by phone with Likely Descending the opportant that the opportant within 24 hours recommendati MLD and the ceptable to the mains and ite	discovery ard to be of Natibe a potential Tribe, shall of appropriate to appropriate to a dedicated Guidelines 15 with Public Resident American and the desired for the lays of being to be Native And hin 24 hours, it dant (MLD) with the state of the lays of notification, or the land amediation present associated.	and assess the live American lly significant determine the reatment and cemetery, the 064.5(e), and assources Code discovery of notified if the nerican, he or in accordance with respect to mmend to the sposing, with on. Whenever downer or his ovided for in he landowner l with Native
,	Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archeological resource pursuant to § 15064.5 of the CEQA Guidelines?				
One resource future	Response: (Source: GP 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.5-1 - Arc Cultural Resources Sensitivity, Appendix D – Cultural Investigation of Tentative Parcel Map No. 36458, The Riverside County, California" by McKenna et al. 2013) prehistoric archaeological resource, a single bedrock milling e is located in an area that will not be affected by the propose due to its remote and protected location. However, its presources that may be affected by future development. Therefore,	Resources St Pitchford-Law g station, was ided project and ence could her	tudy and "Physon Property dentified with is unlikely to be ald additional	in the City in the project a be disturbed ar unknown arch	al Resources of Riverside, area. This my time in the macological

CR-4. In compliance with legal requirements regarding disclosure in effect at the time of sale, the seller or his/her

	JES (AND SUPPORTING DRMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
	ject to applicable requirements of, Title 20, "Cultural Resonant Policable City codes."	arces," of the		nicipal Code a	s well as any
	The following mitigation measure will reduce any project- taining Native American human remains that may be inadve				
	c. If buried archaeological resources are uncovered during the discovery until a registered professional archaeological resource. origin, the Tribe shall be consulted. If the archaeological cultural resource, the City, in consultation with the procurse of action which may include data recovery, mitigation depending on the resources discovered.	ologist can visual fithe resource is roject archaeo	sit the site of e is determined determined to logist and the	discovery and to be of Natibe a potential Tribe, shall of	nd assess the ive American lly significant determine the
	d. In the event of an accidental discovery of any human resteps and procedures specified in Health and Safety Public Resources Code 5097.98 must be implemented. (PRC) Section 5097.98, the Riverside County Coroner potentially human remains. The Coroner will then determains are subject to his or her authority. If the Corone she shall contact the Native American Heritage Commi with PRC Section 5097.98. The NAHC will then design the human remains within 48 hours of notification. The property owner or the person responsible for the exappropriate dignity, the human remains and associated the NAHC is unable to identify a MLD, or the MLD for her authorized representative rejects the recommens subdivision (k) of PRC Section 5097.94 fails to provide or his or her authorized representative shall re-inter American burials with appropriate dignity on the predisturbance.	Code 7050.5, Specifically, if must be noticed in must be not in must be must be must be not in	State CEQA n accordance within 24 two working of the remains to by phone with Likely Descende has the opport k means for the within 24 hour recommendati MLD and the ceptable to the mains and ite	Guidelines 15 with Public Re hours of the lays of being a be Native An hin 24 hours, dant (MLD) w tunity to recor treating or dis s of notificatio on, or the land mediation pr e landowner, t ms associated	o64.5(e), and sources Code discovery of notified if the nerican, he or in accordance ith respect to mmend to the sposing, with on. Whenever downer or his ovided for in he landowner with Native
	Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?				
	Response: (Source: GP FPEIR Section 5.5; General Plan Investigation of Tentative Parcel Map No. 36458, The Riverside County, California" by McKenna et al. 2013)				
feature 2025FP south o	the project area does include hilly terrain with several rocking in this area. There is no indication of paleontological sensitive EIR Section 5.5, the project site is outside the only area with of the Mockingbird Canyon Reservoir. Therefore, the proposological resources or unique geologic features.	tivity on the si potential for p	te. In accordar paleontologica	nce with the Go I resources wh	eneral Plan ich would be
d.	Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?				
See resp	Response: (Source: GP 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.5-1 - Arc Cultural Resources Sensitivity and "Phase I Cultural R 36458, The Pitchford-Lawson Property in the City of River 2013) ponse in 5b above. Where construction is proposed in under the contract of the construction of the contract of the	Resources Inverside, Riverside, veloped areas.	estigation of le County, Cal , disturbance of	Tentative Par ifornia" by M on vacant land	cel Map No. cKenna et al. s could have

interred outside of formal cemeteries. Consistent with State laws protecting these remains, sites containing human remains

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact		
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	Mitigation Incorporated	Impact			
discovered during project-related construction activities, there would be unavoidable significant adverse impacts to Native American resources. However, implementation of Mitigation Measure CR-5 will reduce potential impacts to Native American human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries, to a less than significant level.						
C CEOLOGY AND COLL C		<u> </u>				
6. GEOLOGY AND SOILS. Would the project:						
 Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving: 						
 Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based 						
on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42.						
6i. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-1	– Regional F	ault Zones &	General Plan	2025 FPEIR		
Appendix E – Geotechnical Report) Seismic activity is to be expected in Southern California. In the Cit	v of Riverside	e, there are no	Alguist-Priol	o zones. The		
project site does not contain any known fault lines and the potential	for fault ruptu	re or seismic s	haking is low.	Compliance		
with the California Building Code regulations will ensure that no directly, indirectly and cumulatively.	impacts rela	ted to strong	seismic groun	d will occur		
ii. Strong seismic ground shaking?				\boxtimes		
6ii. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Appen						
The San Jacinto Fault Zone located in the northeastern portion of southern portion of the City's Sphere of Influence, have the potent cause intense ground shaking. Because the proposed project complians associated with strong seismic ground shaking will have no impact or the same property of the same	ial to cause n es with Califo	noderate to lar ornia Building	ge earthquake Code regulati	s that would		
iii. Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?						
6iii. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-1 Zones, General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure PS-3 – Soils Geotechnical Report)						
The project site is located in an area with very low potential for						
Liquefaction Zones Map – Figure PS-2. Compliance with the Califor related to seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction wo cumulatively.						
iv. Landslides?						
6iv. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figur – Geotechnical Report, Title 18 – Subdivision Code, T						
Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan SWPPP) The project site is in an area where the possibility of unstable slope.	a conditions	aould agair di	10 to the 25%	slope of the		
subject and/or neighboring properties (see Figure 5.6-1 of the Gen occur from heavy rainfall, erosion, and removal of vegetation, seism	eral Plan 202	5 Program Fir	nal PEIR). Lai	ndslides may		
many factors and their interrelationships. With the exception of the p						
with this project. Existing structures where built in compliance with	n the Californ	ia Building Co	odes and regui	lations at the		
time of construction. Further compliance with Title 17 – Grading that impacts related to landslides are reduced to less than significant						
b. Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?						

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact	
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With	Impact	ппрасс	
		Mitigation Incorporated			
6b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure		-	Steen Slone. F	 	
Soils, Table 5.6-B – Soil Types, Title 18 – Subdivision (acre: SWPPP)		-		-	
Erosion and loss of topsoil could occur as a result of the project. So implementation of a Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (S construction activities. The project must also comply with the Nat regulations. In addition, with the erosion control standards for wh Grading Code (Title 17) also requires the implementation of meast State and Federal requirements as well as with Titles 18 and 17 w than significant impact directly, indirectly and cumulatively.	WPPP) establistional Pollutant ich all developtures designed to	shing erosion Discharge Eli ment activity r minimize soi	and sediment mination Systenust comply (' I erosion. Com	controls for em (NPDES) Title 18), the appliance with	
c. Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or the would become unstable as a result of the project, an potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, later spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?	ıd				
6c. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-1 – Regional Fault Zones, Figure PS-2 – Liquefaction Zones, General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure PS-3 – Soils with High Shrink-Swell Potential, Figure 5.6-1 - Areas Underlain by Steep Slope, Figure 5.6-4 – Soils, Table 5.6-B – Soil Types, and Appendix E – Geotechnical Report, Project Specific Geotechnical Report prepared by Geo-Environmental, Inc.) The general topography of the subject site contains hills with average natural slopes between 15-27%. Compliance with the City's existing codes and the policies contained in the General Plan 2025 help to ensure that impacts related to geologic conditions are reduced to less than significant impacts level directly, indirectly and cumulatively.					
d. Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantiatisks to life or property?	of				
6d. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.6-4 – Soils, Figure 5.6-4 – Soils, Table 5.6-B – Soil Types, Figure 5.6-5 – Soils with High Shrink-Swell Potential, Appendix E – Geotechnical Report, and California Building Code as adopted by the City of Riverside and set out in Title 16 of the Riverside Municipal Code) Expansive soil is defined under California Building Code. The soil type of the subject site is Cieneba, Hanford and Fallbrook (See Figure 5.64 – Soils of the General Plan 2025 Program Final PEIR.) Compliance with the applicable provisions of the City's Subdivision Code- Title 18 and the California Building Code with regard to soil hazards related to the expansive soils will be reduced to a less than significant impact level for this project directly, indirectly and cumulatively.					
e. Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal system where sewers are not available for the disposal of wast water?	ns				
6e. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.					
The proposed project will be served by sewer infrastructure. There	tore, the project	t will have no i	impact.		

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	
7. GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS. Would the project:					
a. Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?					
7a. Response: Projects that are consistent with the projections of employment and population forecasts identified by the SCAG are considered consistent with the AQMP growth projections, since these forecast numbers were used by SCAG's modeling section to forecast travel demand and air quality for planning activities such as the RTP, the SCAQMD's AQMP, RTIP, and the Regional Housing Plan. As the project is consistent with the City's General Plan, the project will not interfere with the State's goals of reducing greenhouse gas emissions to 1990 levels by the year 2020 as stated in AB 32 and an 80 percent reduction in GhG emissions below 1990 levels by 2050 as stated in Executive Order S-3-05. Emissions resulting from the proposed project are expected to be far lower than the SCAQMD thresholds for significance. Therefore, this project will have less than significant impacts with respect to GHG emissions.					
b. Conflict with any applicable plan, policy or regulation of an agency adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases?					
Projects that are consistent with the projections of employment California Association of Governments (SCAG) are considered conforecast numbers were used by SCAG's modeling section to forecast such as the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), the SCAQMD's A (RTIP), and the Regional Housing Plan. This project is consister forecasts identified by the Southern California Association of Gover Plan 2025 "Typical Growth Scenario." Since the project is consistent the AQMP. The project will have a less than significant is implementation of an air quality plan.	sistent with the st travel demandary. QMP, Region at with the proments (SCA) and with the Gentle with the Gent	e AQMP grover and and air quant all Transportal piections of end of the control of the control of the control of the control Plan 2020 and the control of th	with projections ality for plann tion Improven apployment an consistent with 25 it is also co	s, since these ing activities nent Program d population the General nsistent with	
8. HAZARDS & HAZARDOUS MATERIALS. Would the project:					
a. Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?					
8a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Public Safety Ele Code, Title 49 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Califo 2002 and Riverside Operational Area – Multi-Jurisdictional	rnia Building al LHMP, 200	Code, Rivers 4 Part 1, OEM	ide Fire Depa I's Strategic F	rtment EOP, Plan)	
The proposed project does not involve the transport, use, or dispresidential subdivision. As such, the project will have no impact rematerial either directly, indirectly and cumulatively.					
b. Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?					
8b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Public Safety Element, GP 2025 FPEIR Tables 5.7 A – D, California Health and Safety Code, Title 49 of the Code of Federal Regulations, California Building Code, City of Riverside's EOP, 2002 and Riverside Operational Area – Multi-Jurisdictional LHMP, 2004 Part 1, OEM's Strategic Plan)					
The proposed project does not involve the use of any hazardous directly, indirectly or cumulatively for creating a significant hazard foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous	d to the public	or the enviro	nment throug		

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact		
c. Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?						
8c. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Public Safety and Education Elements, GP 2025 FPEIR Table 5.7-D - CalARP RMP Facilities in the Project Area, Figure 5.13-2 – RUSD Boundaries, Table 5.13-D RUSD Schools, Figure 5.13-3 AUSD Boundaries, Table 5.13-E AUSD Schools, Figure 5.13-4 – Other School District Boundaries, California Health and Safety Code, Title 49 of the Code of Federal Regulations, California Building Code)						
The proposed project does not involve any emission or handling o one-quarter mile of an existing school because the (The site is approximately 0.55 miles from Gage Elementary School emitting hazardous emissions or handling hazardous or acutely h quarter mile of an existing or proposed school directly, indirectly or	proposed .) Therefore, to azardous mate	use is a he project will	residential have no imp a	subdivision. act regarding		
d. Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?						
8d. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-5 – Hazardous Waste Sites, GP 2025 FPEIR Tables 5.7-A – CERCLIS Facility Information, Figure 5.7-B – Regulated Facilities in TRI Information and 5.7-C – DTSC EnviroStor Database Listed Sites) A review of hazardous materials site lists compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 found that the project site is not included on any such lists. Therefore, the project would have no impact to creating any significant hazard to the public or environment directly, indirectly or cumulatively.						
e. For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?						
8e. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-6 – Airport Safety Zones and Influence Areas, RCALUCP and March Air Reserve Base/March Inland Port Comprehensive Land Use Plan (1999), Air Installation Compatible Use Zone Study for March Air Reserve Base (August 2005) The proposed project is located within the Airport Influence Areas as depicted on Figure 5.7-2 of the General Plan 2025 Program FPEIR for March Air Reserve Base. The project was reviewed by Planning staff to ensure that the project is consistent with the compatibility area as well as in compliance with the land use standards in the RCALUP. Because the project has been found to be consistent with the RCALUCP by staff, impacts related to hazards from airports are less than significant impacts directly, indirectly and cumulatively.						
f. For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?						
8f. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-6 – Airport Safety Zones and Influence Areas, RCALUCP Because the proposed project is not located within proximity of a private airstrip, and does not propose a private airstrip, the project will not expose people residing or working in the City to excessive noise levels related to a private airstrip and would have no impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively.						
g. Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?						
8g. Response: (Source: GP 2025 FPEIR Chapter 7.5.7 – Haza EOP, 2002 and Riverside Operational Area – Multi-Jurisdi Plan) The project will be served by an existing, fully improved street, H	ctional LHMI	P, 2004 Part 1	, and OEM's	Strategic		

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact		
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With Mitigation Incorporated	Impact			
Drive. All streets have been, or will be required to be designed to meet the Public Works and Fire Departments' specifications. Therefore, the project will have a less than significant impact directly, indirectly and cumulatively to an emergency response or evacuation plan.						
h. Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands?						
8h. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-7 – Fir Riverside's EOP, 2002 http://intranet/Portal/uploads/RArea – Multi-Jurisdictional LHMP, 2004 Part 1/Part 2 and The proposed project is located in an urbanized area where no wildle the proposed project is located in an urbanized area where no wildle the proposed project is located in an urbanized area where no wildle the proposed project is located in an urbanized area where no wildle the proposed project is located in an urbanized area where no wildle the proposed project is located in an urbanized area where no wildle the proposed project is located in an urbanized area where no wildle the proposed project is located in an urbanized area.	iv City EOI of OEM's Strate ands exist and	complete.r egic Plan) the property i	odf, Riversides not located v	e Operational within a Very		
High Fire Severity Zone (VHFSZ) or adjacent to wildland areas or a either directly, indirectly or cumulatively from this project will occur		erore no impa	ict regarding v	viidiand fires		
9. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY. Would the project:						
a. Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?						
Hydrology Study and/or Water Quality Management Plan The proposed project is located within the Santa Ana River Watersh not directly or indirectly result in physical alterations to the proj paving) and does not involve any use that would have any effect on or waste discharge requirements because the project involves a pa will have no impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively to any wate b. Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?	ed (see GP 20 ect site (i.e. g water quality reel map with r quality stand	25 FPEIR Fig rading, ground or be affected no developm	ure 5.8-1). The disturbance, by water qualent. Therefore	structure or ity standards		
9b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Table PF-1 – RPU Projected Domestic Water Supply (AC-FT/YR), Table PF-2 – RPU Projected Water Demand, Table PF-3 – Western Municipal Water District Projected Domestic Water Supply (AC-FT/YR), RPU Map of Water Supply Basins, RPU Urban Water Management Plan, WMWD Urban Water Management Plan) The proposed project is located within the Santa Ana River Water Supply Basin. The project will not directly or indirectly deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with ground water recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level as no physical alterations to the project site (i.e. grading, ground disturbance, structures or paving) are proposed because the project involves a parcel map with no development. Therefore, the project will have no impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively to groundwater supplies.						
 c. Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site? 9c. Response: (Source: Preliminary grading plan, and Projection) 		ydrology Stud	y, Stormwater	Pollution		

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	
With the exception of the proposed driveway to serve the single family residence located at 6260 Hawarden Drive, the proposed project to subdivide two-parcels into 3-parcels, with no proposed development, will not directly or indirectly result in any activity or substantial alteration of the site or surrounding area, that would alter the existing drainage pattern of the site, alter the course of stream or river, or increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner that would result in flooding on- or off-site because the project consists of a residential subdivision. Therefore no flooding on or off-site as a result of the project will occur and there will be less than significant impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively that would substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off-site.					
d. Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off-site?					
9d. Response: (Source: Preliminary grading plan, and Projet Prevention Plan)	ect Specific –	Hydrology St	udy, Stormwa	ter Pollution	
With the exception of the proposed driveway to serve the single f proposed project to subdivide two-parcels into 3-parcels, with no result in any activity or substantial alteration of the site or surround of the site, alter the course of stream or river, or increase the rate or in flooding on- or off-site because the project consists of a residential result of the project will occur and there will be less than signif would substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a	proposed deviling area, that amount of sural subdivision. icant impact	elopment, wil would alter th face runoff in _Therefore no directly, indir	I not directly the existing dra a manner that flooding on or rectly or cumu	or indirectly inage pattern would result r off-site as a latively that	
e. Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?					
9e. Response: (Source: Preliminary Grading Plan) With the exception of the proposed driveway to serve the single family residence located at 6260 Hawarden Drive, the proposed project to subdivide two-parcels into 3-parcels, with no proposed development, will not directly or indirectly result in any activity or substantial alteration of the site or surrounding area, that would alter the existing drainage pattern of the site, alter the course of stream or river, or increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner that would result in flooding on- or off-site because the project consists of a residential subdivision. Therefore no flooding on or off-site as a result of the project will occur and there will be less than significant impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively that would substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off-site.					
f. Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?					
9f. Response: (Source: Project Specific – Stormwater Polluti	on Prevention	n Plan, and V	Vater Quality	Management	
Plan) With the exception of the proposed driveway to serve the single f proposed project to subdivide two-parcels into 3-parcels, with no result in any activity or substantial alteration of the site or surround of the site, alter the course of stream or river, or increase the rate or in flooding on- or off-site because the project consists of a residential result of the project will occur and there will be less than significant would substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a	proposed deving area, that amount of sural subdivision. icant impact	elopment, wil would alter th face runoff in _Therefore no directly, indir	I not directly e existing dra a manner that flooding on or rectly or cumu	or indirectly inage pattern would result r off-site as a latively that	
g. Place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?					
9g. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-4 – Flo Zone X, Map Number 06065C0710G Effective Date Augus	t 28, 2008)			-	
A review of National Flood Insurance Rate Map (Map Number 06065C0740G Effective Date August 28, 2008) and Figure 5.8-2 – Flood Hazard Areas of the General Plan Program FPEIR, shows that the project is not located within or near a 100-					

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	
year flood hazard area. There will be no impact caused by this pr place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area.	oject directly,	-	cumulatively	as it will not	
h. Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows?					
9h. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-4 – Flood Hazard Areas, and FEMA Flood Hazard Maps Zone X, Map Number 06065C0710G Effective Date August 28, 2008)					
The project site is not located within or near a 100-year flood hazard area as depicted on General Plan 2025 Program FPEIR Figure 5.8-2 – Flood Hazard Areas and the National Flood Insurance Rate Map (Map Number 06065C0740G Effective Date August 28, 2008). Therefore, the project will not place a structure within a 100-year flood hazard area that would impede or redirect flood flows and no impact will occur directly, indirectly or cumulatively.					
i. Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam?					
9i. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-4 – F Zone X, Map Number 06065C0710G Effective Date Augus	t 28, 2008)				
The project site is not located within or near a flood hazard area as depicted on General Plan 2025 Program FPEIR Figure 5.8-2 – Flood Hazard Areas and the National Flood Insurance Rate Map (Map Number 06065C0740G Effective Date August 28, 2008) or subject to dam inundation as depicted on General Plan 2025 Program FPEIR Figure 5.8-2 – Flood Hazard Areas. Therefore, the project will not place a structure within a flood hazard or dam inundation area that would expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam and therefore no impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively will occur.					
j. Inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?					
9j. Response: (Source: GP 2025 FPEIR Chapter 7.5.8 – Hyd. Tsunamis are large waves that occur in coastal areas; therefore, sind due to tsunamis will occur directly, indirectly or cumulatively			a coastal area	, no impacts	
10. LAND USE AND PLANNING:					
Would the project:					
a. Physically divide an established community?					
10a.Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Land Use and Urb Riverside GIS/CADME map layers)	an Design Ele	ement, Project	site plan, Cit	v of	
With the exception of the variances mentioned in response 1c, the puttern of development of the surrounding area providing adequathe General Plan 2025, and in compliance with the requirements project impacts related to the community are less than significant .	ate access, cir	culation and c	onnectivity co	nsistent with	
b. Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?					
10b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025, General Plan 2025 Figure LU-10 – Land Use Policy Map, Table LU-5 – Zoning/General Plan Consistency Matrix, Title 19 – Zoning Code, Title 18 – Subdivision Code, Title 7 – Noise Code, Title 17 – Grading Code, Title 20 – Cultural Resources Code, Title 16 – Buildings and Construction and Citywide Design and Sign Guidelines)					
Although the project is located within the boundaries of the RCA standards of the plan. As well, the project is consistent with the Regional or Area wide Significance. As such, this project will had directly indirectly or cumulatively	General Plan	2025 and is r	not a project o	of Statewide,	

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
c. Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan?				
10c.Response: (Source: General Plan 2025, General Plan 202 - Zoning/General Plan Consistency Matrix, Figure LU-7 Plan if one, Title 19 - Zoning Code, Title 18 - Subdivision Title 20 - Cultural Resources Code, Title 16 - Buildings and Guidelines The proposed project is consistent with the guidelines of MSHCP. Urban/Wildlife Interface and related policies in the General Plan 2 consistent with the SKR HCP and with General Plan Policy OS indirectly and cumulatively to the provisions of an adopted Habitat	Redevelopme Code, Title 7 ad Construction including Se 025, including -5.3. Impacts	ent Areas, enternation of the control of the contro	er appropriate Title 17 – Gre le Design and uidelines Pert 4. As well, than signific	Specific ading Code, Sign aining to the the project is cant directly,
Plan, or other approved local, regional, or State habitat conservation				
11. MINERAL RESOURCES.				
Would the project: a. Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?				
11a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure – OS-1 – M. The proposed project is within Mineral Resources area MRZ-3. The and most extraction sites are now beyond the urban periphery. There impact directly, indirectly and cumulatively in the loss of known minths residents of the state.	e quarrying of efore, the proj	minerals has a	d has less tha	n significant
b. Result in the loss of availability of a locally-important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan?				
11b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure – OS-1 – Mathematical The GP 2025 FPEIR determined that there are no specific areas with mineral resource recovery sites and that the implementation of the Cability to extract state-designated resources. The proposed project there is no impact.	n the City of S General Plan 2	Sphere Area wl 2025 would no	t significantly	preclude the
12. NOISE.				
Would the project result in: a. Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?				
12a. Response: (Source: General Plan Figure N-1 - 2003 In Figure N-3 - 2003 Railway Noise, Figure N-5 - 2025 Road N-7 - 2025 Railroad Noise, Figure N-8 - Riverside and ARB Noise Contours, Figure N-10 - Noise/Land Use Existing and Future Noise Contour Comparison, Table Appendix G - Noise Existing Conditions Report, Title 7 - In The proposed project does not involve uses or activities that would i subdivision of two parcels into three parcels for financial benefit. No have no impact on the exposure of persons to or the generation of either directly, indirectly or cumulatively.	Iway Noise, F Flabob Airpo Noise Compa e 5.11-E - I Noise Code) ncrease ambie to development of noise levels	Figure N-6 – 2 rt Noise Control tibility Critered Interior and cent noise levels at is proposed.	025 Freeway Pours, Figure Lia, FPEIR To Exterior Noise as the project Therefore, the	Noise, Figure N-9 – March able 5.11-1 – se Standards, t involves the e project will ity standards
b. Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels?				

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
12b. Response: (Source: General Plan Figure N-1 – 2003 A Figure N-3 – 2003 Railway Noise, Figure N-5 – 2025 Road N-7 – 2025 Railroad Noise, Figure N-8 – Riverside and ARB Noise Contours, FPEIR Table 5.11-G – Vibration So – Noise Existing Conditions Report)	dway Noise, F Flabob Airpoi	igure N-6 – 20 rt Noise Cont	025 Freeway I ours, Figure	Noise, Figure N-9 – March
The proposed project does not involve uses or activities that would excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels. There of persons to the generation of excessive groundborne vibration or cumulatively.	efore, the proj	ect will have i	no impact on	the exposure
c. A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?				
12c. Response: (Source: General Plan Figure N-1 - 2003 A Figure N-3 - 2003 Railway Noise, Figure N-5 - 2025 Road N-7 - 2025 Railroad Noise, Figure N-8 - Riverside and ARB Noise Contours, Figure N-10 - Noise/Land Use Existing and Future Noise Contour Comparison, Table Appendix G - Noise Existing Conditions Report, Title 7 - I The proposed project does not involve uses or activities that would be levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project proposed development for financial purposes. Therefore, this project indirectly or cumulatively.	dway Noise, F Flabob Airpo Noise Compa e 5.11-E – I Noise Code) result in a subsect because th	igure N-6 – 20 rt Noise Conta tibility Criteri interior and in stantial perman e project cons	025 Freeway I ours, Figure 1 ia, FPEIR To Exterior Nois nent increase a ists of parcel	Noise, Figure N-9 – March able 5.11-1 – e Standards, mbient noise map with no
d. A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?				\boxtimes
12d. Response: (Source: FPEIR Table 5.11-J – Construction Conditions Report) The project does not result in a substantial temporary or periodic above levels existing without the project, because the project doe related temporary noise generating activities where temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in directly, indirectly or cumulatively.	increase in am s not involve periodic increa the project vi	abient noise le activities sucl ases in noise v	vels in the pronastruct would occur; ur due to the p	oject vicinity ion, or other therefore, no
e. For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?				
12e. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure N-8 – Rive – March ARB Noise Contour, Figure N-10 – Noise/Land Air Reserve Base/March inland Port Comprehensive La Zone Study for March Air Reserve Base (August 2005))	Use Noise Co	ompatibility C	riteria, RCAL	UCP, March
The proposed project is located within outside Safety and/or Airpinfluence areas as depicted on Figure 5.7-2 of the General Plan 2023 in the Riverside County Airport Land use Compatibility Plan (RCA ensure that the project is consistent with the compatibility zone as v RCALUP. Because the project has been found to be consistent wifrom airports are less than significant impacts directly, indirectly a	5 Program FPI LUCP). The propertion of the property of the pro	EIR for March project was revoluted with the UCP by staff,	Air Reserve I riewed by Plar te land use sta	Base as noted nning staff to ndards in the
f. For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?				

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact	
INFORMATION SOURCES): Impact		With Mitigation Incorporated	Impact	puct	
12f. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-6 – A March Air Reserve Base/March Inland Port Compreh Compatible Use Zone Study for March Air Reserve Base (A	nensive Land August 2005)	Use Plan (1999)and Air	Installation	
Per the GP 2025 Program FPEIR, there are no private airstrips we residing in the City to excessive noise levels. Because the proposed General Plan 2025, is not located within proximity of a private airst will not expose people residing or working in the City to excessive a no impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively.	d project constrip, and does	ists of develop not propose a	ment anticipat private airstrip	ed under the po, the project	
13. POPULATION AND HOUSING. Would the project:					
a. Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?					
13a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Table LU-3 – L Population and Households Forecast, Table 5.12-B – Ge 2025, Table 5.12-C – 2025 General Plan and SCAG C Projections 2025, Capital Improvement Program and SCAG	neral Plan Po Comparisons, G's RCP and L	opulation and Table 5.12-D RTP)	Employment - General P	Projections– lan Housing	
The project is in an urbanized area and does not propose new hom population growth, and does not involve the addition of new roads of population growth because the project consists of a parcel map for this project will have no impact on population growth either directly	r infrastructur financial pur	e that would in poses, with no	ndirectly induc	e substantial	
b. Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?					
13b. Response: (Source: CADME Land Use 2003 Layer) The project will not displace existing housing, necessitating the consproject consists of a parcel map for financial purposes and no devel	lopment or rei	moval of exist	ing residences		
Therefore, there will be no impact on existing housing either directles. Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?		r cumulatively			
construction of replacement housing elsewhere? 13c. Response: (Source: CADME Land Use 2003 Layer)					
The project will not displace any people, necessitating the constr project site is proposed on a previously improved site that has no affected by the proposed project. Therefore, this project will have replacement housing either directly, indirectly or cumulatively.	existing hous	ing or residen	ts that will be	removed or	
	I	1			
14. PUBLIC SERVICES.					
Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services:					
a. Fire protection?				\boxtimes	
14a. Response: (Source: FPEIR Table 5.13-B – Fire Station Statistics and Ordinance 5948 § 1)	Locations, To	able 5.13-C –	Riverside Fire	e Department	

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact		
The project consists of a 3 lot subdivision. Adequate fire facilities and services are provided by two stations; Station 9 located at 6674 Alessandro Boulevard and Station 3 located at 6395 Riverside Drive to serve the property. In addition, with implementation of General Plan 2025 policies, compliance with existing codes and standards, and through Fire Department practices, there will be no impacts on the demand for additional fire facilities or services either directly, indirectly or cumulatively.						
b. Police protection?				\boxtimes		
14b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-8 – New The project consists of a 3 lot parcel map for financial purposes. At the East Neighborhood Policing Center to serve this project. In policies, compliance with existing codes and standards, and through on the demand for additional police facilities of services either direct	Adequate police addition, with Police Depart	ce facilities and implementate the transfer in the contraction of the	d services are ion of General es, there will by	al Plan 2025		
c. Schools?			\boxtimes			
 14c. Response: (Source: FPEIR Figure 5.13-2 – RUSD Boundaries, Table 5.13-D – RUSD, Figure 5.13-3 – AUSD Boundaries, Table 5.13-E – AUSD, Table 5.13-G – Student Generation for RUSD and AUSD By Education Level, and Figure 5.13-4 – Other School District Boundaries) The project consists of a 3 lot parcel map for financial purposes. Adequate school facilities and services are provided by the Riverside Unified School District to serve this project. In addition, with implementation of General Plan 2025 policies, compliance with existing codes and standards, and through Riverside Unified School District School District impact fees used to offset the impact of new development, there will be less than significant impacts on the demand for school facilities or services either directly, indirectly or cumulatively. 						
d. Parks?			\boxtimes			
14d. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PR-1 – Parks, Open Spaces and Trails, Table PR-4 – Park and Recreation Facilities, Parks Master Plan 2003, GP 2025 FPEIR Table 5.14-A – Park and Recreation Facility Types, and Table 5.14-C – Park and Recreation Facilities Funded in the Riverside Renaissance Initiative) The project consists of a 3 lot parcel map for financial purposes. Adequate park facilities and services are provided in the Hawarden Hills Neighborhood to serve this project. In addition with implementation of General Plan 2025 policies, compliance with existing codes and standards, and through Park, Recreation and Community Services practices, there will be less than significant impacts on the demand for additional park facilities or services either directly, indirectly or cumulatively.						
e. Other public facilities?				\boxtimes		
14e. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure LU-8 – Community Facilities, FPEIR Figure 5.13-5 - Library Facilities, Figure 5.13-6 - Community Centers, Table 5.3-F – Riverside Community Centers, Table 5.13-H – Riverside Public Library Service Standards) The project consists of a 3 lot parcel map for financial purposes. Adequate public facilities and services, including libraries and community centers, are provided in the Hawarden Hills Neighborhood to serve this project. In addition, with implementation of General Plan 2025 policies, compliance with existing codes and standards, and through Park and Recreation and Community Services and Library practices, there will be no impacts on the demand for additional public facilities or services either directly, indirectly or cumulatively.						
4 Proprietary	<u> </u>					
a. Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?						
15a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PR-1 – P Recreation Facilities, Figure CCM-6 – Master plan of Table 5.14-A – Park and Recreation Facility Types, and T in the Riverside Renaissance Initiative, Table 5.14-D –	rails and Bike Table 5.14-C –	eways, Parks - Park and Re	Master Plan 2 creation Faci	2003, FPEIR lities Funded		

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	
in Grantifon Socrets).	_	Mitigation Incorporated	_		
Municipal Code Chapter 16.60 - Local Park Development Fees, Bicycle Master Plan May 2007) The General Plan 2025 analyzed the HS – Hillside Residential General Plan Land Use for this property. The project is consistent with the adopted General Plan 2025 and will pay applicable Park Development Impact Fees to the City of Riverside Parks, Recreation and Community Services Department therefore this project will have a no impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively.					
b. Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?					
15b. Response: The project will not include new recreational facilities or require the therefore, there will be no impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively		or expansion o	f recreational	facilities;	
16. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC.					
Would the project result in: a. Conflict with an applicable plan, ordinance or policy establishing measures of effectiveness for the performance or policy establishing measures of effectiveness for the performance of the circulation system, taking into account all modes of transportation including mass transit and non-motorized travel and relevant components of the circulation system, including but not limited to intersections, streets, highways and freeways, pedestrian and bicycle paths, and mass transit?					
16a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure CCM-4 Volume to Capacity (V/C) Ratio and Level of Service Future Trip Generation Estimates, Table 5.15-H – Exis of Service, Table 5.15-I – Conceptual General Plan Inter – Current Status of Roadways Projected to Operate at L Proposed General Plan, Appendix H – Circulation I SCAG's RTP) This project consists of a 3 lot parcel map for financial purposes	(LOS) (Typic ting and Typic rsection Impro OS E or F in Element Traf	cal 2025), Tai cal Density So ovement Recor 2025, Table 5 fic Study and	ble 5.15-D – cenario Inters mmendations, 5.15K – Free Traffic Stud	Existing and ection Levels Table 5.15-J way Analysis ly Appendix,	
would not generate additional vehicular trips either directly or incumentation under the City's General Plan. Due to the proposal this project will trips, it is not anticipated that the LOS of any nearby intersections we levels of service of nearby intersections and only an incremental implementation of this project and the project's individual or curpolicies pertaining to the performance of the circulation system will	directly, other not generate a vill be affected increase of translative impa	than what hat a significant nul. Therefore, raffic load or country to all applications.	s already been amber of additions ignificant of capacity are expanded.	n considered ional vehicle change to the expected with	
b. Conflict with an applicable congestion management program, including but not limited to level of service standards and travel demand measures, or other standards established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways?					
16b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure CCM-4 Volume to Capacity (V/C) Ratio and Level of Service Future Trip Generation Estimates, Table 5.15-H – Exis of Service, Table 5.15-I – Conceptual General Plan Inter – Current Status of Roadways Projected to Operate at L Proposed General Plan, Appendix H – Circulation I SCAG's RTP) This project consists of a 3 lot parcel map for financial purposes would not generate additional vehicular trips either directly or inc	(LOS) (Typic ting and Typic rection Impro OS E or F in Element Traff with no proportions)	cal 2025), Tai cal Density Scovement Recor 2025, Table 5 fic Study and	ble 5.15-D — cenario Inters mmendations, 5.15K — Free Traffic Stud nent. The prop	Existing and ection Levels Table 5.15-J way Analysis dy Appendix,	

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact		
under the City's General Plan. Due to the proposal this project will not generate a significant number of additional vehicle trips, it is not anticipated that the LOS of any nearby intersections will be affected. Therefore, no significant change to the levels of service of nearby intersections and only an incremental increase of traffic load or capacity are expected with implementation of this project and the project's individual or cumulative impact to all applicable plans, ordinances or policies pertaining to the performance of the circulation system will be less than significant.						
c. Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks?						
16c. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-6 – Airport Safety Zones and Influence Areas, RCALUCP, March Air Reserve Base/March Inland Port Comprehensive Land Use Plan (1999)and Air Installation Compatible Use Zone Study for March Air Reserve Base (August 2005)) The proposed project is located within Safety and/or Airport Compatibility Area 3 as depicted on Figure 5.7-2 of the General Plan 2025 Program FPEIR for March Air Reserve Base as noted in the Riverside County Airport Land use Compatibility Plan (RCALUCP). The project was reviewed by Planning staff to ensure that the project is consistent with the compatibility zone as well as in compliance with the land use standards in the RCALUP. Because the project has been found to be consistent with the RCALUCP by staff, impacts related to hazards from airports are less than significant						
 d. Substantially increase hazards due to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)? 						
16d. Response: (Source: Project Site Plans, Lane Striping and The proposed project is compatible with adjacent existing uses and as not to cause any incompatible use or additional or any hazards to of approval proposed driveways will be required to comply with t Municipal Code. Therefore, this project will have a less than significant incompatible uses directly, indirectly or cumulatively. e. Result in inadequate emergency access?	street configurent the surrounding the applicable ficant impact	rations. As wing area or generated development on increasing	eral public. A standards of t hazards throu	s a condition he Riverside igh design or		
16e. Response: (Source: California Department of Transport Fire Code) The project has been developed in compliance with Title 18, Sect (California Fire Code 2007); therefore, there will be a no impacts di	ion 18.210.03	0 and the City	y's Fire Code	Section 503		
f. Conflict with adopted policies, plans or programs regarding public transit, bicycle, or pedestrian facilities, or otherwise decrease the performance or safety of such facilities)?						
16f. Response: (Source: FPEIR, General Plan 2025 Land Use and Urban Design, Circulation and Community Mobility and Education Elements, Bicycle Master Plan, School Safety Program – Walk Safe! – Drive Safe!) The project, as designed, does not create conflicts with adopted policies, plans or programs supporting alternative transportation (e.g. bus turnouts, bicycle racks). As such, the project will have no impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively on adopted policies, plans, or programs supporting alternative transportation.						
17. UTILITIES AND SYSTEM SERVICES. Would the project:						
a. Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?						
17a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PF-2 – Service Areas, Table 5.16-K - Estimated Future Wastewate Area, Table 5.16-L - Estimated Future Wastewater Genera 5.8-1 - Watersheds, Wastewater Integrated Master Plan an The project will not exceed wastewater treatment requirements of t	er Generation tion for the Pi d Certified EI	for the City of lanning Area (R)	f Riverside's S Served by WM	Sewer Service IWD , Figure		

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	
The project is located on a site that is currently developed, with modifications are proposed that would affect wastewater treatment; cumulatively to wastewater treatment.					
b. Require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?					
17b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Table PF-1 – RP Table PF-2 – RPU Projected Water Demand, Table P Domestic Water Supply (AC-FT/YR), RPU, FPEIR Table RPU Including Water Reliability for 2025, Table 5.16-1 - G J - General Plan Projected Water Demand for WMWI Estimated Future Wastewater Generation for the City of Estimated Future Wastewater Generation for the Planni	F-3 — Weste 5.16-G — Gel Eurrent and P Including of Riverside's	rn Municipal neral Plan Pr Projected Wate Water Reliab S Sewer Servi	Water Distrojected Water r Use WMWL ility 2025, Ta ce Area & Ta	ict Projected Demand for D. Table 5.16- ble 5.16-K - able 5.16-L -	
Facilities and Figure 5.16-6 – Sewer Infrastructure and Wo					
The project will not result in the construction of new or expanded consistent with the Typical Growth Scenario of the General Plan 20 determined to be adequate (see Tables 5.16-E, 5.16-F, 5.16-G, 5.16 Final PEIR). Therefore, the project will have no impact resulting in facilities or the expansion of existing facilities directly, indirectly or	water or waste 025 where futu -H, 5.16-I, 5.1 the constructi	ewater treatme are water and 6-J and 5.16-l ion of new wat	ent facilities. T wastewater ge K of the Gener	The project is neration was ral Plan 2025	
c. Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?					
17c. Response: (Source: FPEIR Figure 5.16-2 - Drainage Face The project is located on a previously developed/improved site imperious surfaces will occur that would not require or result in the expansion of existing facilities. Therefore, the project will have a water drainage facilities or the expansion of existing facilities directly	within an urb construction no impact res	of new storm sulting in the	water drainage construction o	e facilities or	
d. Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?					
17d. Response: (Source: FPEIR Figure 5.16-3 – Water Service Areas, Figure 5.16-4 – Water Facilities, Table 5.16-E – RPU Projected Domestic Water Supply (AC-FT/YR, Table 5.16-F – Projected Water Demand, Table 5.16-G – General Plan Projected Water Demand for RPU including Water Reliability for 2025, Table 5.16-H – Current and Projected Domestic Water Supply (acre-ft/year) WMWD Table 5.16-I Current and Projected Water Use WMWD, Table 5.16-J – General Plan Projected Water Demand for WMWD Including Water Reliability 2025, RPU Master Plan, EMWD Master Plan, WMWD Master Plan, and Highgrove Water District Master Plan)					
The project will not exceed expected water supplies. The project is Scenario where future water supplies were determined to be adequand 5.16-J of the General Plan 2025 Final PEIR). Therefore, the provided water supplies either directly, indirectly or cumulatively.	ate (see Table	es t.16-E, 5.16	5-F, 5.16-G, 5	.16-H, 5.16-I	
e. Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?				\boxtimes	
17e. Response: (Source: FPEIR Figure 5.16-5 - Sewer Service 5.16-5 -					
5.16-K - Estimated Future Wastewater Generation for the Estimated Future Wastewater Generation for the Planning					
Master Plan and Certified EIR)	, inca pervea	oy 111111111111111111111111111111111111	unu musiewai	e. imegraica	
The project will not exceed wastewater treatment requirements of (I	Regional Wate	er Quality Con	trol Board). T	The project is	

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact		
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With Mitigation Incorporated	Impact	-		
consistent with the General Plan 2025 Typical Growth Scenario where future wastewater generation was determined to be adequate (see Table 5.16-K of the General Plan 2025 Final PEIR). Further, the current Wastewater Treatment Master Plan anticipates and provides for this type of project. Therefore, no impact to wastewater treatment directly, indirectly or cumulatively will occur.						
f. Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?						
17f. Response: (Source: FPEIR Table 5.16-A – Existing Land) Generation from the Planning Area)						
The project is consistent with the General Plan 2025 Typical Build determined to be adequate (see Tables 5.16-A and 5.16-M of the Gelandfill capacity will occur directly, indirectly or cumulatively.						
g. Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste?						
17g. Response: (Source: California Integrated Waste Management Act under the Public I least 50% of all solid waste generated by January 1, 2000. The Cabove State requirements. In addition, the California Green Building hazardous construction and demolition debris for all projects and 1 non-residential projects beginning January 1, 2011. The proposed requirements as well as the California Green Building Code and as s regulations related to solid waste. Therefore, no impacts related to cumulatively.	Resource Code City is current g Code require 00% of excav I project must uch would no	e requires that ally achieving a es all developm ated soil and lat comply with a conflict with	local jurisdict a 60% diversi- ments to divert and clearing the City's wany Federal, S	ions divert at on rate, well 50% of non- debris for all aste disposal tate, or local		
18. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE.						
a. Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or an endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?						
18a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 – Figure OS-6 Other Habitat Conservation Plans (HCP), Figure OS-7 – E Cell Areas, General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.4-2 – MSE and Subunit Areas, Figure 5.4-6 – MSHCP Narrow Enden Criteria Area Species Survey Area, Figure 5.4-8 – MSHC - Protection of Species Associated with Riparian/Riverin prepared by Osborne Biological Consulting on January 2 Neighborhood Conservation Areas, Figure 5.5-1 - Archaeo Resources Sensitivity, Appendix D, Title 20 of the Riverside Potential impacts related to habitat of fish or wildlife species were Initial Study, and were all found to be less than significant. Additio paleontological resources related to major periods of California an discussed in the Cultural Resources Section of this Initial Study, a with mitigation.	MSHCP Core ICP Area Planic Plant Spec P Burrowing e Areas and 5, 2013, FPH logical Sensic Municipal C discussed in onally, potential the City o	is and Linkagens, Figure 5.4 ies Survey Are Owl Survey A Vernal Pools EIR Table 5.5 tivity, Figure 5 ode) the Biological al impacts to of Riverside's	es, Figure OS -4 - MSHCP ea, Figure 5.4 rea, MSHCP , and Habita -A Historical 5.5-2 - Prehist Resources Secultural, archae history or pre	-8 – MSHCP Criteria Cells 1-7 – MSHCP Section 6.1.2 t Assessment Districts and coric Cultural ection of this eological and history were		
b. Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with						

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact	
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With Mitigation	Impact	P	
		Incorporated			
the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects)?					
18b. Response: (Source: FPEIR Section 6 – Long-Term Eff Program)	ects/ Cumula	tive Impacts j	for the Gener	ral Plan 2025	
Because the project is consistent with the General Plan 2025, no new cumulative impacts are anticipated and therefore cumulative impacts of the proposed project beyond those previously considered in the GP 2025 FPEIR are less than significant .					
c. Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?					
18c. Response: (Source: FPEIR Section 5 – Environmental Im	pact Analysis	for the Gener	ral Plan 2025	Program)	
Effects on human beings were evaluated as part of the aesthetics, air quality, hydrology & water quality, noise, population and housing, hazards and hazardous materials, and traffic sections of this initial study and found to be less than significant for each of the above sections. Based on the analysis and conclusions in this initial study, the project will not cause substantial adverse effects, directly or indirectly to human beings. Therefore, potential direct and indirect impacts on human beings that result from the proposed project are less than significant .					

Note: Authority cited: Sections 21083 and 21087, Public Resources Code. Reference: Sections 21080(c), 21080.1, 21080.3, 21082.1, 21083, 21083.3, 21093, 21094, 21151, Public Resources Code; Sundstrom v. County of Mendocino, 202 Cal.App.3d 296 (1988); Leonoff v. Monterey Board of Supervisors, 222 Cal.App.3d 1337 (1990).

Staff Recommended Mitigation Measures

Impact Category	Mitigation Measures	Implementation Timing	Responsible Monitoring Party ¹	Monitoring/Reporting Method
Air Quality	MM Air 1: To reduce construction related particulate matter air quality impacts of projects the following measures shall be required:	During grading and Construction.	Public Works Department	Construction Inspection.
	 the generation of dust shall be controlled as required by the AQMD; grading activities shall cease during periods of high winds (greater than 25 mph); 	The plan for traffic control shall be submitted with the grading and/or building plans.		
	3. trucks hauling soil, dirt or other emissive materials shall have their loads covered with a tarp or other protective cover as determined by the City Engineer; and the contractor shall prepare and maintain a traffic			
	control plan, prepared, stamped and signed by either a licensed Traffic Engineer or a Civil Engineer. The preparation of the plan shall be in accordance with Chapter 5 of the latest edition of the Caltrans Traffic Manual and the State Standard Specifications. The plan shall be submitted for approval, by the engineer,			
	at the preconstruction meeting. Work shall not commence without an approved traffic control plan.			
Cultural	MM CR-1: Prior to approval and recordation of the final parcel map, the following specific conditions shall be completed:	Prior to approval and recordation of the final parcel Map	Planning Division	
	g. A note shall be added to the parcel map stating "Parcels 1 and 2 herein have			
	been determined to contain cultural resources that were previously part of a single property. Future development on			
	the parcels is subject to Title 20 of the Riverside Municipal Code as follows:			
	Parcel 1 relative to the eligible Structure of Merit Walton/Merriman Residence property; Parcel 2 for design			

¹ All agencies are City of Riverside Departments/Divisions unless otherwise noted.

Environmental Initial Study 30 P13-0665

Impact Category	Mitigation Measures	Implementation Timing	Responsible Monitoring Party ¹	Monitoring/Reporting Method
	of a new driveway relative to the Hawarden Drive historic resource; and Parcels 1 and 2 relative to potential prehistoric archaeological resources." h. A note shall be added to the parcel map stating "For any future development of Parcels 1 and 2, if buried archaeological resources are uncovered during construction, all work must be halted in the vicinity of the discovery until a registered professional archaeologist can visit the site of discovery and assess the significance and origin of the archaeological resource. If the resource is determined to be of Native American origin, the Tribe shall be consulted. If the archaeological resource is determined to be a potentially significant cultural resource, the City, in consultation with the project archaeologist and the Tribe, shall determine the course of action which may include data recovery, retention in situ, or other appropriate treatment and mitigation depending on the resources discovered. Procedures shall follow all applicable federal, state and local laws and regulations." i. The Cultural Resources study DPR forms shall be corrected by the	Implementation Timing		Monitoring/Reporting Method
	consultant per City comments 3.a-3.d provided in a memorandum dated July 22, 2013, and submitted for review and			

Environmental Initial Study 31 P13-0665

Impact Category	Mitigation Measures	Implementation Timing	Responsible Monitoring Party ¹	Monitoring/Reporting Method
	Approval to the City Historic Preservation Officer or Qualified Designee. j. HABS-like documentation of the Walton/Merriman Residence property and the related features on Parcels 1 and 2 shall be completed to include, at a minimum photography and limited measured drawings as follows: i. Digital black and white photography of all elevations, character-defining features and context views. Features to be photographed include the interior and the exterior of the Walton/Merriman Residence; and the exterior of the	Implementation Timing		Monitoring/Reporting Method
	barn/garage, reservoir and irrigation trough. ii. Photographs will be copied onto an archival quality CD and printed on archival quality paper. Each black and white photograph shall be in an archival quality clear sleeve, labeled, and inserted into a binder enclosed in an archival document box. Labels shall identify the feature/item in the photograph, the direction/interior room where the photo was taken, and the date of the photo.			

Environmental Initial Study 32 P13-0665

Impact Category	Mitigation Measures	Implementation Timing	Responsible Monitoring Party ¹	Monitoring/Reporting Method
	iii. A photo point location map plan of the property, indicating the view directions of all exterior photographs, shall also be prepared and included in the binder. A current aerial photograph or a property survey could be used as the base map and shall include at minimum street label(s), built and feature footprint outlines and labels, a scale bar and a north arrow in addition to the photo locations. iv. Measured drawings for the reservoir and irrigation trough shall be submitted on the archival quality CD and printed on archival quality paper. Drawings shall include dimensioned plan views of both features, and a profile section of the irrigation trough. Drawing size shall be minimum 11" X 17". A black and white print shall be included in the archival document box, and one additional mylar or similar original shall be provided. v. One copy of the final approved DPR forms shall be included in the archival document box.			

Environmental Initial Study 33 P13-0665

Impact Category	Mitigation Measures	Implementation Timing	Responsible Monitoring Party ¹	Monitoring/Reporting Method
Cultural	vi. The owner shall submit two complete sets in two archival document boxes, plus one archival quality CD and one original measured drawings to the City for archiving by the Community Development Department with the Library and/or the Riverside Metropolitan Museum. MM CR-2: Prior to submittal of any demolition permit application and approval of said demolition permit by the City of Riverside for any of the site's related features (barn/garage on Parcel 1; reservoir and/or irrigation trough on Parcel 2), the HABS-like documentation required in Mitigation Measure CR-1.d above shall be completed, submitted and approved by the City Historic Preservation Officer or qualified designee. The owner shall also submit acceptable evidence with the demolition permit request to indicate whether the windows and exterior siding materials, etc., from the barn/garage will be salvaged and used for a new garage and/or offered to an appropriate salvage organization or company.	Prior to submittal of Demolition Permit	Planning Division	
Cultural	MM CR-3: In compliance with legal requirements regarding disclosure in effect at the time of sale, the seller or his/her representative shall disclose that the Walton/Merriman Residence Property is an Eligible Cultural Resource as defined by, and subject to applicable requirements of, Title 20, "Cultural Resources," of the Riverside Municipal Code as well as any other applicable City codes.	Prior to the Close of Escrow	Property Owner	

Environmental Initial Study 34 P13-0665

Impact Category	Mitigation Measures	Implementation Timing	Responsible Monitoring Party ¹	Monitoring/Reporting Method
Cultural	MM CR-4: The following mitigation measures should be implemented to reduce project-related adverse impacts to archaeological resources and sites containing Native American human remains that may be inadvertently discovered during construction of projects proposed in the City's General Plan Update:	During Grading	Planning Division/Public Works	
	If buried archaeological resources are uncovered during construction, all work must be halted in the vicinity of the discovery until a registered professional archaeologist can visit the site of discovery and assess the significance and origin of the archaeological resource. If the resource is determined to be of Native American origin, the Tribe shall be consulted. If the archaeological resource is determined to be a potentially significant cultural resource, the City, in consultation with the project archaeologist and the Tribe, shall determine the course of action which may include data recovery, retention in situ, or other appropriate treatment and mitigation depending on the resources discovered.			
Cultural	MM CR-5: The following mitigation measures should be implemented to reduce project-related adverse impacts to archaeological resources and sites containing Native American human remains that may be inadvertently discovered during construction of projects proposed in the City's General Plan Update:	During Grading	Panning Division/ Public Works	
	15. If buried archaeological resources are uncovered during construction, all work must be halted in the vicinity of the discovery until a registered professional archaeologist can visit the site of discovery and assess the significance and origin of the archaeological resource. If the resource is determined to be of Native American			

Environmental Initial Study 35 P13-0665

Impact Category	Mitigation Measures	Implementation Timing	Responsible Monitoring Party ¹	Monitoring/Reporting Method
	origin, the Tribe shall be consulted. If the archaeological resource is determined to be a potentially significant cultural resource, the City, in consultation with the project archaeologist and the Tribe, shall determine the course of action which may include data recovery, retention in situ, or other appropriate treatment and mitigation depending on the resources discovered. In the event of an accidental discovery of any human remains in a location other than a dedicated cemetery, the steps and procedures specified in Health and Safety Code 7050.5, State CEQA Guidelines 15064.5(e), and Public Resources Code 5097.98 must be implemented. Specifically, in accordance with Public Resources Code (PRC) Section 5097.98, the Riverside County Coroner must be notified within 24 hours of the discovery of potentially human remains. The Coroner will then determine within two working days of being notified if the remains are subject to his or her authority. If the Coroner recognizes the remains to be Native American, he or she shall contact the Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC) by phone within 24 hours, in accordance with PRC Section 5097.98. The NAHC will then designate a Most Likely Descendant (MLD) with respect to the human remains within 48 hours of notification. The MLD then has the opportunity to recommend to the property owner or the person responsible for the excavation work means for treating or disposing, with appropriate dignity, the human remains and associated grave goods within 24 hours of notification. Whenever the NAHC is unable to identify a MLD, or the MLD fails to make a recommendation, or the landowner or his or her authorized representative rejects the			

Environmental Initial Study 36 P13-0665

Impact Category	Mitigation Measures	Implementation Timing	Responsible Monitoring Party ¹	Monitoring/Reporting Method
	recommendation of the MLD and the mediation provided for in subdivision (k) of PRC Section 5097.94 fails to provide measures acceptable to the landowner, the landowner or his or her authorized representative shall re-inter the human remains and items associated with Native American burials with appropriate dignity on the property in a location not subject to further subsurface disturbance.			

Environmental Initial Study 37 P13-0665