



Findings

January 26, 2011

CPRC Case No. 09-007

This case concerns complaints of Improper Procedure, Discourtesy, and Discrimination.

The Commission found the following:

- 1. Improper Procedure (False Reporting) (Category 2)** – The investigation produced insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation.
- 2. Discourtesy (Category 2)** – The Department member committed all or part of the alleged acts of misconduct or poor service.
- 3. Discourtesy (Category 2)** – The Department member committed all or part of the alleged acts of misconduct or poor service.
- 4. Discourtesy (Category 2)** – The Department member committed all or part of the alleged acts of misconduct or poor service.
- 5. Discrimination (Category 1)** – The alleged act did not occur.

CPRC Case No. 10-022

This case concerns complaints of Improper Procedure.

The Commission found the following:

- 1. Improper Procedure (Category 2)** – The Department member committed all or part of the alleged acts of misconduct or poor service.

CPRC Case No. 10-028

This case concerns complaints of Improper Procedure.

The Commission found the following:

- 1. Improper Procedure (Category 2)** – The alleged act occurred, but was justified, legal, and proper.

CPRC Case No. 10-038

This case concerns complaints of Discourtesy and Improper Procedure.

The Commission found the following:

1. **Discourtesy (Rudeness) (Category 2)** – The alleged act did not occur.
2. **Improper Procedure (Exceeded Powers) (Category 2)** – The alleged act did not occur.

CPRC Case No. 10-039

This case concerns complaints of Discrimination and Discourtesy.

The Commission found the following:

1. **Discrimination (Category 1)** – The alleged act did not occur.
2. **Discourtesy (Category 2)** – The alleged act did not occur.