



Findings February 24, 2010

CPRC Case No. 08-051

This case concerns complaints of Improper Procedure.

The Commission found the following:

SUBJECT OFFICER #1

1. **Improper Procedure (Category 2)** – The alleged act did not occur.
2. **Improper Procedure (Category 2)** – The alleged act did not occur.
3. **Improper Procedure (Category 2)** – The alleged act did not occur.

SUBJECT OFFICER #2

1. **Improper Procedure (Category 2)** – The alleged act did not occur.
2. **Improper Procedure (Category 2)** – The alleged act did not occur.
3. **Improper Procedure (Category 2)** – The alleged act did not occur.

CPRC Case No. 09-016

This case concerns complaints with Discourtesy, Improper Procedure, and Discrimination.

The Commission found the following:

1. **Discourtesy (Category 2)** – The alleged act did not occur.
2. **Improper Procedure (Category 2)** – The alleged act did not occur.
3. **Improper Procedure (Category 2)** – The alleged act did not occur.
4. **Discrimination (Category 1)** – The alleged act did not occur.

CPRC Case No. 09-019

This case concerns complaints with Discourtesy, Improper Procedure, and Harassment.

The Commission found the following:

1. **Discourtesy (Category 2)** – The Department member committed all or part of the alleged acts of misconduct or poor service.
2. **Improper Procedure (Category 2)** – The investigation produced insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation.
3. **Harassment (Category 1)** – The alleged act did not occur.

CPRC Case No. 09-040

This case concerns complaints with Improper Procedure.

The Commission found the following:

1. **Improper Procedure (Category 2)** – The Department member committed all or part of the alleged acts of misconduct or poor service.
2. **Improper Procedure (Category 2)** – The alleged act did not occur.

CPRC Case No. 09-043

This case concerns complaints with Excessive Use of Force and Discourtesy.

The Commission found the following:

1. **Excessive Use of Force (Category 2)** – The investigation produced insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation.
2. **Discourtesy (Category 2)** – The investigation produced insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation.

CPRC Case No. 09-048

This case concerns complaints with Improper Procedure and Discourtesy.

The Commission found the following:

1. **Improper Procedure (Category 2)** – The Department member committed all or part of the alleged acts of misconduct or poor service.
2. **Discourtesy (Category 2)** – The alleged act did not occur.