

RECEIVED

MAR 20 2015

City of Riverside
City Clerk's Office

REBUTTAL TO ARGUMENT AGAINST MEASURE A

It has been said that the definition of insanity is doing the same thing over and over again and expecting different results. By this definition, our City's prohibition on state law compliant medical marijuana providers is insane!

Our community is no safer, and we certainly have wasted a staggering amount of taxpayer money doubling down on a failed policy.

Sensible Regulation

vs.

Prohibition

- The City of Palm Springs routinely had over a dozen illegal dispensaries operating. After their bold elected leaders chose to adopt sensible local regulations, the number of illegal dispensaries plunged to just three.
- In our City, thugs continue to operate illegal dispensaries directly in the face of our elected leadership.
- Palm Springs brought in \$1,034,346 from its marijuana tax in 2014.
- By the time you have read this, the City of Riverside will have spent over \$1,000,000 fighting against local safe access to medical marijuana.

Do not let the hysteria of a few stubborn city officials deceive you.

Measure A will give the Riverside Police Department power to investigate whether City approved providers meet the following standards:

- Cannot be located within 1000 feet of a school;
- Must be monitored by high-tech security cameras and state licensed guards;
- Cannot be managed by anyone convicted of a felony within the previous 10 years; and
- Must have strict protocols limiting sales to adults with a doctor's recommendation.

Measure A represents a future where City of Riverside policies are shaped by logic and reason rather than political agendas.

Michael Eppolito,
City of Riverside Resident

STATEMENT BY PROPONENTS/AUTHORS OF ARGUMENTS

(Section 9600 of the Elections Code requires that all arguments concerning measures shall be accompanied by the following form statement, to be signed by each proponent and by each author, if different, of the argument).

The undersigned proponent(s) or author(s) of the Rebuttal argument In favor
(PRIMARY OR REBUTTAL) (IN FAVOR OR AGAINST)
ballot measure A at the General Municipal Election
(LETTER) (TITLE OF ELECTION)
for the City of Riverside to be held on June 2, 2015
(DISTRICT OR JURISDICTION) (DATE)

hereby state that such argument is true and correct to the best of his knowledge and belief.
(HIS/HER/THEIR)

PROPONENT'S/AUTHOR'S NAME:

SIGNATURE:

1. Michael Eppolito, City of Riverside Resident
PRINTED NAME (TITLE OPTIONAL)
7496 Hastings Lane, Riverside, CA 92503
ADDRESS

SIGNATURE
(909) 376-0313 March 19, 2015
(PHONE) DATE
2. _____
PRINTED NAME (TITLE OPTIONAL) SIGNATURE
_____ (PHONE) DATE
ADDRESS
3. _____
PRINTED NAME (TITLE OPTIONAL) SIGNATURE
_____ (PHONE) DATE
ADDRESS
4. _____
PRINTED NAME (TITLE OPTIONAL) SIGNATURE
_____ (PHONE) DATE
ADDRESS
5. _____
PRINTED NAME (TITLE OPTIONAL) SIGNATURE
_____ (PHONE) DATE
ADDRESS

RECEIVED
MAR 20 2015
City of Riverside
City Clerk's Office

VERIFICATION OF PROPONENT

The undersigned proponent of Ballot Measure 'A' at the municipal election for the City of Riverside, to be held on June 2, 2015, hereby states that the rebuttal to argument opposing Measure A is true and correct to the best of her knowledge.



Kimberly Thompson
City of Riverside Resident
7496 Hasting Lane
Riverside, CA 92506

RECEIVED

MAR 20 2015

City of Riverside
City Clerk's Office