

Vote No on Measure A. It will cost at least \$12.5 million over the next 5 years for services Riverside now gets for "free" from the Riverside County District Attorney. 26

Given this cost, why would Riverside create a new bureaucracy that duplicates services now provided by the county? In fact, Councilmembers Burnard, Davis and Melendrez all voted against bringing this to voters. 36

First year City Attorney says local control would increase the prosecution of misdemeanors in Riverside. But the Riverside County DA's office already has a 90% misdemeanor prosecution rate in the City of Riverside. Prosecution by the DA is done with well-trained professional prosecutors at no cost to the City. 103 102

A new, expensive apparatus is not needed. It doesn't matter if a small fraction of other California cities let City Attorneys handle misdemeanor prosecutions. Those cities, including Los Angeles, are not islands of low crime rates. Riverside needs to mind its own store and avoid excess spending – particularly at a time of multimillion-dollar deficits that the City must address. 114 160

The Mayor and some Councilmen seem to think a new City Prosecutor's Office will directly address citizen complaints. The fact remains that the Police enforce the law; a City Prosecutor won't reduce crime in Riverside. 124 192

Unlike the appointed City Attorney, our elected District Attorney answers to the people. Coordination between the DA and local law enforcement has worked well for many years. Continued cooperation would save taxpayers \$12.5-\$25 million or more over the next 5 years by preventing creation of a new bureaucracy and trying to substitute for the currently effective DA's prosecutors. 254 249

With the rising price of pensions and other costs, Riverside needs to eliminate duplication and cut needless spending. Measure A would bloat spending instead, and is nothing more than an Expensive Local Boondoggle. A new bureaucracy will do nothing to cut crime in Riverside. 284 292

Vote No on Measure A. 298 296

**RECEIVED**

MAR 15 2016

City of Riverside  
City Clerk's Office

RECEIVED

STATEMENT BY PROPONENTS/AUTHORS OF ARGUMENTS MAR 15 2016

City of Riverside  
City Clerk's Office

(Section 9600 of the Elections Code requires that all arguments concerning measures shall be accompanied by the following form statement, to be signed by each proponent and by each author, if different, of the argument).

The undersigned proponent(s) or author(s) of the Primary argument Against  
(PRIMARY OR REBUTTAL) (IN FAVOR OR AGAINST)  
ballot measure A at the General Municipal Election  
(LETTER) (TITLE OF ELECTION)  
for the City of Riverside to be held on June 7, 2016  
(DISTRICT OR JURISDICTION) (DATE)  
hereby state that such argument is true and correct to the best of their knowledge and belief.  
(HIS/HER/THEIR)

PROPONENT'S/AUTHOR'S NAME:

SIGNATURE:

1. Collette Lee (business owner)  
PRINTED NAME (TITLE OPTIONAL) [REDACTED SIGNATURE]  
[REDACTED ADDRESS] [REDACTED PHONE] 3/14/16  
ADDRESS (PHONE) DATE

2. GROVER TRASK  
PRINTED NAME (TITLE OPTIONAL) [REDACTED SIGNATURE]  
[REDACTED ADDRESS] [REDACTED PHONE] 3/14/16  
ADDRESS (PHONE) DATE  
Retired Riverside District Attorney

3. RONALD O. LOVERIDGE  
PRINTED NAME (TITLE OPTIONAL) [REDACTED SIGNATURE]  
[REDACTED ADDRESS] [REDACTED PHONE] 3/14/16  
ADDRESS (PHONE) DATE  
Retired Mayor of Riverside

4. Brian Smith PRESIDENT, RBA  
PRINTED NAME (TITLE OPTIONAL) [REDACTED SIGNATURE]  
[REDACTED ADDRESS] [REDACTED PHONE] 3/15/16  
ADDRESS (PHONE) DATE

5. VIRGINIA M. BLUMENFELT  
PRINTED NAME (TITLE OPTIONAL) [REDACTED SIGNATURE]  
[REDACTED ADDRESS] [REDACTED PHONE] 3-15-16  
ADDRESS (PHONE) DATE  
CRIMINAL LAW ATTORNEY