



City of Arts & Innovation

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

Planning Division

Draft Mitigated Negative Declaration

AGENDA ITEM NO.:

WARD: 2

1. **Case Number:** P14-0055
2. **Project Title:** Chicago/Linden Strategic Plan
3. **Hearing Date:** March 4, 2014
4. **Lead Agency:** City of Riverside
Community Development Department
Planning Division
3900 Main Street, 3rd Floor
Riverside, CA 92522
5. **Contact Person:** Shonda Herold
Phone Number: (951) 826-5590
6. **Project Location:** The project area is bounded by Linden Street on the north, 7th Street on the south, Chicago Avenue on the east, and Dwight Avenue on the west.
7. **Project Applicant/Project Sponsor's Name and Address:**

Housing Authority of the City of Riverside
3900 Main Street, 3rd Floor
Riverside, CA 92522
8. **General Plan Designation:** Medium Density Residential (MDR); High Density Residential (HDR); Public Park (P)
9. **Zoning:** R-1-7000 Single-Family Residential; R-3-1500 Multi-Family Residential; Public Facility
10. **Description of Project:** (Describe the whole action involved, including but not limited to later phases of the project, and any secondary, support, or off-site features necessary for its implementation. Attach additional sheets if necessary.)

The proposed Strategic Plan implements strategies for revitalization of the Chicago-Linden neighborhood, located in the City's Eastside Neighborhood.

The project area is bounded by Linden Street on the north, 7th Street on the south, Chicago Avenue on the east, and Dwight Avenue on the west. It encompasses ±44 acres and includes 77 parcels. It is largely built out and contains approximately 498 residential units consisting of a mix of: 1) single-family residences; 2) single-family units subdivided into multiple units or with additional units added; 3) multi-family duplexes/triplexes; and, 4) multi-family apartment buildings. The project area also includes 2 vacant parcels that were previously developed, a church, and Patterson Park (±4.3 acres).

The proposed project involves action by the City, Housing Authority, and neighborhood residents and property owners to improve and maintain public and private areas within the project area, including the redevelopment of some lands, the improvement of existing properties and the re-purposing of some properties. In addition to these development actions, the Strategic Plan includes incentives and initiatives to spur the improvement of privately owned properties, including façade improvements, upgrading of individual apartment units, and street improvements.

Development/Redevelopment

Proposed development and redevelopment actions to be undertaken by the Housing Authority are summarized below.

**Table 1
Proposed Development/Redevelopment Actions**

Proposed Action	No. of Existing Units	No. of Buildout Units
Redevelop 1705, 1725 & 1733 7 th Street <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Demolish 41 existing MF units • Build 31 new MF units (The Strategic Plan proposes the construction of 28-35 new MF apt. units. For analysis purposes, an average of 31 units is assumed.)	41 MF	±31 MF
Purchase 1836 & 1822 Loma Vista <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Demolish 8 existing MF units • Build ±16 new MF units 	8 MF	±16 MF
Purchase 1767 Loma Vista <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Demolish 4 existing MF units • Build new parking lot 	4 MF	0
Purchase 1805 Loma Vista <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Demolish 8 existing MF units • Build 8 new MF units • Build new community center 	8 MF	8 MF
Total Units:	61 MF	±55 MF

MF = multi-family units

The Strategic Plan also includes a recommendation to encourage the addition of units to properties on the north side of Loma Vista, if these units face the park (please see discussion of Patterson Park improvements, below). Should this recommendation be implemented, 6 additional units could be constructed at this location.

Remodeling

The project proposes remodeling of all Housing Authority-owned properties that are not slated for development or redevelopment. They include the following:

**Table 2
Properties to be Remodeled**

Property Address	No. of Units
3556 Dwight Avenue	2
3590 Dwight Avenue	2
1740 Loma Vista (Coco Palms Apts.)	28
3552 Lou Ella Lane (Linden Square Apts.)	16
3553 Lou Ella Lane (Lou Ella Lane Apts.)	28
1833 7 th Street	3
Total Units:	79

Note: All properties listed in this table are currently owned by the Housing Authority.

The Strategic Plan also proposes that the Housing Authority purchase and improve converted single-family properties as they become available from willing sellers. Should these recommendations be implemented, the number of units remodeled could increase marginally.

Build Out Summary

In addition to the Housing Authority activities described above, it is also expected that the two currently vacant lots within the neighborhood will generate additional housing units. Based on their size and surrounding development, it has been assumed that a total of 10 units would occur on these lots – 2 units on Dwight, and 8 units on Loma Vista.

Build out of the proposed Strategic Plan will result in the following land use conditions.

**Table 3
Build Out Summary**

Land Use	Existing Conditions No. of Units	Build Out Conditions No. of Units
Residential:		
Single-family	34	36
Multi-family, 2-4 units	55	51
Multi-family, 5+ units	395	405
Park-Fronting Units	0	6
Total Residential:	484	498
Church (3625 Ottawa Avenue)	1	1
Community Center (1805 Loma Vista)	0	1
Neighborhood Park (Patterson Park)	4.3 acres	4.3 acres
Parking Lot (1767 Loma Vista)	0	1

Patterson Park

Patterson Park, a±4.3-acre neighborhood park in the project area, has the potential to serve as a social gathering place and the heart of the community. However, access and connectivity to the park from within the neighborhood is limited, and the park is used on a limited basis currently. The following programs are proposed to improve the functionality, usage, aesthetics, and safety of Patterson Park.

- Redesign Patterson Park to include open play area, basketball court, perimeter walking path, community garden, splash fountain feature, separate playgrounds for preschool and school-aged children, shade structures, and picnic tables.
- Create an incentive program for apartments on the park, including deeding 30 feet of park land adjacent to properties south of the park in exchange for immediate construction of apartment units with front porches and/or balconies directly on the park.

- Construct a playground and pedestrian access to Patterson Park on the western side of the Housing Authority's Lou Ella Lane Apartments (3553 Lou Ella Lane).

Property Maintenance and Neighborhood Improvements

The majority of properties and public improvements, including streets, sidewalks, and parkways, in the project area were built in the first half of the 20th century. Some are deteriorating or lacking continuity. Other features, such as fences, driveways, mailboxes, and signage are in disrepair. The proposed project includes the following programs to enhance the aesthetic and functional features of the neighborhood.

- Offer free building and code compliance inspections in exchange for amnesty for property owners who correct violations within 60 days
- Partner with a private lender to offer short-term revolving loans for property improvements (12 units or less), with a requirement for participation in the Crime Free Multi-Housing program
- Provide paving grants to property owners with currently unpaved driveways and drive approaches
- Provide grants of up to \$5,000 for façade, landscaping, hardscaping (including paving of driveways and drive approaches), or lighting improvements for single-family homes and converted single-family homes
- Establish fast track administrative process for review of all property improvement projects described in Section VII (Infrastructure) of the Strategic Plan
- Establish a neighborhood trash bin/dumpster enclosure design and improvement program
- Provide grants of up to \$5,000 for front yard fencing replacement when fencing is lowered to no more than 3 feet in height or consistent with the design recommendations provided in the Strategic Plan
- Conduct quarterly code compliance sweeps through the neighborhood Implement Capital Improvements to repair, replace, or install sidewalks throughout the neighborhood; install new mailboxes at properties with on-street mailboxes; install decorative streetlights at street corners; install decorative pavement at intersections; install parkway landscaping throughout the neighborhood
- Implement a regular street sweeping program

Neighborhood Safety

The Police Department has confirmed the presence of gang activity within the Chicago-Linden neighborhood, particularly at Patterson Park. Other crimes have been reported elsewhere in the community. The project proposes the following programs directed at reducing or eliminating crime.

- Eliminate gang activities in Patterson Park
- Establish a Neighborhood Watch Program in the neighborhood
- Adopt a Safe Housing Program by ordinance

Traffic and Transportation

The following programs are proposed to enhance circulation, parking, and access to public transit and bicycle facilities in the neighborhood.

- Provide central public parking lot at 1767 Loma Vista and create permit parking requirements for the parking lot and neighborhood streets with a concurrent and ongoing enforcement program
- Add and/or improve bus stops on Chicago and University Avenues
- Eliminate cul-de-sacs and create a through street at Lou Ella and Loma Vista
- Install bike lanes per Bike Master Plan
- Install traffic calming devices (bulb-outs) on Linden and 7th Streets

Historic Preservation

Structures in the Chicago-Linden neighborhood were built between 1900 and 1987, with many built during the post-World War II era (1940s – 50s). Six properties have been identified as having local historic potential. The proposed project includes the following program directed at historic preservation.

- Secure funds for a restoration program for historic properties, and implement an education and assistance program for property owners of these units

Alleys

Many of the neighborhood’s alleys are dark, discontinuous, and in need of maintenance. However, they could potentially provide safe and improved pedestrian access to Patterson Park. The Strategic Plan includes the following programs that directly address alley.

- Establish an incentive program for properties adjacent to alleys to provide front doors, patios, and balconies on alleys
- Implement an alley improvement program to enhance pedestrian connectivity and assure safety

11. Surrounding land uses and setting: Briefly describe the project’s surroundings:

	Existing Land Use	General Plan Designation	Zoning Designation
Project Site	Single- and multi-family residential; public neighborhood park (Patterson Park)	Medium Density Residential (MDR); High Density Residential (HDR); Public Park (P)	R-1-7000 Single-Family Residential; R-3-1500 Multi-Family Residential; Public Facility
North	Single- and multi-family residential; office park; North High School	Medium Density Residential (MDR); High Density Residential (HDR); Business/Office Park (B/OP)	R-1-7000 Single-Family Residential; R-3-1500 Multi-Family Residential; BMP Business & Manufacturing Park
East	Commercial; light industrial (lumber, tire, auto body shop)	Business/Office Park (B/OP)	CR Commercial Retail; R-3-1500 Multi-Family Residential
South	Single-family residential	Medium Density Residential (MDR); High Density Residential (HDR)	R-1-7000 Single-Family Residential
West	Single- and multi-family residential	Medium Density Residential (MDR)	R-1-7000 Single-Family Residential

12. Other public agencies whose approval is required (e.g., permits, financial approval, or participation agreement.):

None

13. Other Environmental Reviews Incorporated by Reference in this Review:

- a. General Plan 2025
- b. GP 2025 FPEIR
- c. Eastside Neighborhood Plan
- d. Riverside Park and Recreation Master Plan

14. Acronyms

AICUZ -	Air Installation Compatible Use Zone Study
AQMP -	Air Quality Management Plan
AUSD -	Alvord Unified School District
CEQA -	California Environmental Quality Act
CMP -	Congestion Management Plan
EIR -	Environmental Impact Report
EMWD -	Eastern Municipal Water District
EOP -	Emergency Operations Plan
FEMA -	Federal Emergency Management Agency
FPEIR -	GP 2025 Final Programmatic Environmental Impact Report
GIS -	Geographic Information System
GhG -	Green House Gas
GP 2025 -	General Plan 2025
IS -	Initial Study
LHMP -	Local Hazard Mitigation Plan
MARB/MIP -	March Air Reserve Base/March Inland Port
MJPA-JLUS -	March Joint Powers Authority - Joint Land Use Study
MSHCP -	Multiple-Species Habitat Conservation Plan
MVUSD -	Moreno Valley Unified School District
NCCP -	Natural Communities Conservation Plan
OEM -	Office of Emergency Services
OPR -	Office of Planning & Research, State
PEIR -	Program Environmental Impact Report
PW -	Public Works, Riverside
RCALUC -	Riverside County Airport Land Use Commission
RCALUCP -	Riverside County Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan
RCP -	Regional Comprehensive Plan
RCTC -	Riverside County Transportation Commission
RMC -	Riverside Municipal Code
RPD -	Riverside Police Department
RPU -	Riverside Public Utilities
RTIP -	Regional Transportation Improvement Plan
RTP -	Regional Transportation Plan
RUSD -	Riverside Unified School District
SCAG -	Southern California Association of Governments
SCAQMD -	South Coast Air Quality Management District
SCH -	State Clearinghouse
SKR-HCP -	Stephens' Kangaroo Rat - Habitat Conservation Plan
SWPPP -	Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan
USGS -	United States Geologic Survey
WMWD -	Western Municipal Water District
WQMP -	Water Quality Management Plan

ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED:

The environmental factors checked below would be potentially affected by this project, involving at least one impact that is a "Potentially Significant Impact" as indicated by the checklist on the following pages.

- | | | |
|---|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Aesthetics | <input type="checkbox"/> Agriculture & Forest Resources | <input type="checkbox"/> Air Quality |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Biological Resources | <input type="checkbox"/> Cultural Resources | <input type="checkbox"/> Geology/Soils |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Greenhouse Gas Emissions | <input type="checkbox"/> Hazards & Hazardous Materials | <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrology/Water Quality |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Land Use/Planning | <input type="checkbox"/> Mineral Resources | <input type="checkbox"/> Noise |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Population/Housing | <input type="checkbox"/> Public Service | <input type="checkbox"/> Recreation |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Transportation/Traffic | <input type="checkbox"/> Utilities/Service Systems | <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory Findings of Significance |

DETERMINATION: (To be completed by the Lead Agency)

On the basis of this initial evaluation which reflects the independent judgment of the City of Riverside, it is recommended that:

The City of Riverside finds that the proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment, and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.

The City of Riverside finds that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because revisions in the project have been made by or agreed to by the project proponent. A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.

The City of Riverside finds that the proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the environment, and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required.

The City of Riverside finds that the proposed project MAY have a "potentially significant impact" or "potentially significant unless mitigated" impact on the environment, but at least one effect 1) has been adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and 2) has been addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis as described on attached sheets. An ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required, but it must analyze only the effects that remain to be addressed.

The City of Riverside finds that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been analyzed adequately in an earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION pursuant to applicable standards, and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION, including revisions or mitigation measures that are imposed upon the proposed project, nothing further is required.

Signature Shonda Herold

Date Feb 11, 2014

Printed Name & Title Shonda Herold, Housing Project Coordinator

For City of Riverside



City of Arts & Innovation

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

Planning Division

Environmental Initial Study

EVALUATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS:

- 1) A brief explanation is required for all answers except “No Impact” answers that are adequately supported by the information sources a lead agency cites in the parentheses following each question. A “No Impact” answer is adequately supported if the referenced information sources show that the impact simply does not apply to projects like the one involved (e.g., the project falls outside a fault rupture zone). A “No Impact” answer should be explained where it is based on project-specific factors as well as general standards (e.g., the project will not expose sensitive receptors to pollutants, based on a project-specific screening analysis).
- 2) All answers must take account of the whole action involved, including off-site as well as on-site, cumulative as well as project-level, indirect as well as direct, and construction as well as operational impacts.
- 3) Once the lead agency has determined that a particular physical impact may occur, then the checklist answers must indicate whether the impact is potentially significant, less than significant with mitigation, or less than significant. “Potentially Significant Impact” is appropriate if there is substantial evidence that an effect may be significant. If there are one or more “Potentially Significant Impact” entries when the determination is made, an EIR is required.
- 4) “Negative Declaration: Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated” applies where the incorporation of mitigation measures has reduced an effect from “Potentially Significant Impact” to a “Less Than Significant Impact.” The lead agency must describe the mitigation measures, and briefly explain how they reduce the effect to a less than significant level (mitigation measures from “Earlier Analyses,” as described in (5) below, may be cross-referenced).
- 5) Earlier analyses may be used where, pursuant to the tiering, program EIR, or other CEQA process, an effect has been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or negative declaration. Section 15063(c)(3)(D). In this case, a brief discussion should identify the following:
 - a. **Earlier Analysis Used.** Identify and state where they are available for review.
 - b. **Impacts Adequately Addressed.** Identify which effects from the above checklist were within the scope of and adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and state whether such effects were addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis.
 - c. **Mitigation Measures.** For effects that are “Less than Significant with Mitigation Measures Incorporated,” describe the mitigation measure which were incorporated or refined from the earlier document and the extent to which they address site-specific conditions for the project.
- 6) Lead agencies are encouraged to incorporate into the checklist references to information sources for potential impacts (e.g., general plans, zoning ordinances). Reference to a previously prepared or outside document should, where appropriate, include a reference to the page or pages where the statement is substantiated.

- 7) **Supporting Information Sources:** A source list should be attached, and other sources used or individuals contacted should be cited in the discussion.
- 8) The explanation of each issue should identify:
 - a. the significance criteria or threshold, if any, used to evaluate each question; and
 - b. the mitigation measure identified, if any, to reduce the impact to less than significance.

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
1. AESTHETICS. Would the project:				
a. Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>1a. Response: <i>(Source: General Plan 2025 Figure CCM-4 – Master Plan of Roadways, General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.1-1 – Scenic and Special Boulevards and Parkways, Table 5.1-A – Scenic and Special Boulevards, and Table 5.1-B – Scenic Parkway, Eastside Neighborhood Plan)</i></p> <p>Less Than Significant Impact. The proposed project consists of a redevelopment project for which direct, indirect, and cumulative impacts to scenic vistas are expected to be less than significant. The project area is located within a long-standing, moderately dense urbanized area. Street level views are of single- and multi-level residential buildings and other elements of the built environment, including parking lots, fences, and walls. Patterson Park offers views of grass, trees, and recreational equipment typical of a neighborhood park, but no unique scenic vistas. The project area is surrounded by development on all four sides, including office, commercial, and light industrial buildings, and separated by neighborhood streets, including a local collector (Linden Street) and an arterial (Chicago Avenue). No Scenic or Special Boulevards are contained within the project area, and there are no scenic vistas in the immediate project vicinity. The site is not located in close proximity to or within the view of any prominent natural features.</p>				
b. Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>1b. Response: 1b. Response: <i>(Source: General Plan 2025 Figure CCM-4 – Master Plan of Roadways, General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.1-1 – Scenic and Special Boulevards, Parkways, Table 5.1-A – Scenic and Special Boulevards, Table 5.1-B – Scenic Parkways, the City’s Urban Forest Tree Policy Manual, Title 20 – Cultural Resources, Eastside Neighborhood Plan, and “Cultural Resources Survey for the Patterson Park Neighborhood Strategic Plan” prepared by JM Research & Consulting in July 2013)</i></p> <p>Less Than Significant Impact. There are no scenic highways within the City that could be potentially impacted by the proposed project. The project area is not located along or within view of a scenic boulevard, parkway, or special boulevard designated by the City’s General Plan 2025 and, therefore, the project will not have any effect on any scenic resources within a scenic roadway. There are no rock outcroppings within view of this proposed project, so no impacts to these resources are expected.</p> <p>No historic buildings have been recorded in the project area. Six (6) properties have been identified as potentially eligible for local individual historic designation and are flagged for further study; however, none is designated as having historic significance at this time, and none occurs within a state scenic highway. The Plan recognizes the existing Pember-Herrick Grove Palm Row on Linden Street on the north side of Patterson Park as a defining element of the neighborhood and recommends its continued preservation.</p> <p>Any potential adverse direct, indirect or cumulative impacts to scenic resources from this project will be less than significant. Continued implementation of General Plan 2025 policies (HP-1.1, HP-1.4, HP-1.5, HP-2.1), Title 20 of the Municipal Code, and compliance with the City’s Urban Forestry Policy Manual, will result in the protection and enhancement of scenic resources in the project area.</p>				
c. Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
<p>1c. Response: <i>(Source: General Plan 2025, General Plan 2025 FPEIR, Zoning Code, Citywide Design and Sign Guidelines, Eastside Neighborhood Plan)</i></p>				
<p>Less Than Significant Impact. Direct, indirect and cumulative impacts to the visual character and quality of the area will be less than significant. One of the principal goals of the proposed Strategic Plan is to enhance and improve the aesthetics and visual character of the Chicago-Linden neighborhood, which has struggled with substandard multi-family properties and deterioration of public infrastructure, including sidewalks and parkways. The project consists of a redevelopment effort to improve, amongst other things, the visual character of the Chicago-Linden neighborhood.</p> <p>The implementation programs of the Strategic Plan include a number of items designed to be compatible with the surrounding area. The use, size, and scale of proposed buildings are envisioned as consistent with existing development and intended as high-quality buildings that meet or exceed City and State housing standards. Improvements to fencing, lighting fixtures, hardscaping, facades, and decorative features are proposed with the intent of enhancing and strengthening neighborhood character. The project proposes aggressive Code Compliance and Building Code enforcement programs, as well as grant and loan programs aimed at enhancing the visual features of the neighborhood. The project includes a comprehensive set of design guidelines and recommendations that address property entries, fences/walls, landscaping, signage, trash enclosures, and other features of existing and proposed properties. Proposed designs will be subject to Design Review where applicable, and improvements will implement the goals and policies of the General Plan 2025 and Eastside Neighborhood Plan.</p>				
<p>d. Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>1d. Response:</p>				
<p>1d. Response: <i>(Source: General Plan 2025, General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.1-2 – Mount Palomar Lighting Area, Title 19 – Article VIII – Chapter 19.556 – Lighting, Citywide Design and Sign Guidelines, Eastside Neighborhood Plan)</i></p>				
<p>Less Than Significant Impact. The project area is urbanized and contains existing sources of light and glare from residential dwellings, streetlights, vehicular headlights, and lighted recreational facilities at Patterson Park. Buildout of the Strategic Plan will result in a net gain of 14 new residential units, a community center, and a parking lot in the project area, which will add new sources of light and glare to the area, generally associated with decorative landscape lighting, exterior security lighting, and automobile headlights. However, new buildings will be consistent in design with existing development, and no highly reflective exterior or roofing materials that constitute significant sources of localized glare are anticipated. Street lights will be consistent with the City’s Roadway Lighting systems.</p> <p>As specific designs are proposed, they will be subject to the Design Review process, and potential impacts related to light and glare will be evaluated on a project-by-project basis as necessary. Projects may be required to submit exterior lighting plans and comply with General Plan mitigation measure MM Aes 1, which requires light sources to have shielding devices and other light pollution limiting characteristics. Other conditions of approval may be required to assure compliance with the lighting requirements set forth in the Zoning Code and Citywide Design and Sign Guidelines.</p> <p>The project area is not located within the Mount Palomar Policy Area, and no new lighting is proposed under this project that will significantly impact nighttime views either directly, indirectly, or cumulatively.</p>				

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
2. AGRICULTURE AND FOREST RESOURCES:				
<p>In determining whether impacts to agricultural resources are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural Land Evaluation and Site Assessment Model (1997) prepared by the California Dept. of Conservation as an optional model to use in assessing impacts on agriculture and farmland. In determining whether impacts to forest resources, including timberland, are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to information compiled by the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection regarding the state's inventory of forest land, including the Forest and Range Assessment Project and the Forest Legacy Assessment project; and the forest carbon measurement methodology provided in the Forest Protocols adopted by the California Air Resources Board. Would the project:</p>				
<p>a. Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>2a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 – Figure OS-2 – Agricultural Suitability)</p>				
<p>No Impact. The Chicago-Linden neighborhood is located within an urbanized area of the City just north of University Avenue. A review of Figure OS-2 – Agricultural Suitability of the General Plan 2025 reveals that the proposed Strategic Plan area is not designated as, and is not adjacent to or in proximity to any land classified as Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance, as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency. Therefore, the project will have no impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively on agricultural uses.</p>				
<p>b. Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>2b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 – Figure OS-3 - Williamson Act Preserves)</p>				
<p>No Impact. A review of Figure OS-3 – Williamson Act Preserves of the General Plan 2025 reveals that the Strategic Plan area is not located within an area that is affected by a Williamson Act Preserve or under a Williamson Act Contract. Moreover, the project site is not zoned for agricultural use and is not next to land zoned for agricultural use; therefore, the project will have no impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively on zoning for agricultural use or Williamson Act contracts.</p>				
<p>c. Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined in Public Resources Code section 12220(g)) timberland (as defined in Public Resources Code section 4526), or timberland zoned Timberland Production (as defined by Government Code section 51104(g))?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>2c. Response:</p> <p>No Impact. The Chicago-Linden neighborhood is fully developed and is not located in or near forestlands. Therefore, no impacts will occur from this project directly, indirectly or cumulatively.</p>				

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
d. Result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>2d. Response:</p> <p>No Impact. As previously stated, the Chicago-Linden neighborhood is not located in, or near forestlands. The Strategic Plan area is a developed, urban environment and the redevelopment of properties, improvements to public right of ways and Patterson Park will not result in the conversion or loss of forestlands. Therefore no impacts will occur from this project directly, indirectly or cumulatively.</p>				
e. Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>2e. Response: (Source: General Plan – Figure OS-2 – Agricultural Suitability, Figure OS-3 – Williamson Act Preserves)</p> <p>No Impact. The Chicago-Linden neighborhood is fully developed, and is located in an urbanized area of the City. Additionally, the site is identified as urban/built out land and therefore does not support agricultural resources or operations. The project will not result in the conversion of designated farmland to non-agricultural uses. In addition, there are no agricultural resources or operations, including farmlands, within proximity of the subject site. The City of Riverside has no forestland that can support 10-percent native tree cover. Therefore, no impacts will occur from this project directly, indirectly or cumulatively to conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use or to the loss of forest land.</p>				
<p>3. AIR QUALITY.</p>				
<p>Where available, the significance criteria established by the applicable air quality management or air pollution control district may be relied upon to make the following determinations. Would the project:</p>				
a. Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>3a. Response: (Source: South Coast Air Quality Management District’s 2012 Air Quality Management Plan (AQMP))</p> <p>No Impact. The Air Quality Management Plan (AQMP) for the South Coast Air Basin (SCAB) sets forth a comprehensive program that will lead the SCAB into compliance with all Federal and State air quality standards. The City of Riverside is located within the Riverside County sub region of the Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG). The General Plan 2025 FPEIR determined that implementation of the General Plan 2025 would generally meet attainment forecasts and attainment of the standards of the AQMP. The General Plan 2025 contains policies to promote mixed use, pedestrian-friendly communities that serve to reduce air pollutant emissions over time.</p> <p>The Strategic Plan provides incentives and initiatives for the revitalization of the Chicago-Linden neighborhood, including the development, redevelopment, and remodeling of a number of existing multi-family units. Other improvements include redesigning Patterson Park, sidewalk and street rehabilitation, and enhancing the neighborhood’s transportation infrastructure. Each development, redevelopment and remodeling project must receive City approval prior to permit issuance. Projects and initiatives discussed in the proposed Strategic Plan are consistent with policies set forth in the General Plan 2025 and 2012 AQMP, which promote mixed use, pedestrian-friendly communities. Because the proposed Strategic Plan is consistent with policies of the 2012 AQMP, the proposed project will not conflict or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan and therefore this project will have no impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively to the implementation of an air quality plan.</p>				

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
b. Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
---	---------------------------------------	---	-------------------------------------	------------------

3b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Table 5.3-B SCAQMD CEQA Regional Significance Thresholds, South Coast Air Quality Management District's 2012 AQMP)

Less Than Significant Impact. As previously stated, the Chicago-Linden Strategic Plan is programmatic in nature and provides initiatives and incentives for the revitalization of the neighborhood. Implementation of the Strategic Plan will result in the replacement of existing units, and has the potential to add 14 units over a number of years. The construction of new units will have short term impacts on air quality, insofar as construction equipment will have air emissions. These impacts, however, will be temporary and periodic, especially since development and redevelopment of buildings will occur over a number of years, and not all at once.

Future projects proposed as a result of the Strategic Plan will be required to undergo planning-level review and approval prior to permit issuance. Based on the recommended projects in the Plan, and the total increase in units, anticipated at 14 over the life of the plan, an air quality impact analysis was completed, using the CalEEMod model, version 2011.1.1. As shown in Table 1, below, a 14 unit project would not exceed construction emission thresholds established by the SCAQMD.

**Table 1
Construction Emissions for the Chicago/Linden Strategic Plan
(lbs per day)**

	CO	NOx	ROG	SOx	PM10	PM2.5
Construction Emissions	24.20	37.17	22.17	0.04	7.37	4.37
SCAQMD Threshold	550.00	100.00	75.00	150.00	150.00	55.00
Exceeds Threshold?	No	No	No	No	No	No

Source: CalEEMod Version 2011.1.1. Value shown represents the average emissions from summer and winter, unmitigated. See Appendix A.

Assumes the redevelopment (demolition then new construction) of a 10,000 square foot, 14-unit low-rise apartment complex.

The model was also run to analyze the long term operational impacts of such a project. As shown in Table 2, the addition of 14 units will not exceed SCAQMD thresholds of significance.

**Table 2
Operational Emissions for the Chicago/Linden Strategic Plan
(lbs per day)**

	CO	NOx	ROG	SOx	PM10	PM2.5
Operation Emissions	10.96	1.40	2.45	0.02	1.75	0.81
SCAQMD Threshold	550.00	100.00	75.00	150.00	150.00	55.00
Exceeds Threshold?	No	No	No	No	No	No

Source: CalEEMod Version 2011.1.1. Value shown represents the average emissions from summer and winter. See Appendix A.

Assumes the operation of a 10,000 square foot, 14-unit low-rise apartment complex.

The analysis is also representative of any redevelopment project likely to occur in the neighborhood to implement the Strategic Plan. Since it is anticipated that projects will occur sequentially, and not concurrently, it can be expected that individual projects will similarly not exceed thresholds of significance established by SCAQMD for either construction or operation.

The Strategic Plan will have a less than significant direct, indirect and cumulative impact to ambient air quality.

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
c. Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>3c. Response: <i>(Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Table 5.3-B SCAQMD CEQA Regional Significance Thresholds, South Coast Air Quality Management District's 2012 Air Quality Management Plan)</i></p> <p>Less Than Significant Impact. Per the GP 2025 FPEIR, AQMP thresholds indicate future construction activities under the General Plan are projected to result in significant levels of NOx and ROG, both ozone precursors, PM-10, PM-2.5 and CO. Although long-term emissions are expected to decrease by 2025, all criteria pollutants remain above the SCAQMD thresholds.</p> <p>The portion of the Air Basin within which the City is located is designated as a non-attainment area for ozone, PM-10 and PM-2.5 under State standards, and as a non-attainment area for ozone, carbon monoxide, PM-10, and PM-2.5 under Federal standards. The redevelopment of properties will result in the construction of some new buildings over a period of years. As shown in Tables 1 and 2 above, impacts associated with air quality will be less than significant. Construction activities may have negligible impacts on criteria pollutants for short periods. However, once constructed, these buildings will be more efficient and will generate lower emissions than under current conditions, because of the improvements in construction techniques and materials in recent years. Further, the implementation of current Title 24 Building Code requirements will assure that buildings function more energy efficiently than the current, older buildings they will be replacing.</p> <p>At buildout of the Strategic Plan, it is therefore expected that overall emissions will be reduced, and that the implementation of the proposed project will have less than significant impacts relating to criteria pollutants.</p>				
d. Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact

3d. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Table 5.3-B SCAQMD CEQA Regional Significance Thresholds, South Coast Air Quality Management District's 2012 Air Quality Management Plan)

Less Than Significant Impact. The Chicago-Linden Neighborhood is currently developed and surrounded by residential and commercial uses; therefore, it is very likely that development projects set forth in the Strategic Plan will be located within proximity to a sensitive receptor. The implementation of the Strategic Plan will not, however, result in increases in traffic that would cause reductions in levels of service. There are no significantly impacted intersections in the neighborhood where concentrations of pollutants could occur. Localized significance thresholds were analyzed using the CalEEMod model, for a 14 unit project, typical of the projects to be redeveloped in the neighborhood, and consistent with the additional units likely to be added to the neighborhood over the life of the Plan. As shown in Table 3, none of these thresholds will be exceeded.

**Table 3
Localized Significance Thresholds
(lbs/day)**

	CO	NOx/NO2	PM10*	PM2.5*
Construction	24.20	37.17	2.37	1.13
Operation	10.96	1.40	1.75	0.81
LST	878	132	4	3
Exceed?	No	No	No	No

Emission Source: CalEEMod output Tables generated 11.11.13.

Source: Mass Rate Look-up Table, SCAQMD. Area Source Receptor 31 (East Riverside County) for a 1-acre project, sensitive receptor at 25 meters.

*PM10 and PM2.5 show the mitigated construction condition assuming that site watering occurs 3 days daily.

Therefore, impacts associated with substantial pollutant concentrations are not expected.

e. Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?

3e. Response:

Less Than Significant Impact. Neighborhood improvements and future developments to be implemented through the Strategic Plan are residential in nature and are not expected to create objectionable odors. However, construction activities associated with future projects may have the potential to generate airborne odors like diesel exhaust emissions, architectural coating applications, and paving activities (depending on individual projects). However, said emissions would occur only during daylight hours, be short-term in duration, and would be isolated to the immediate vicinity of the construction site. Therefore, they would not expose a substantial number of people to objectionable odors on a permanent basis. Therefore, the Strategic Plan will not cause objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people and a less than significant impact directly, indirectly and cumulatively will occur.

4. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES.

Would the project:

a. Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?

4a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 – Figure OS-6 – Stephen's Kangaroo Rat (SKR) Core Reserve and Other

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
<p><i>Habitat Conservation Plans (HCP), Figure OS-7 – MSHCP Cores and Linkages, Figure OS-8 – MSHCP Cell Areas, General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.4-2 – MSHCP Area Plans, Figure 5.4-4 - MSHCP Criteria Cells and Subunit Areas, Figure 5.4-6 – MSHCP Narrow Endemic Plant Species Survey Area, Figure 5.4-7 – MSHCP Criteria Area Species Survey Area, Figure 5.4-8 – MSHCP Burrowing Owl Survey Area)</i></p> <p>No Impact. The subject area of the Strategic Plan has been previously developed and is located within an urban environment surrounded by residential and commercial uses. A review of the 2025 General Plan and 2025 General Plan FPEIR shows that future developments proposed as part of the Strategic Plan will have no impact directly, indirectly and cumulatively on habitat modifications, species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, and policies or regulations of the California Department of Fish and Wildlife or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.</p>				
<p>b. Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>4b. Response: <i>(Source: General Plan 2025 – Figure OS-6 – Stephen’s Kangaroo Rat (SKR) Core Reserve and Other Habitat Conservation Plans (HCP), Figure OS-7 – MSHCP Cores and Linkages, Figure OS-8 – MSHCP Cell Areas, General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.4-2 – MSHCP Area Plans, Figure 5.4-4 - MSHCP Criteria Cells and Subunit Areas, Figure 5.4-6 – MSHCP Narrow Endemic Plant Species Survey Area, Figure 5.4-7 – MSHCP Criteria Area Species Survey Area, Figure 5.4-8 – MSHCP Burrowing Owl Survey Area, MSHCP Section 6.1.2 - Protection of Species Associated with Riparian/Riverine Areas and Vernal Pools)</i></p> <p>No Impact. The planning area will affect a fully developed neighborhood within an urbanized area where no riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community exists. There is no riparian habitat or other natural community adjacent to the neighborhood. Therefore, the project will have no impact on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service directly, indirectly and cumulatively.</p>				
<p>c. Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>4c. Response:</p> <p>No Impact. The Chicago-Linden neighborhood is located in an urban environment where no federally protected wetlands, as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) exist. The project area does not contain any drainage courses, inundated areas, wetland vegetation, or hydric soils and thus does not include USACOE jurisdictional drainages or wetlands. Therefore, the proposed project would have no impact on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act directly, indirectly and cumulatively.</p>				
<p>d. Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>4d. Response: <i>(Source: MSHCP, General Plan 2025 –Figure OS-7 – MSHCP Cores and Linkage)</i></p> <p>No Impact. The project is fully developed and lies within an urbanized area. There is no existing wildlife corridor of resident migratory species within the project area. Implementation of the Strategic Plan will not result in a barrier to the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory</p>				

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites. Therefore, the project will have no impact to wildlife movement directly, indirectly and cumulatively.				
e. Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>4e. Response: <i>(Source: MSHCP, Title 16 Section 16.72.040 – Establishing the Western Riverside County MSHCP Mitigation Fee, Title 16 Section 16.40.040 – Establishing a Threatened and Endangered Species Fees, City of Riverside Urban Forest Tree Policy Manual)</i></p> <p>Less Than Significant Impact. Implementation of the proposed Strategic Plan is subject to all applicable Federal, State, and local policies and regulations related to the protection of biological resources and tree preservation. Any project within the City of Riverside’s boundaries that proposes planting a street tree within a City right-of-way and/or recreational facility must follow the Urban Forest Tree Policy Manual. The Manual documents guidelines for the planting, pruning, preservation, and removal of all trees in City rights-of-way and/or recreational facilities. Any future project will be required to be in compliance with the Tree Policy Manual, and therefore, impacts will be less than significant.</p>				
f. Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>4f. Response: <i>(Source: MSHCP, General Plan 2025 – Figure OS-6 – Stephen’s Kangaroo Rat (SKR) Core Reserve and Other Habitat Conservation Plans (HCP), Stephens’ Kangaroo Rat Habitat Conservation Plan, Lake Mathews Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan and Natural Community Conservation Plan, and El Sobrante Landfill Habitat Conservation Plan)</i></p> <p>No Impact. The Chicago-Linden neighborhood is an urbanized area and does not contain any designated conservation lands. The neighborhood is not identified as being within, and therefore will not impact, an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or State habitat conservation plan directly, indirectly and cumulatively. No conservation lands occur in the vicinity of the project area. Therefore, the Strategic Plan will have no impact on the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or State habitat conservation plan.</p>				
<p>5. CULTURAL RESOURCES. Would the project:</p>				
a. Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in § 15064.5 of the CEQA Guidelines?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>5a. Response: <i>(Source: GP 2025 FPEIR Table 5.5-A Historical Districts and Neighborhood Conservation Areas and Appendix D, Title 20 of the Riverside Municipal Code, Eastside Neighborhood Plan, and “Cultural Resources Survey for the Patterson Park Neighborhood Strategic Plan” prepared by JM Research & Consulting in July 2013)</i></p> <p>Less Than Significant Impact. The Strategic Plan project area is not located within a designated historic district or neighborhood, and no cultural resources have been recorded within it. The historic resources survey prepared for the project identified 6 properties as potentially eligible for local individual designation based upon architectural distinction and ability to portray important patterns of development; the survey recommended that these properties undergo further study prior to any alteration. The proposed Plan does not propose any physical demolition, destruction, relocation, or alteration of these buildings or the properties on which they are located.</p>				

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
<p>The Strategic Plan includes design guidelines pertaining to facades, lighting, fencing, and other features. None of the properties identified for potential redevelopment were identified as having historic potential. Therefore, none of the proposed redevelopment projects within the neighborhood will impact historic or potentially historic structures.</p> <p>As regards the 6 sites identified in the historic property survey, impacts on these potentially historic buildings will be considered if the property owner were to request alteration to the building, as their potentially significant status will be added to the City's database of information. The City's standard requirements for alteration of these structures would be applied to such a request, and would require that the alterations be carefully considered for appropriateness. The Cultural Resources study for the proposed project indicates that focused CEQA analysis may be necessary to evaluate potential impacts to the 6 potentially historic properties if a future development project were proposed. As a result of the City's standard requirements, and inclusion of the potentially significant properties in the City's database, impacts to historic resources will be less than significant directly, indirectly or cumulatively.</p>				
<p>b. Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archeological resource pursuant to § 15064.5 of the CEQA Guidelines?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>5b. Response: (Source: GP 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.5-1 - Archaeological Sensitivity and Figure 5.5-2 - Prehistoric Cultural Resources Sensitivity, Appendix D – Cultural Resources Study and “Cultural Resources Survey for the Patterson Park Neighborhood Strategic Plan” prepared by JM Research & Consulting in July 2013)</p>				
<p>No Impact. All of the project area has been previously developed and disturbed numerous times in association with construction of homes, roads, and park facilities. Only two (2) small parcels are vacant and currently undeveloped, although both have had development on them in the past. A Sacred Lands File search conducted by the Native American Heritage Commission on November 26, 2012 failed to indicate the presence of any Native American traditional cultural places or landscapes in the Strategic Plan project area. Fifteen (15) Native American tribes that have tribal cultural affiliations in the project area were contacted for consultation on the proposed project by David Brunzell, principal investigator and archaeologist at BCR Consulting, on November 30, 2012. No archaeological resources were identified, and none are known to occur within the project area. There will therefore be no direct, indirect or cumulative impact on archaeological resources as a result of implementation of the proposed project.</p>				
<p>c. Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>5c. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Policy HP-1.3, “Cultural Resources Survey for the Patterson Park Neighborhood Strategic Plan” prepared by JM Research & Consulting in July 2013)</p>				
<p>No Impact. The General Plan reports that areas south of Mockingbird Canyon Reservoir are the only areas in the General Plan planning area considered to have paleontological importance. The proposed Strategic Plan area is more than 3 miles north of this area, and it is not known to contain paleontological resources or unique geologic features. The Cultural Resources Survey prepared for the proposed project does not indicate the presence of paleontological features in the project area. The project will have no impact directly or indirectly to a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature.</p>				
<p>d. Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>5d. Response: (Source: GP 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.5-1 - Archaeological Sensitivity and Figure 5.5-2 - Prehistoric Cultural Resources Sensitivity, “Cultural Resources Survey for the Patterson Park Neighborhood Strategic Plan” prepared by JM Research & Consulting in July 2013)</p>				
<p>No Impact. The project-specific Cultural Resources Survey included efforts to consult with Native American tribes that have cultural affiliations in the project area, as well as contact with the Native American Heritage Commission regarding the presence of cultural resources in the project area. These efforts failed to indicate the presence of cultural resources in</p>				

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
<p>the project area. The area contains no formal cemeteries and has been heavily disturbed by previous development of homes and roads. Disturbance of any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries, is not anticipated.</p> <p>The Strategic Plan proposes the redevelopment of several properties, and ground-disturbing activities always have the potential to reveal buried deposits, including human remains. In the event that Native American human remains are inadvertently discovered during project-related construction activities, there would be unavoidable significant adverse impacts to Native American resources. Implementation of Mitigation Measures Cultural 1 through 4 of the General Plan 2025 would reduce impacts to human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries to a less than significant level. These mitigation measures would apply to any such occurrence in the Strategic Plan area.</p>				
<p>6. GEOLOGY AND SOILS. Would the project:</p>				
<p>a. Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving:</p>				
<p>i. Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42.</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>6i. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-1 – Regional Fault Zones & General Plan 2025 FPEIR Appendix E – Geotechnical Report)</p> <p>No Impact. Seismic activity is to be expected in Southern California; however, there are no Alquist-Priolo hazard zones in the City of Riverside. The Strategic Plan area does not contain any known fault lines and the potential for fault rupture or seismic shaking is low. The City will require compliance with the California Building Code regulations enacted at the time that each redevelopment project is proposed within the Plan area. This will ensure that no impacts related to ground rupture will occur directly, indirectly and cumulatively.</p>				
<p>ii. Strong seismic ground shaking?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>6ii. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Appendix E – Geotechnical Report)</p> <p>Less Than Significant Impact. The nearest fault zone is the San Jacinto Fault Zone, located approximately 8-10 miles east of the Chicago-Linden neighborhood, in the northeastern portion of the City. The San Jacinto Fault Zone has the potential to cause moderate to large earthquakes that would cause intense ground shaking. Future projects under the Strategic Plan will be required to comply with the California Building Code regulations enacted at the time they are proposed, to ensure that impacts related to strong seismic ground shaking are reduced to less than significant levels directly, indirectly and cumulatively.</p>				
<p>iii. Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>6iii. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-1 – Regional Fault Zones, Figure PS-2 – Liquefaction Zones, General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure PS-3 – Soils with High Shrink-Swell Potential, and Appendix E – Geotechnical Report)</p> <p>Less Than Significant Impact. The project site is located in an area with low potential for liquefaction as depicted in the GP 2025 Liquefaction Zones Map – Figure PS-2. A geotechnical study may be required of future projects at the time building plans are submitted, to determine the soil properties and specific potential for liquefaction for each proposed development. Future projects under the Strategic Plan will be required to comply with the California Building Code</p>				

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
regulations enacted at that time, to ensure that impacts related to seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction, are reduced to less than significant levels directly, indirectly and cumulatively.				
iv. Landslides?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>6iv. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.6-1 – Areas Underlain by Steep Slope, Appendix E – Geotechnical Report, Title 18 – Subdivision Code, Title 17 – Grading Code)</p> <p>No Impact. The Chicago-Linden neighborhood and its surroundings have generally flat topography and are not located in an area prone to landslides per Figure 5.6-1 of the General Plan 2025 Program Final PEIR. Therefore, there will be no impact related to landslides directly, indirectly and cumulatively.</p>				
b. Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>6b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.6-1 – Areas Underlain by Steep Slope, Figure 5.6-4 – Soils, Table 5.6-B – Soil Types, Title 18 – Subdivision Code, Title 17 – Grading Code, and for projects over 1 acre: SWPPP)</p> <p>Less Than Significant Impact. The Strategic Plan in and of itself will not result in construction, grading, or other soil disturbing activities. However, future projects will be required to undergo planning-level review and approval prior to permit issuance because erosion and loss of topsoil may occur. State and Federal requirements call for the preparation and implementation of a Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) establishing erosion and sediment controls for construction activities for projects over one acre. Future projects must also comply with the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) regulations. In addition, with the erosion control standards for which all development activity must comply (Title 18), the Grading Code (Title 17) also requires the implementation of measures designed to minimize soil erosion. Compliance with State and Federal requirements as well as with Titles 18 and 17 will ensure that soil erosion or loss of topsoil will be a less than significant impact directly, indirectly and cumulatively.</p>				
c. Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>6c. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-1 – Regional Fault Zones, Figure PS-2 – Liquefaction Zones, General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure PS-3 – Soils with High Shrink-Swell Potential, Figure 5.6-1 - Areas Underlain by Steep Slope, Figure 5.6-4 – Soils, Table 5.6-B – Soil Types, and Appendix E – Geotechnical Report)</p> <p>Less Than Significant Impact. The Chicago-Linden neighborhood is currently developed with a generally flat topography. All future projects proposed as a result of the Plan will be required to undergo planning-level review and approval prior to permit issuance, including analysis of site-specific soil conditions prior to excavation and foundation design. Compliance with the City’s existing codes and the policies contained in the General Plan 2025 help to ensure that impacts related to geologic conditions are reduced to less than significant levels directly, indirectly and cumulatively.</p>				
d. Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial risks to life or property?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>6d. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.6-4 – Soils, Figure 5.6-4 – Soils, Table 5.6-B – Soil Types, Figure 5.6-5 – Soils with High Shrink-Swell Potential, Appendix E – Geotechnical Report, and California Building Code as adopted by the City of Riverside and set out in Title 16 of the Riverside Municipal Code)</p> <p>No Impact. The majority of projects discussed in the Strategic Plan consist of the redevelopment or remodeling of existing structures on previously developed sites. Future projects may be required to conduct a site specific soils analyses prior to issuance of building permits, to ensure that structures are not placed on expansive soils. With the implementation of these</p>				

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
standard City requirements, the Strategic Plan will have no impact resulting in substantial risks to life or property due to expansive soils directly, indirectly or cumulatively.				
e. Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
6e. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.6-4 – Soils, Table 5.6-B – Soil Types)				
<p>No Impact. The Chicago-Linden neighborhood is currently served by sewer infrastructure which has capacity to accommodate the 14 additional units anticipated at buildout of the Strategic Plan. No septic systems would be constructed as a result of the implementation of the Strategic Plan. Therefore, there will be no direct, indirect or cumulative impact associated with septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal.</p>				

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact															
7. GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS. Would the project:																			
a. Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>															
<p>7a. Response:</p> <p>Less Than Significant Impact. State legislation aims for the reduction of greenhouse gases to 1990 levels by 2020; however there are currently (October 2013) no thresholds of significance for greenhouse gases. The Strategic Plan is programmatic in nature and will not result in a net increase of greenhouse gases. In order to gage impacts, however, an analysis of construction-related and operational GHG emissions was conducted for a prototypical 14 unit project. Such a project is typical of the redevelopment projects to be implemented in the Strategic Plan, and consistent with the total additional units to be constructed in addition to those that exist in the neighborhood. As shown in Tables 4 and 5 below, the implementation of the proposed project is likely to generate 307.7 metric tons per year, during the construction period; and 205.2 metric tons of GHGs during the operation of the project, in addition to the GHGs currently being generated by existing buildings in the neighborhood.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Table 4 GHG Emissions from Construction and Operation of the Chicago/Linden Strategic Plan (metric tons per year)</p> <table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>CO2</th> <th>CH4</th> <th>N2O</th> <th>CO2e</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Construction Activities</td> <td>306.83</td> <td>0.04</td> <td>0.00</td> <td>307.69</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Operational Activities¹</td> <td>202.28</td> <td>0.12</td> <td>0.00</td> <td>205.21</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Source: CalEEMod Version 2011.1.1. Values shown represent the total GHG emission projections for construction of a 10,000sf, 14-unit low-rise apartment complex.</p> <p>1. Operation GHG emissions include area, energy, mobile, waste, and water source emissions.</p> <p>Future projects will be required to comply with the City’s General Plan policies, statewide Title 24 Building Code requirements designed to reduce GHG emissions, and City GHG reduction programs. Further, the existing buildings within the neighborhood are older, and not energy efficient. The improvement projects proposed in the Strategic Plan will improve energy efficiency in these buildings, resulting in a beneficial impact relating to greenhouse gas emissions.</p> <p>Implementation of the proposed project will therefore have a less than significant impact with respect to GHG emissions.</p>						CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e	Construction Activities	306.83	0.04	0.00	307.69	Operational Activities ¹	202.28	0.12	0.00	205.21
	CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e															
Construction Activities	306.83	0.04	0.00	307.69															
Operational Activities ¹	202.28	0.12	0.00	205.21															
b. Conflict with any applicable plan, policy or regulation of an agency adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>															
<p>7b. Response:</p> <p>No Impact. The SCAQMD supports State, Federal and international policies to reduce levels of ozone depleting gases through its Global Warming Policy and other rules it implements, and has established an interim Greenhouse Gas (GHG) threshold. As indicated in Question A, above, future projects would comply with the City’s General Plan policies, State Building Code provisions designed to reduce GHG emissions, and the City’s GHG reduction programs. In addition, future projects would need to comply with applicable SCAQMD rules and regulations during construction, and will not interfere with the State’s GHG reduction goals. Future projects as discussed in the Strategic Plan are not anticipated to conflict with any applicable plan, policy or regulation related to the reduction in the emissions of GHG and thus no impact will occur directly, indirectly or cumulatively in this regard.</p>																			

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
8. HAZARDS & HAZARDOUS MATERIALS. Would the project:				
a. Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>8a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Public Safety Element, GP 2025 FPEIR, California Health and Safety Code, Title 49 of the Code of Federal Regulations, California Building Code, Riverside Fire Department EOP, 2002 and Riverside Operational Area – Multi-Jurisdictional LHMP, 2004 Part 1, OEM’s Strategic Plan)</p> <p>Less Than Significant Impact. The Strategic Plan will not, in and of itself, result in the transportation, use, or disposal of hazardous materials. The projects to be implemented through the Strategic Plan are residential developments and are not expected to result in a substantial increase in the use, generation or disposal of hazardous materials. There are no land uses planned for the Chicago-Linden neighborhood that might generate substantial volumes of industrial hazardous materials wastes. An increase in the residential population may lead to an associated marginal increase in the use of household cleaners, batteries, fluorescent tubes, mercury-containing devices, landscaping and site maintenance materials, and electronics. These materials would be stored in the Strategic Plan area in small quantities, and therefore would not pose a significant threat to the public. The nature of the proposed projects, therefore, will assure that buildout of the Strategic Plan will have a less than significant impact directly, indirectly and cumulatively.</p>				
b. Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>8b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Public Safety Element, GP 2025 FPEIR Tables 5.7 A – D, California Health and Safety Code, Title 49 of the Code of Federal Regulations, California Building Code, City of Riverside’s EOP, 2002 and Riverside Operational Area – Multi-Jurisdictional LHMP, 2004 Part 1, OEM’s Strategic Plan)</p> <p>No Impact. The Strategic Plan will not, in and of itself, result in any hazard related to upset or accident or release of hazardous materials into the environment. Future developments may result in the use and storage of non-industrial waste in small quantities, as is typical in all households. However, given the nature of the developments, release of hazardous materials into the environment is considered unlikely. The redevelopment of residential units will result in no impact relating to the upset or accident, directly, indirectly and cumulatively.</p>				
c. Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>8c. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Public Safety and Education Elements, GP 2025 FPEIR Table 5.7-D - CalARP RMP Facilities in the Project Area, Figure 5.13-2 – RUSD Boundaries, Table 5.13-D RUSD Schools, Figure 5.13-3 AUSD Boundaries, Table 5.13-E AUSD Schools, Figure 5.13-4 – Other School District Boundaries, California Health and Safety Code, Title 49 of the Code of Federal Regulations, California Building Code)</p> <p>No Impact. The Chicago-Linden neighborhood is located one-quarter mile southwest of John W. North High School, and one-quarter mile east of Longfellow Elementary School. The Strategic Plan will not, in and of itself, result in the emission or handling of hazardous materials. All projects proposed within the Chicago-Linden neighborhood as result of the Strategic Plan will include residential developments/improvements that may result in the use and transport of non-industrial waste such as household cleaning products and electronics. Such residential development will not emit or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, thereby resulting in no impact directly, indirectly and cumulatively.</p>				
d. Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?				
<p>8d. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-5 – Hazardous Waste Sites, GP 2025 FPEIR Tables 5.7-A – CERCLIS Facility Information, Figure 5.7-B – Regulated Facilities in TRI Information and 5.7-C – DTSC EnviroStor Database Listed Sites)</p>				
<p>No Impact. A review of hazardous materials site lists compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 found that there are no such sites located within or immediately adjacent to the Chicago-Linden neighborhood. Therefore, the project would have no impact relating to creating any significant hazard to the public or environment directly, indirectly or cumulatively.</p>				
e. For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>8e. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-6 – Airport Safety Zones and Influence Areas)</p>				
<p>No Impact. The Strategic Plan area is not located within any airport land use plan area or compatibility zone. Therefore, the project will have no impact resulting in a safety hazard for people residing or working within an airport land use plan or within two miles of an airport.</p>				
f. For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>8f. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-6 – Airport Safety Zones and Influence Areas)</p>				
<p>No Impact. Because the proposed Strategic Plan area is not located within proximity of a private airstrip, and does not propose a private airstrip, future projects will not expose people residing or working in the City to excessive noise levels related to a private airstrip and would have no impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively.</p>				
g. Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>8g. Response: (Source: GP 2025 FPEIR Chapter 7.5.7 – Hazards and Hazardous Materials, City of Riverside’s EOP, 2002 and Riverside Operational Area – Multi-Jurisdictional LHMP, 2004 Part 1, and OEM’s Strategic Plan)</p>				
<p>Less Than Significant Impact. Future projects within the Strategic Plan area will be served by existing major roadways, such as University Avenue and Chicago Avenue, as well as a network of on-site local streets. All streets have been designed to meet the Public Works and Fire Departments’ specifications. Depending on the project, a temporary street closing may be necessary during construction. Any street closing will be of short duration so as not to interfere or impede with any emergency response or evacuation plan. Therefore, future projects are anticipated to have a less than significant impact directly, indirectly and cumulatively to an emergency response or evacuation plan.</p>				
h. Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
<p>8h. Response: <i>(Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-7 – Fire Hazard Areas, GIS Map Layer VHFSZ 2010, City of Riverside’s EOP, 2002, Riverside Operational Area – Multi-Jurisdictional LHMP, 2004 Part 1/Part 2 and OEM’s Strategic Plan)</i></p> <p>No Impact. The Chicago-Linden neighborhood is located in an urbanized area where no wildlands exist and the property is not located within a Very High Fire Severity Zone (VHFSZ) or adjacent to wildland areas or a VHFSZ; therefore no impact regarding wildland fires will occur either directly, indirectly or cumulatively from implementation of this project.</p>				
<p>9. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY.</p>				
<p>Would the project:</p>				
<p>a. Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>9a. Response: <i>(Source: GP 2025 FPEIR)</i></p>				
<p>Less Than Significant Impact. The Chicago-Linden neighborhood is located in the Santa Ana River Watershed (see GP 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.8-1). The proposed plan is programmatic in nature and will not result in direct impacts to water quality or waste discharge requirements. However, the Strategic Plan sets forth strategies for neighborhood revitalization that include various development, redevelopment and remodeling projects. As specific projects are proposed, the applicant may be required to submit a WQMP to be approved by the Public Works Department. During construction, storm water management measures will be required to effectively control erosion and sedimentation and other construction-related pollutants during construction. Urban runoff is currently and will continue to be conveyed by local drainage facilities developed throughout the City to regional drainage facilities, and then ultimately to the receiving waters. Individual projects will be required to comply with all applicable local, state, and federal laws regulating surface water quality, thus resulting in a less than significant impact to water quality standards or waste discharge.</p>				
<p>b. Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>9b. Response: <i>(Source: General Plan 2025 Table PF-1 – RPU Projected Domestic Water Supply (AC-FT/YR), Table PF-2 – RPU Projected Water Demand, RPU Map of Water Supply Basins, RPU Urban Water Management Plan)</i></p>				
<p>No Impact. The proposed project is located within the Riverside South Water Supply Basin. The proposed Strategic Plan sets forth community revitalization strategies including housing development, redevelopment, and remodeling in a pre-existing neighborhood. These projects will include projects that will make the residential units more efficient than currently is the case, and likely to reduce the water demand in the neighborhood overall.</p>				
<p>All future projects and construction proposed as a result of the Strategic Plan will be required to undergo Planning-level review and approval prior to permit issuance. Future projects will be required to connect to the City’s sewer system and comply with all NPDES and WQMP requirements that will ensure the proposed project will not substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level. Therefore, there will be no impact to groundwater supplies and recharge either directly, indirectly or cumulatively.</p>				
<p>c. Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site?				
<p>9c. Response:</p> <p>Less Than Significant Impact. The Chicago-Linden neighborhood is currently developed with extensive impervious surfaces, with the exception of landscaped areas and the recreational fields of Patterson Park. There are no streams or rivers in or adjacent to the project area. The drainage patterns in the neighborhood are well established, and redevelopment projects are unlikely to change those patterns. The Strategic Plan will not directly result in the development of structures or construction activities; however, the Plan provides strategies for the revitalization of the proposed neighborhood, including housing redevelopment, development and remodeling actions.</p> <p>All future projects proposed as result of the Strategic Plan will be subject to NPDES requirements. Projects with areas of one acre or more of disturbance will be required to prepare and implement a Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) for the prevention of polluted runoff during construction and operation. Erosion, siltation and other possible pollutants associated with long-term implementation of future projects will be addressed as part of the Water Quality Management Plan (WQMP) and grading permit process. Therefore, with implementation of the City’s standard requirements, the project will have a less than significant impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively to existing drainage patterns.</p>				
d. Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off-site?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>9d. Response:</p> <p>Less Than Significant Impact. The Strategic Plan area is not located within a 100-year or 500-year flood plain. There are no streams or rivers in or adjacent to the project area. The drainage patterns in the neighborhood are well established, and redevelopment projects are unlikely to change those patterns. The Strategic Plan will not directly result in the development of structures or construction activities; however, the Plan provides strategies for the revitalization of the proposed neighborhood, including housing redevelopment, development and remodeling actions.</p> <p>All future projects proposed as result of the Strategic Plan will be subject to NPDES requirements. Projects with areas of one acre or more of disturbance will be required to prepare and implement a Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) for the prevention of polluted runoff during construction and operation. Erosion, siltation and other possible pollutants associated with long-term implementation of future projects will be addressed as part of the Water Quality Management Plan (WQMP) and grading permit process. Therefore, with implementation of the City’s standard requirements, the project will have a less than significant impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively to existing drainage patterns.</p>				
e. Create or contribute runoff water, which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>9e. Response:</p> <p>Less Than Significant Impact. As previously mentioned, the Strategic Plan is programmatic in nature and will not, in and of itself, directly or indirectly result in any activity or physical alteration of the site or surrounding area, (i.e. through grading, ground disturbance, structures or additional paving) that would create or contribute runoff water which would substantially degrade water quality.</p>				

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
<p>All future projects proposed as result of the Strategic Plan will be subject to NPDES requirements. Projects with areas of one acre or more of disturbance will be required to prepare and implement a Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) for the prevention of runoff during construction and operations. Erosion, siltation and other possible pollutants associated with long-term implementation of future projects will be addressed as part of the Water Quality Management Plan (WQMP) and grading permit process. Therefore, the project will have a less than significant impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively to existing drainage patterns.</p>				
f. Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>9f. Response:</p> <p>No Impact. The Strategic Plan will not directly or indirectly result in any activity or physical alteration of the site or surrounding area, (i.e. through grading, ground disturbance, structures or additional paving) that would create or contribute runoff water which would substantially degrade water quality. All future projects and construction proposed as a result of the Strategic Plan will be required to undergo Planning-level review and approval prior to permit issuance. Therefore, the Strategic Plan, in and of itself, will not degrade water quality and there will be no impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively.</p>				
g. Place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>9g. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-4 – Flood Hazard Areas, and FEMA Flood Hazard Maps (Map Number 06065C0726G: Effective Date August 28, 2008))</p> <p>No Impact. A review of National Flood Insurance Rate Map (Map Number 06065C0726G: Effective Date August 28, 2008) and Figure 5.8-2 – Flood Hazard Areas of the General Plan Program FPEIR, shows that the project is not located within or near a 100-year flood hazard area. There will be no impact caused by this project directly, indirectly or cumulatively as it will not place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area.</p>				
h. Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>9h. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-4 – Flood Hazard Areas, and FEMA Flood Hazard Maps (Map Number 06065C0726G: Effective Date August 28, 2008))</p> <p>No Impact. The project site is not located within or near a 100-year flood hazard area as depicted on General Plan 2025 Program FPEIR Figure 5.8-2 – Flood Hazard Areas and the National Flood Insurance Rate Map (Map Number 06065C0726G Effective Date August 28, 2008). Therefore, the project will not place a structure within a 100-year flood hazard area that would impede or redirect flood flows and no impact will occur directly, indirectly or cumulatively.</p>				
i. Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>9i. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-4 – Flood Hazard Areas, and FEMA Flood Hazard Maps (Map Number 06065C0726G: Effective Date August 28, 2008))</p> <p>No Impact. The project site is not located within or near a flood hazard area as depicted on General Plan 2025 Program FPEIR Figure 5.8-2 – Flood Hazard Areas and the National Flood Insurance Rate Map (Map Number 06065C0726G Effective Date August 28, 2008). Therefore, future projects to be implemented through the Strategic Plan will not place structures within a flood hazard or dam inundation area that would expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam and therefore no impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively will occur.</p>				

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
j. Inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>9j. Response: <i>(Source: GP 2025 Public Safety Element and Figure PS-4: Flood Hazard Areas)</i></p> <p>No Impact. The City is not located in a coastal area and will not be impacted by tsunamis. Additionally, the proposed Strategic Plan area has a generally flat topography and is located in an urbanized area not within proximity to Lake Mathews, Lake Evans, the Santa Ana River, Lake Hills, Norco Hills, Box Springs Mountain Area or any of the 9 arroyos which transverse the City and its sphere of influence. Therefore, no impact potential for seiche or mudflow exists either directly, indirectly or cumulatively.</p>				
<p>10. LAND USE AND PLANNING:</p>				
<p>Would the project:</p>				
a. Physically divide an established community?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>10a. Response: <i>(Source: General Plan 2025 Land Use and Urban Design Element, City of Riverside GIS/CADME map layers, Eastside Neighborhood Plan)</i></p> <p>Less Than Significant Impact. The project will not physically divide an established community, but seeks to do the opposite. A principal component of the proposed Strategic Plan is enhancing neighborhood connectivity, particularly access to Patterson Park, and removing existing barriers within the neighborhood. This is to be accomplished by improving the safety and accessibility of alleys, removing or reducing the height of existing fencing, and eliminating cul-de-sacs on Loma Vista Street and Lou Ella Lane that create a barrier to traffic flow.</p> <p>The Strategic Plan is designed to be consistent with existing land use patterns of the surrounding area, and to provide adequate access, circulation, and connectivity consistent with the General Plan 2025, Zoning Code, and Eastside Neighborhood Plan. The Plan proposes the remodeling and redevelopment of residential dwelling units within an established residential neighborhood that is served by existing infrastructure and roadways. It also proposes the construction of a new community center to support the social needs of the community, and a parking lot to optimize off-street parking.</p> <p>The Plan further is intended to improve the quality of life of the residents of the neighborhood, through the redevelopment of existing housing, new construction, and improvements to Patterson Park. The policies and programs of the Strategic Plan are geared to the unification of the community.</p> <p>Impacts related to the existing community are considered less than significant.</p>				
b. Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to the general plan, Strategic Plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>10b. Response: <i>(Source: General Plan 2025, General Plan 2025 Figure LU-10 – Land Use Policy Map, Table LU-5 – Zoning/General Plan Consistency Matrix, Figure LU-7 – Redevelopment Areas, GP FPEIR Figure 5.9-8 – Airport Safety and Compatibility Zones, Title 19 – Zoning Code, Title 7 – Noise Code, Title 17 – Grading Code, Title 20 – Cultural Resources Code, Title 16 – Buildings and Construction and Citywide Design and Sign Guidelines, Eastside Neighborhood Plan)</i></p> <p>Less Than Significant Impact. Development proposed by the Strategic Plan is consistent with General Plan 2025 and zoning land use designations, as well as land use policies of the Eastside Neighborhood Plan. The Plan proposes</p>				

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
<p>neighborhood-specific design guidelines, development standards and recommendations for improvements, such as driveways, fences, walls, and lighting fixtures.</p> <p>To assure that future projects in the planning area adhere to the zoning standards and design guidelines set forth in the Strategic Plan, a special review process is proposed. New construction, reconstruction, relocation totaling more than 50% of the existing floor area, and exterior remodeling or construction of an existing building which requires a building permit will require approval of a Chicago-Linden Neighborhood Design Review permit. The Community Development Director or his/her designee will be responsible for approval, approval with conditions, or denial of Design Review permits. This procedure, in addition to standard project review and permitting, will assure that land use impacts from future projects in the subject neighborhood are mitigated to less than significant levels. Should any future development proposal be inconsistent with the provisions of the City of Riverside Zoning Code (Title 19), an administrative variance may be necessary.</p> <p>The project area is outside the boundaries of the 3 airports covered by the Riverside County Airport Land Compatibility Plan, and therefore will not conflict with these plans.</p>				
<p>c. Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>10c. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025, GP FPEIR Figure 5.4-3 – Stephens’ Kangaroo Rat Core Reserves & Other HCPs, GP FPEIR Figure 5.4-4 – MSHCP Criteria Cells & Subunit Areas, GP FPEIR Figure 5.4-5 – MSHCP Cores & Linkages)</p> <p>No Impact. The proposed project will have no impact on habitat conservation plans or natural community conservation plans. The project area is located in a long-standing urbanized portion of the City. It is not located within any core reserve, criteria cell, linkage, or subunit areas of the MSHCP, Stephens’ Kangaroo Rat HCP, or Lake Mathews MSHCP & NCCP.</p>				
<p>11. MINERAL RESOURCES.</p>				
<p>Would the project:</p>				
<p>a. Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>11a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure – OS-1 – Mineral Resources)</p> <p>No Impact. The proposed project area is limited in size and is located within an established urban core where extensive development has occurred. Future development and/or redevelopment within the project area will not involve extraction of mineral resources and will be limited to grading activities related to residential development.</p> <p>According to the 2025 General Plan, the project is located in a State-classified MRZ-3 Mineral Resources Zone indicating that the area contains known or inferred mineral occurrences of undetermined mineral resource significance (Figure OS-1 – Mineral Resources). The project site is not, nor is it adjacent to, a locally important mineral resource recovery site delineated in the General Plan 2025. Therefore, the project will have no impact on mineral resources directly, indirectly or cumulatively.</p>				
<p>b. Result in the loss of availability of a locally-important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, Strategic Plan or other land use plan?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>11b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure – OS-1 – Mineral Resources)</p> <p>No Impact. As previously stated, the project site is not, nor is it adjacent to, a locally important mineral resource recovery</p>				

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
<p>site delineated in the General Plan 2025 (see 11a. Response). Further, the project site is fully developed, and has been designated for urban land uses for decades. No mineral extraction has occurred in the neighborhood or in areas adjacent to the neighborhood. Therefore, there will be no impact associated with the loss of important mineral resources.</p>				
<p>12. NOISE.</p>				
<p>Would the project result in:</p>				
<p>a. Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>12a. Response: (Source: General Plan Figure N-1 – 2003 Roadway Noise, Figure N-2 – 2003 Freeway Noise, Figure N-3 – 2003 Railway Noise, Figure N-5 – 2025 Roadway Noise, Figure N-6 – 2025 Freeway Noise, Figure N-7 – 2025 Railroad Noise, Figure N-10 – Noise/Land Use Noise Compatibility Criteria, FPEIR Table 5.11-I – Existing and Future Noise Contour Comparison, Table 5.11-E – Interior and Exterior Noise Standards, Appendix G – Noise Existing Conditions Report, Title 7 – Noise Code)</p> <p>Less Than Significant Impact with Mitigation. The proposed Strategic Plan is programmatic in nature and will not, in and of itself, result in any noise impacts. Proposed land uses are compatible with the existing residential character and land use pattern of the neighborhood. The project does not involve construction of any significant long-term sources of noise, such as new roadways, freeways, railroads, or airports.</p> <p>However, the Plan does facilitate the remodeling, demolition, and construction of single- and multi-family dwelling units, as well as construction of a new community center and parking lot, within an existing residential neighborhood. The Plan also facilitates smaller-scale improvements to existing facades, sidewalks, driveways, and other individual property features. Recommended street improvements include the removal of two (2) cul-de-sacs on Loma Vista Street and Lou Ella Lane, and relocation of parking spaces at Patterson Park.</p> <p>The primary source of noise associated with build out of the project will be from construction activity. Specifically, noise from large diesel engines, grading equipment, truck deliveries, and hauling vehicles will increase noise levels within the community temporarily. Noise impacts could be disruptive, but will be temporary and periodic as demolition, grading, and construction occur. Once these activities are completed, noise levels can be expected to return to current levels, consistent with a residential neighborhood. Operational noise associated with day-to-day activities of residents is expected to be very limited, and consistent with the noise levels that now occur in the neighborhood.</p> <p>Noise levels from future development projects will be evaluated on a project-by-project basis, and projects will be required to comply with City noise standards and reduction practices. Because the project area and surrounding vicinity contain sensitive receptors (residential units), acoustical analyses may be necessary. General Plan mitigation measures Noise MM1, MM2, MM4 will help mitigate noise levels to less than significant levels.</p>				
<p>b. Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>12b. Response: (Source: General Plan Figure N-1 – 2003 Roadway Noise, Figure N-2 – 2003 Freeway Noise, Figure N-3 – 2003 Railway Noise, Figure N-5 – 2025 Roadway Noise, Figure N-6 – 2025 Freeway Noise, Figure N-7 – 2025 Railroad Noise, FPEIR Table 5.11-G – Vibration Source Levels For Construction Equipment, Appendix G – Noise Existing Conditions Report)</p> <p>Less Than Significant Impact. Demolition, grading, and construction activities facilitated by the proposed Strategic Plan will result in short-term, temporary groundborne noise and vibration that could affect residents within and around the project area. Vibration sources will likely include heavy construction equipment, such as large bulldozers and jackhammers. Construction-related vibration will be short-term and temporary and will cease once construction is complete. Specific development projects will be evaluated on a project-by-project basis to assure compliance with the</p>				

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
City's noise standards. Compliance with these standards will assure that impacts associated with vibration will be less than significant directly, indirectly or cumulatively.				
c. A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>12c. Response: (Source: General Plan Figure N-1 – 2003 Roadway Noise, Figure N-2 – 2003 Freeway Noise, Figure N-3 – 2003 Railway Noise, Figure N-5 – 2025 Roadway Noise, Figure N-6 – 2025 Freeway Noise, Figure N-7 – 2025 Railroad Noise, Figure N-10 – Noise/Land Use Noise Compatibility Criteria, FPEIR Table 5.11-I – Existing and Future Noise Contour Comparison, Table 5.11-E – Interior and Exterior Noise Standards, Appendix G – Noise Existing Conditions Report, Title 7 – Noise Code)</p> <p>Less Than Significant Impact. Buildout of the Strategic Plan area will result in a net increase of 14 new dwelling units, one (1) community center, and one (1) parking lot in the project area. These land uses are compatible with existing land use patterns and the residential character of the neighborhood. Construction noise will be periodic and temporary. Once complete, noise levels are not expected to result in noticeable changes in the community noise environment. Primary noise sources can be expected to include lawn and HVAC equipment and vehicular traffic typical of a residential community.</p> <p>The community center is expected to serve the immediate neighborhood population and not attract a substantial number of new vehicles (or vehicular noise) from outside the neighborhood. The enhancement of alleys and sidewalks, as facilitated by the Strategic Plan, will improve pedestrian and bicycle access to the community center, Patterson Park, and other local destinations, and may reduce traffic-related noise throughout the neighborhood.</p> <p>Vehicular noise associated with the proposed parking lot at 1767 Loma Vista will represent an increase over existing levels (currently a 4-unit residential building), but given the limited lot size (± 0.2 acres), increases are not expected to be substantial. Relocation of parking spaces at Patterson Park will move vehicles to the northeast to Linden Avenue, but not increase the number of vehicles or associated noise levels.</p> <p>Specific project proposals within the Plan area will be evaluated on an individual basis to assure that permanent increases in ambient noise levels are less than significant through the implementation of the City's standard requirements and conditions of approval.</p>				
d. A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>12d. Response: (Source: FPEIR Table 5.11-J – Construction Equipment Noise Levels, Appendix G – Noise Existing Conditions Report)</p> <p>Less Than Significant Impact. The primary source of temporary or periodic noise associated with build out of the proposed project will be from demolition and construction activity. Although periodic and temporary, construction noise can be expected to increase ambient noise levels over existing conditions. This increase may be particularly noticeable and disruptive to sensitive receptors (residential units) in the project area.</p> <p>Specific development proposals facilitated by the Strategic Plan will be evaluated on an individual basis. Both the General Plan 2025 and Municipal Code Title 7 (Noise) limit construction activities to specific times and days of the week. Considering the short-term nature of construction and the provisions of the Noise Code, the temporary and periodic increase in noise levels due to redevelopment and construction projects is expected to be less than significant.</p>				
e. For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?				
<p>12e. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure N-8 – Riverside and Flabob Airport Noise Contours, Figure N-9 – March ARB Noise Contour, Figure N-10 – Noise/Land Use Noise Compatibility Criteria, RCALUCP, March Air Reserve Base/March inland Port Comprehensive Land Use Plan (1999), Air Installation Compatible Use Zone Study for March Air Reserve Base (August 2005))</p> <p>No Impact. The proposed project is not located within an airport land use plan or within two miles of a public airport or public use airport and, as such, will not expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels either directly, indirectly or cumulatively.</p>				
f. For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>12f. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-6 – Airport Safety Zones and Influence Areas, RCALUCP, March Air Reserve Base/March Inland Port Comprehensive Land Use Plan (1999) and Air Installation Compatible Use Zone Study for March Air Reserve Base (August 2005))</p> <p>No Impact. The project area is not located within proximity of a private airstrip and does not propose a private airstrip. Therefore, the project will not expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels related to a private airstrip and will have no impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively.</p>				
13. POPULATION AND HOUSING.				
Would the project:				
a. Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>13a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Table LU-3 – Land Use Designations, Pop-Facts: Demographic Snapshot 2013 Report for the project area – prepared by Nielsen Solution Center on March 12, 2013, Google imaging)</p> <p>Less Than Significant Impact. The project area consists of a long-standing urban neighborhood that is nearly built out. There are currently 484 dwelling units and an estimated population of 1,390 residents in the project area.</p> <p>The proposed Strategic Plan facilitates the redevelopment and construction of a limited number of single- and multi-family residential buildings that could directly marginally induce population growth. Build out of the Plan would result in a net increase of 14 residential dwelling units (2 single-family units and 12 multi-family units). With an average household size of 3.56 persons per household in the project area, build out of the proposed Plan could result in an additional 50 residents in the project area. This represents a limited population increase of 3.6% over existing conditions.</p> <p>The Plan facilitates the development of a new community center, which is intended to serve the social and recreational needs of residents in the immediate Chicago-Linden community. Due to its limited lot size and scope, it is not expected to induce population growth. The 13-acre Cesar Chavez Community Center, located ½-mile southwest of the project area, will continue to serve the recreational needs of the broader Eastside population.</p> <p>The project area is well-served by existing infrastructure, including utilities and roads, and the Strategic Plan does not propose new infrastructure extensions that could indirectly induce population growth. Proposed internal roadway improvements include eliminating cul-de-sacs in Loma Vista Street and Lou Ella Lane, that currently act as barriers to traffic flow; relocating parking spaces at Patterson Park to Linden Street; and constructing a small (±0.2-acre) parking lot on</p>				

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
<p>Loma Vista. The purpose of these improvements is to enhance traffic flow/efficiency and parking options, and they are not expected to attract additional vehicles to the project area.</p> <p>The project is consistent with existing land use designations established under the General Plan 2025 Program. Given its limited scope, impacts will be less than significant directly, indirectly and cumulatively.</p>				
<p>b. Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>13b. Response: <i>(Source: General Plan 2025 Table LU-3 – Land Use Designations, Pop-Facts: Demographic Snapshot 2013 Report for the project area – prepared by Nielsen Solution Center on March 12, 2013, Google imaging, photos from site visit)</i></p> <p>Less Than Significant Impact. The Strategic Plan facilitates the demolition and redevelopment of multi-family housing units, including underutilized City-owned housing, in the Chicago-Linden neighborhood. The project proposes redevelopment and/or purchase of seven (7) lots that would result in demolition of 61 multi-family units and replacement with 55 multi-family units, a community center, and parking lot. An additional 2 single-family units (Dwight Ave.) and 14 multi-family units (8 on Loma Vista and 6 fronting Patterson Park) are proposed. Build out of the Plan would result in a net increase of 14 dwelling units in the project area.</p> <p>The Plan facilitates an increase in the number of units at the same or similar densities as those identified for demolition. Further, the project does not involve a General Plan amendment or rezoning from an existing residential land use designation to a non-residential designation or to a different residential designation that would lower residential densities planned for the site or that would result in the elimination of future housing units anticipated under the General Plan. Therefore, the displacement impact will be less than significant directly, indirectly, and cumulatively.</p>				
<p>c. Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>13c. Response: <i>(Source: Pop-Facts: Demographic Snapshot 2013 Report for the project area – prepared by Nielsen Solution Center on March 12, 2013, photos from site visit, Google imaging)</i></p> <p>Less Than Significant Impact. Build out of the proposed Strategic Plan would result in the redevelopment of seven (7) lots, upon which demolition of 61 multi-family units and displacement of approximately 217 residents (based on average household size of 3.56 persons per household) would occur. However, the Plan proposes construction of 55 new units, a community center, and parking lot on the same identified lots. The construction of replacement housing elsewhere would not be necessary. An additional 2 new single-family units (Dwight Ave.) and 14 new multi-family units (8 on Loma Vista and 6 fronting Patterson Park) are proposed. Buildout of the Plan would result in a net increase of 14 dwelling units over existing conditions, thereby facilitating an increase of 50 residents in the project area.</p> <p>Project build out will result in a limited increase in the number of units and residents in the project area. The displacement impact will be less than significant directly, indirectly and cumulatively.</p>				

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
14. PUBLIC SERVICES.				
<p>Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services:</p>				
a. Fire protection?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>14a. Response: (Source: FPEIR Table 5.13-B – Fire Station Locations, Table 5.13-C – Riverside Fire Department Statistics and Ordinance 5948 § 1)</p>				
<p>Less Than Significant Impact. The proposed Strategic Plan is programmatic in nature, and as such, will not impact fire protection services in the area. However, the Plan does facilitate future redevelopment and property improvement projects, including demolition of multi-family housing units, construction of single- and multi-family housing units, construction of a new neighborhood-scale community center, construction of a new parking lot, and site-specific improvements affecting features such as facades, fences/walls, and driveways, among others. Compared to existing conditions, build out of the Plan would result in a net increase of 14 residential units. Overall, the project will not result in a substantial intensification of land uses. Future development projects will be required to comply with applicable fire codes.</p> <p>The project area is located within a long-standing urban community. Adequate fire facilities and services are provided by Station #4 (University) located at 3510 Cranford Avenue, ¼-mile east of the project area. Existing water distribution lines in the project area provide adequate water volumes and pressure, and no major expansions of the system are expected to be needed to accommodate future development. With implementation of General Plan 2025 policies, compliance with existing codes and standards, and through Fire Department practices, there will be less than significant impacts on the demand for additional fire facilities or services.</p>				
b. Police protection?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>14b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-8 – Neighborhood Policing Centers)</p>				
<p>Less Than Significant Impact. The proposed Strategic Plan facilitates the future development and redevelopment of portions of the Chicago-Linden neighborhood. Build out of the Plan could result in a net increase of 14 residential units and a neighborhood-scale community center. These uses are compatible with existing development and do not constitute a substantial intensification of land uses. A principal goal of the Plan is to proactively improve public safety in the project area, and its implementation is expected to have an overall positive impact on neighborhood safety and crime prevention. Proposed safety improvements include the establishment of a Neighborhood Watch program, alley improvement program, and required participation in the Crime-Free Multi-Housing program for property owners receiving grants or other financial assistance proposed for the neighborhood. The Plan also recommends coordinating with the Police Department to increase the number of patrols in the area, and creation of an after-school program to discourage loitering and gang activity among neighborhood youth.</p> <p>The project area is located in an urban community. Police facilities and services are provided by Neighborhood Policing Center UNET-UCR located at 1201 University Avenue, less than 1 mile east of the project area. With implementation of the Strategic Plan’s public safety improvement programs, General Plan 2025 policies, compliance with existing codes and standards, and through Police Department practices, there will be less than significant impacts on the demand for additional police facilities or services either directly, indirectly or cumulatively.</p>				
c. Schools?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>14c. Response: (Source: FPEIR Figure 5.13-2 – RUSD Boundaries, Table 5.13-D – RUSD, , Table 5.13-G – Student Generation for RUSD and AUSD By Education Level)</p>				

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
<p>Less Than Significant Impact. The proposed Strategic Plan facilitates future development and redevelopment of some properties in the Chicago-Linden neighborhood. At build out, the project area could have 14 additional dwelling units compared to existing conditions. The project area is served by the Riverside Unified School District (RUSD). Based on RUSD’s student generation rates, an increase in 14 dwelling units would result in approximately 10 new students in the project area.</p> <p>Adequate school facilities and services are provided by RUSD to serve this project. The Strategic Plan’s proposed after-school program at the new community center would further support the educational and recreational needs of area students. With continued implementation of General Plan 2025 policies, compliance with existing codes and standards, and through School District impact fees used to offset the impact of new development, there will be less than significant impacts on the demand for school facilities or services either directly, indirectly or cumulatively.</p>				
d. Parks?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>14d. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PR-1 – Parks, Open Spaces and Trails, Table PR-4 – Park and Recreation Facilities, Parks Master Plan 2003, GP 2025 FPEIR Table 5.14-A – Park and Recreation Facility Types)</p>				
<p>Less Than Significant Impact. Implementation of the Strategic Plan will facilitate the development and redevelopment of portions of the project area, and build out could result in a net increase of 14 residential units and approximately 50 residents. The project area contains Patterson Park, a 4.3-acre neighborhood park, and feedback from community workshops indicates the park is currently underutilized due to the presence of gangs.</p> <p>The Strategic Plan includes numerous programs aimed at improving access to and usage of the park, including the addition of walking paths, a community garden, and splash fountain, as well as the development for park-fronting multi-family residences to improve public exposure to the park. The Plan also proposes installation of a new playground on the western side of the Lou Ella Lane Apartments property.</p> <p>Adequate park facilities and services are provided to serve this project, and implementation of the Strategic Plan is expected to have an overall positive impact on Patterson Park. In addition, with implementation of General Plan 2025 policies, compliance with existing codes and standards, and through Park, Recreation and Community Services practices, there will be less than significant impacts on the demand for additional park facilities or services either directly, indirectly or cumulatively.</p>				
e. Other public facilities?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>14e. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure LU-8 – Community Facilities, FPEIR Figure 5.13-5 - Library Facilities, Figure 5.13-6 - Community Centers, Table 5.3-F – Riverside Community Centers, Table 5.13-H – Riverside Public Library Service Standards)</p>				
<p>Less Than Significant Impact. Build out of the Strategic Plan area is projected to result in a net increase of 14 residential units and ±50 residents, compared to existing conditions. This does not constitute a substantial population increase such that additional public facilities or services would be required. Adequate public facilities and services, including libraries and community centers, are available to serve this project. The Cesar Chavez Community Center and Eastside Branch of the Riverside Public Library are located within ¼-mile of the project area. The Strategic Plan proposes a new neighborhood-scale community center to provide additional social and recreational opportunities for residents.</p> <p>With implementation of General Plan 2025 policies, compliance with existing codes and standards, and through Park and Recreation and Community Services and Library practices, there will be less than significant impacts on the demand for additional public facilities or services either directly, indirectly or cumulatively.</p>				

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
15. RECREATION.				
a. Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>15a. Response: <i>(Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PR-1 – Parks, Open Spaces and Trails, Table PR-4 – Park and Recreation Facilities, Figure CCM-6 – Master plan of Trails and Bikeways, Parks Master Plan 2003, FPEIR Table 5.14-A – Park and Recreation Facility Types, Table 5.14-D – Inventory of Existing Community Centers, Riverside Municipal Code Chapter 16.60 - Local Park Development Fees, Bicycle Master Plan May 2007)</i></p>				
<p>Less Than Significant Impact. Build out of the project area in accordance with the proposed Strategic Plan would result in a net increase of 14 residences and a population increase of approximately 50 residents. This population is not expected to result in, or accelerate, physical deterioration of Patterson Park (4.3-acre park within the project area) or other parks and recreational facilities. The park is designated as a “neighborhood park” and, as such, is designed to serve a population of 3,000-5,000 within ½ mile walking distance. Input from a series of community workshops indicates that Patterson Park is currently underutilized due to gang activity and a lack of organized sports and social programs.</p> <p>A principal component of the proposed Strategic Plan is enhancing the safety and usage of Patterson Park. The Plan proposes installation of new recreational features, including pedestrian paths, a community garden, and all-purpose play field. It creates an incentive program for construction of apartment units fronting the park on 30 feet of park land south of the park to enhance exposure and community presence in the park, and encourages the expansion of recreational programs at Patterson Park, such as youth sports and park beautification events.</p> <p>In addition to improvements at Patterson Park, the Strategic Plan proposes construction of a new playground on the western side of the Housing Authority’s Lou Ella Lane Apartments (3553 Lou Ella Lane). It also facilitates construction of a new neighborhood-scale community center to further support the social and recreational needs of community residents.</p> <p>The project is consistent with the adopted General Plan 2025 and Parks and Recreation Master Plan Update 2003. It will have an overall positive and less than significant impact on parks and recreational facilities in the City.</p>				
b. Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>15b. Response:</p> <p>Less Than Significant Impact. Build out of the project area in accordance with the proposed Strategic Plan would result in new and/or expanded recreational facilities at Patterson Park, including but not limited to new pedestrian paths, community garden, and an open play field. A new playground is proposed at 3553 Lou Ella Lane adjacent to the park, and a new neighborhood-scale community center is proposed. None of these facilities is expected to have an adverse physical effect on the environment. Detailed project review and CEQA analysis may be required as specific projects are proposed to assure that impacts remain at less than significant levels.</p>				

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
16. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC. Would the project result in:				
a. Conflict with an applicable plan, ordinance or policy establishing measures of effectiveness for the performance or policy establishing measures of effectiveness for the performance of the circulation system, taking into account all modes of transportation including mass transit and non-motorized travel and relevant components of the circulation system, including but not limited to intersections, streets, highways and freeways, pedestrian and bicycle paths, and mass transit?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>16a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure CCM-4 – Master Plan of Roadways, FPEIR Figure 5.15-4 – Volume to Capacity (V/C) Ratio and Level of Service (LOS) (Typical 2025), Table 5.15-D – Existing and Future Trip Generation Estimates, Table 5.15-H – Existing and Typical Density Scenario Intersection Levels of Service, Table 5.15-I – Conceptual General Plan Intersection Improvement Recommendations, Table 5.15-J – Current Status of Roadways Projected to Operate at LOS E or F in 2025, Table 5.15-K – Freeway Analysis Proposed General Plan, Appendix H – Circulation Element Traffic Study and Traffic Study Appendix, SCAG’s RTP, and “Chicago-Linden Strategic Plan Transportation Evaluation,” prepared by Urban Crossroads on October 15, 2013.)</p> <p>Less than Significant Impact. According to the Transportation Evaluation prepared for the proposed project (please see Appendix B), build out in accordance with the proposed Plan will generate 8 additional (new) trips during the AM peak hour and 11 additional (new) trips during the PM peak hour, with a total of 112 daily trips. The City of Riverside traffic study guidelines require quantitative analysis only if a proposed project generates more than 50 peak hour trips. Therefore, no additional quantitative analysis is required for this project.</p> <p>Reconnection of Lou Ella Lane and Loma Vista Street will provide more direct travel routes for local residents and provide a small reduction in vehicle miles of travel at this location. Roadway capacity is adequate to accommodate the projected traffic volumes and proposed circulation changes associated with the project. Changes in traffic volumes in relation to the existing traffic loads and capacity of the street system will be less than significant directly, indirectly or cumulatively.</p>				
b. Conflict with an applicable congestion management program, including but not limited to level of service standards and travel demand measures, or other standards established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>16b. Response: (Source: SCAG’s RTP, 2011 Riverside County Congestion Management Program, and “Chicago-Linden Strategic Plan Transportation Evaluation,” prepared by Urban Crossroads on October 15, 2013.)</p> <p>No Impact. The project site does not include a designated state highway or principal arterial within Riverside County’s Congestion Management Program (CMP). Therefore, there is no impact either directly, indirectly or cumulatively to the CMP.</p>				
c. Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
<p>16c. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-6 – Airport Safety Zones and Influence Areas, RCALUCP, March Air Reserve Base/March Inland Port Comprehensive Land Use Plan (1999) and Air Installation Compatible Use Zone Study for March Air Reserve Base, August 2005)</p> <p>No Impact. The project area is not located within an airport influence area. The proposed project will not change air traffic patterns, increase air traffic levels, or change the location of air traffic patterns. As such, this project will have no impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively on air traffic patterns.</p>				
<p>d. Substantially increase hazards due to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>16d. Response: (Source: Project Plan, and “Chicago-Linden Strategic Plan Transportation Evaluation,” prepared by Urban Crossroads on October 15, 2013.)</p> <p>Less Than Significant Impact. The Strategic Plan proposes elimination of two (2) abutting cul-de-sacs located at the intersection of Loma Vista Street and Lou Ella Lane. Removal of the cul-de-sacs would create a through street at Loma Vista and Lou Ella that includes a 90-degree turn. The Transportation Evaluation prepared for the project reviewed the surrounding roadway system and “L” shaped configuration of the proposed reconnection and determined that there is adequate parallel capacity on roadways such as Linden Street and 7th Street, and no traffic diversion is likely to occur as a result of this circulation change. Adequate capacity is also available along Lou Ella Lane and Loma Vista Street to accommodate the modification, and no significant safety concerns have been identified.</p> <p>A new parking lot is proposed at the northwest corner of the intersection at Loma Vista and Lou Ella. At such time as future parking lot plans are submitted, the location of ingress/egress points may require special consideration to assure conflicts with street traffic are minimized. No other land use incompatibilities are anticipated.</p>				
<p>e. Result in inadequate emergency access?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>16e. Response: (Source: California Department of Transportation Highway Design Manual, Municipal Code, and Fire Code, and “Chicago-Linden Strategic Plan Transportation Evaluation,” prepared by Urban Crossroads on October 15, 2013.)</p> <p>Less Than Significant Impact. Traffic improvements facilitated by the proposed Plan have the potential, during their construction, to obstruct lanes of travel that may have a temporary impact on emergency access. However, specific projects will be conditioned to require at least one lane of travel to be open and available at all times. This will eliminate any impacts to emergency access.</p> <p>Over the long term, removal of the two cul-de-sacs at the intersection of Loma Vista Street and Lou Ella Lane will improve emergency access in the project area. The cul-de-sacs currently act as a barrier to traffic flow, and their removal will create a through street for emergency and other vehicles. The parking lot proposed at the northwest corner of this intersection will increase off-street parking capacity, remove some parked vehicles from local streets, and potentially help clear the path for emergency vehicles.</p> <p>Overall, impacts associated with emergency access are expected to be less than significant directly, indirectly and cumulatively.</p>				
<p>f. Conflict with adopted policies, plans or programs regarding public transit, bicycle, or pedestrian facilities, or otherwise decrease the performance or safety of such facilities)?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>16f. Response: (Source: FPEIR, General Plan 2025 Land Use and Urban Design, Circulation and Community Mobility and Education Elements, Bicycle Master Plan, School Safety Program – Walk Safe! – Drive Safe!, and “Chicago-Linden Strategic Plan Transportation Evaluation,” prepared by Urban Crossroads on October 15,</p>				

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
<p>2013.)</p> <p>Less Than Significant Impact. The proposed project does not conflict with adopted policies, plans or programs supporting alternative transportation (e.g. bus turnouts, bicycle racks). The Transportation Evaluation prepared for the project recommends installing Class 2 bike lanes along Linden Street and Chicago Avenue, in accordance with the City’s adopted Bike Route Plan. It also recommends adding and/or improving nearby bus stops along Chicago Avenue and University Avenue that serve local residents, as some stops include shelters and other amenities, while others do not. It further recommends completing the sidewalk system within and adjacent to the project site, particularly on the east side of Chicago Avenue which provides a direct route to North High School. These recommendations have been integrated into the Strategic Plan.</p> <p>Each of these improvements is consistent with existing policies and plans pertaining to public transit and non-vehicular transportation, and each is proposed to improve their safety, usage, and convenience. Therefore, the proposed project impacts related to adopted policies, plans or programs supporting alternative transportation are less than significant directly, indirectly and cumulatively.</p>				
<p>17. UTILITIES AND SYSTEM SERVICES.</p>				
<p>Would the project:</p>				
<p>a. Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>17a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PF-2 – Sewer Facilities Map, FPEIR Figure 5.16-5 – Sewer Service Areas, Table 5.16-K - Estimated Future Wastewater Generation for the City of Riverside’s Sewer Service Area, Figure 5.8-1 – Watersheds, Wastewater Integrated Master Plan and Certified EIR)</p> <p>Less Than Significant Impact. The proposed Strategic Plan is designed to encourage revitalization of the Chicago-Linden neighborhood by providing strategies to improve housing, recreation, and transportation infrastructure. As specific projects are proposed, they will be required to comply with all provisions of the NPDES program and the City’s Municipal Separate Sewer Permit (MS4), as enforced by the Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB). Therefore, future proposed projects would not exceed applicable wastewater treatment requirements of the RWQCB with respect to discharges to the sewer system or stormwater system within the City. Because all proposed projects resulting from the Strategic Plan are required to adhere to the above regulations related to wastewater treatment, the Plan will have a less than significant impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively.</p>				
<p>b. Require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>17b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Table PF-1 – RPU PROJECTED DOMESTIC WATER Supply (AC-FT/YR), Table PF-2 – RPU Projected Water Demand, RPU, FPEIR Table 5.16-G – General Plan Projected Water Demand for RPU Including Water Reliability for 2025, Table 5.16-K - Estimated Future Wastewater Generation for the City of Riverside’s Sewer Service.)</p> <p>No Impact. Future projects consistent with the Strategic Plan are not anticipated to result in the construction of new or expanded water or wastewater treatment facilities. Buildout of the Strategic Plan will maintain existing land uses and result in the addition of 14 residential units. All projects will be located in a developed area with access to existing water and wastewater infrastructure. Buildout of the Strategic Plan is consistent with the Typical Growth Scenario of the General Plan 2025 where future water and wastewater generation was determined to be adequate (see Tables 5.16-E, 5.16-F, 5.16-G, 5.16-H, 5.16-I, 5.16-J and 5.16-K of the General Plan 2025 Final PEIR). However, proposed projects will be evaluated on a project-by-project basis to ensure no new water facilities are required. Therefore, the Strategic Plan will have no impact resulting in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or the expansion of existing facilities</p>				

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
directly, indirectly or cumulatively.				
c. Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>17c. Response: <i>(Source: FPEIR Figure 5.16-2 - Drainage Facilities)</i></p> <p>No Impact. The Chicago-Linden neighborhood is currently developed and located in an urbanized area. Projects resulting from the Strategic Plan are generally improvements and alterations of existing infrastructure, and will not result in a major increase in impervious surfaces that would require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities. Future proposed projects set forth in the Strategic Plan would be evaluated individually. Therefore, the Strategic Plan will have no impact resulting in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or the expansion of existing facilities directly, indirectly or cumulatively.</p>				
d. Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>17d. Response: <i>(Source: FPEIR Figure 5.16-3 – Water Service Areas, Figure 5.16-4 – Water Facilities, Table 5.16-E – RPU Projected Domestic Water Supply (AC-FT/YR, Table 5.16-F – Projected Water Demand, Table 5.16-G – General Plan Projected Water Demand for RPU including Water Reliability for 2025, RPU Master Plan)</i></p> <p>No Impact. The Strategic Plan is programmatic in nature and will not result in immediate project development. When future development are proposed, each project will undergo planning-level evaluation to determine if sufficient water supplies are available to serve each project. Based on the projected Strategic Plan buildout scenario, the Plan will not exceed expected water supplies. Each project will be evaluated using the City’s current Urban Water Management Plan, which must be updated every five years to include the most recent population trends. Similarly, the City must consult with Riverside Public Utilities regarding development projects exceeding the thresholds noted in the CEQA Guidelines Section 15155 to ensure that sufficient water supplies are available.</p> <p>The project is consistent with the General Plan 2025 Typical Growth Scenario where future water supplies were determined to be adequate (see Tables t.16-E, 5.16-F, 5.16-G, 5.16-H, 5.16-I and 5.16-J of the General Plan 2025 Final PEIR). Therefore, the project will have no impact resulting in insufficient water supplies either directly, indirectly or cumulatively.</p>				
e. Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project’s projected demand in addition to the provider’s existing commitments?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>17e. Response: <i>(Source: FPEIR Figure 5.16-5 - Sewer Service Areas, Figure 5.16-6 -Sewer Infrastructure, Table 5.16-K - Estimated Future Wastewater Generation for the City of Riverside’s Sewer Service Area, and Wastewater Integrated Master Plan and Certified EIR)</i></p> <p>No Impact. As previously mentioned, the Strategic Plan is programmatic in nature and will not directly impact utilities and services. Each project proposed under the Plan will undergo individual planning-level evaluation. All future projects will be located in an existing neighborhood with access to current providers and infrastructure. Buildout of the Strategic Plan is not anticipated to exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the Regional Water Quality Control Board.</p> <p>Projects within the Strategic Plan are consistent with the General Plan 2025 Typical Growth Scenario where future wastewater generation was determined to be adequate (see Table 5.16-K of the General Plan 2025 Final PEIR). Further, the current Wastewater Treatment Master Plan anticipates and provides for the types of projects discussed in the Strategic</p>				

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Plan. Therefore, no impact to wastewater treatment directly, indirectly or cumulatively will occur.				
f. Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
17f. Response: (Source: FPEIR Table 5.16-A – Existing Landfills and Table 5.16-M – Estimated Future Solid Waste Generation from the Planning Area)				
No Impact. The proposed development/redevelopment objectives provided in the Strategic Plan are expected to generate a level of solid waste comparable to current conditions. Projects under the Strategic Plan will be required to undergo planning-level evaluation; however, the proposed strategies/developments are consistent with the General Plan 2025 Typical Build-out Project level where future landfill capacity was determined to be adequate (see Tables 5.16-A and 5.16-M of the General Plan 2025 Final PEIR). Therefore, no impact to landfill capacity will occur directly, indirectly or cumulatively.				
g. Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
17g. Response: (Source: California Integrated Waste Management Board 2002 Landfill Facility Compliance Study)				
No Impact. The California Integrated Waste Management Act under the Public Resource Code requires that local jurisdictions divert at least 50% of all solid waste generated by January 1, 2000. The City is currently achieving a 60% diversion rate, well above State requirements. In addition, the California Green Building Code requires all developments to divert 50% of non-hazardous construction and demolition debris for all projects and 100% of excavated soil and land clearing debris for all non-residential projects beginning January 1, 2011. The proposed project must comply with the City's waste disposal requirements as well as the California Green Building Code and as such would not conflict with any Federal, State, or local regulations related to solid waste. Therefore, no impacts related to solid waste statutes will occur directly, indirectly or cumulatively.				
18. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE.				
a. Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or an endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
18a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 – Figure OS-6 – Stephen's Kangaroo Rat (SKR) Core Reserve and Other Habitat Conservation Plans (HCP), Figure OS-7 – MSHCP Cores and Linkages, Figure OS-8 – MSHCP Cell Areas, General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.4-2 – MSHCP Area Plans, Figure 5.4-4 - MSHCP Criteria Cells and Subunit Areas, Figure 5.4-6 – MSHCP Narrow Endemic Plant Species Survey Area, Figure 5.4-7 – MSHCP Criteria Area Species Survey Area, Figure 5.4-8 – MSHCP Burrowing Owl Survey Area, MSHCP Section 6.1.2 - Protection of Species Associated with Riparian/Riverine Areas and Vernal Pools, FPEIR Table 5.5-A Historical Districts and Neighborhood Conservation Areas, Figure 5.5-1 - Archaeological Sensitivity, Figure 5.5-2 - Prehistoric Cultural Resources Sensitivity, Appendix D, Title 20 of the Riverside Municipal Code, and “Cultural Resources Survey for the Patterson Park Neighborhood Strategic Plan” prepared by JM Research & Consulting in July 2013)				
Less Than Significant Impact. The project area is located in a long-standing, developed urban community that does not contain any significant plant or animal populations, habitats, or travel corridors. It is not located within the boundaries of any habitat conservation plans or natural communities conservation plans. Potential impacts to wildlife were evaluated in				

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
<p>the Biological Resources section of this analysis and found to be less than significant.</p> <p>A project-specific cultural analysis was prepared to evaluate potential impacts to cultural, archaeological, and paleontological resources related to major periods of California’s history and prehistory. Six (6) sites were identified as potentially eligible for local individual historic designation and require further study prior to their alteration. The proposed Strategic Plan does not propose any demolition or redevelopment of these properties and establishes a program to fund a restoration, education, and assistance program for historic properties.</p>				
<p>b. Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? (“Cumulatively considerable” means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects)?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>18b. Response: (Source: FPEIR Section 6 – Long-Term Effects/ Cumulative Impacts for the General Plan 2025 Program)</p> <p>Less Than Significant Impact. The project proposes redevelopment activity in an existing urban neighborhood and includes programs to improve access, code compliance, community cohesion, and public safety. Consideration had been given to past and future projects, and it is anticipated that cumulative impacts associated with the project will be less than significant.</p>				
<p>c. Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>18c. Response: (Source: FPEIR Section 5 – Environmental Impact Analysis for the General Plan 2025 Program)</p> <p>Less Than Significant Impact with Mitigation. The project area has been disturbed and developed over many years, and the proposed project does not have the potential to significantly degrade the quality of the environment. The project will not have a significant impact on sensitive wildlife species or their habitat, or on historic or cultural resources. The project is aimed at improving aesthetics, vehicular and pedestrian access, and public safety within the community.</p> <p>Effects on human beings were evaluated as part of the aesthetics, air quality, hydrology & water quality, noise, population and housing, public facilities, hazards and hazardous materials, recreation, and transportation sections of this Initial Study. Impacts have been found to be less than significant. In the case of noise impacts, mitigation measures included in the General Plan FPEIR are recommended to reduce construction noise.</p> <p>Based on the analysis and conclusions in this Initial Study, the project, with mitigation, will not cause substantial adverse effects, directly or indirectly to human beings. Therefore, potential direct and indirect impacts on human beings that result from the proposed project are less than significant with mitigation.</p>				

Note: Authority cited: Sections 21083 and 21087, Public Resources Code. Reference: Sections 21080(c), 21080.1, 21080.3, 21082.1, 21083, 21083.3, 21093, 21094, 21151, Public Resources Code; Sundstrom v. County of Mendocino, 202 Cal.App.3d 296 (1988); Leonoff v. Monterey Board of Supervisors, 222 Cal.App.3d 1337 (1990).

